This order has been published by the NASDR Office of the Hearing Officers and should be cited as OHO Order 97-3 (C3A970066).

## NASD REGULATION, INC. OFFICE OF HEARING OFFICERS

: DEPARTMENT OF ENFORCEMENT, :	
Complainant, :	Disciplinary Proceeding No. C3A970066
v. :	Hearing Officer - EAE
Respondent. :	
ORDER GRANTING MOTI AS COUNSEL TO R	
On August 21, 1997, Respondent	was served with a copy of the
Complaint in this disciplinary proceeding. According	ng to the Certificate of Service, the Complaint
was served by certified and first class	mail, postage prepaid, on Respondent
-	, Respondent's counsel, by and through ondent's time to answer the complaint. For
good cause shown, the motion was conditionally gra	anted on September 16, 1997, and the answer
date was extended to and including October 6, 1997	
On October 6, 1997, Respondent's counsel,	, by and through, filed
with the Office of Hearing Officers, and served	upon Complainant's attorney, a motion to
withdraw as counsel for Respondent. The mo	otion was supported by a certification of

This order has been published by the NASDR Office of the Hearing Officers and should be cited as OHO Order 97-3 (C3A970066).
(" Certification"), an associate in the law firm of
represented that he was fully familiar with the facts recited therein.
According to the Certification (at ¶7) , Respondent's counsel has been unable to
reach Respondent either by telephone or mail. The Certification further represents that
on September 22, 1997, Respondent's counsel forwarded a letter to Respondent at
, the same address where the Complaint in this matter was served. (
Certification at ¶8). The letter was returned to sender with the notation "return to sender,
ATTEMPTED NOT KNOWN."
Additionally, represents that his office has been unable to reach Respondent at
his last known telephone number. ( Certification at ¶9) further represents that
on October 1, 1997, he spoke with Complainant's counsel who advised that the complaint served
by certified mail on Respondent was returned undelivered. ( Certification at ¶10).
Because Respondent's counsel has been unable to consult with Respondent or obtain his
assistance concerning this matter, it respectfully requests that it be permitted to withdraw as
counsel for Respondent. According to the Certification (at ¶ 12), on October 2, 1997,
Complainant's counsel advised that it had no position on the firm's motion to withdraw.

This order has been published by the NASDR Office of the Hearing Officers and should be cited as OHO Order 97-3 (C3A970066).

Ac	cordingly, for good cause show	n, the Motion to Withdraw as Counsel to Respondent
	is GRANTED.	
		SO ORDERED
		Ellen A. Efros
		Hearing Officer
Dated:	Washington, D.C. October 7, 1997	