Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and a	sterisks.		OMB Number: 3235-0045 Estimated average burden hours per response	
WASHIN	EXCHANGE COMMISSION GTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4 Ame	File No. ndment No. (req. for	* SR - 2018 - * 043 Amendments *)	
Filing by Financial Industry Regulatory Authority Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange	Act of 1934			
Initial * Amendment * Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2) * Sect	ion 19(b)(3)(A) * Rule	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *	
Pilot Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	 I9b-4(f)(1) I9b-4(f)(2) I9b-4(f)(5) I9b-4(f)(3) I9b-4(f)(6) 			
Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010 Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Section 806(e)(1) * Section 806(e)(2) * Image: Clearing and Settlement Act of 2010 Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Section 806(e)(1) * Image: Clearing act of 1934 Image: Clearing act of 1934 Section 3C(b)(2) *			change Act of 1934	
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document				
Description Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). Proposed Rule Change to Expand Time for Non-Parties to Respond to Arbitration Subpoenas and Orders of Appearance of Witnesses or Production of Documents				
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.				
First Name * Kristine	* Kristine Last Name * Vo			
Title * Principal Counsel	Principal Counsel			
E-mail * kristine.vo@finra.org Telephone * (212) 858-4106 Fax (301) 527-4741				
Signature Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange A has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the		rized.		
Date 12/20/2018	Senior Vice President - FINRA Office of Dispute Resolution			
By Kenneth L. Andrichik				
(Name *) NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.	Ken Andrichik, Ken.Andric	hik@Finra.org		

OMB APPROVAL

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549		
For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website.		
Form 19b-4 Information * Add Remove View	The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.	
Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * Add Remove View	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)	
Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * Add Remove View	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)	
Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document	Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.	
Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document	Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.	
Exhibit 4 - Marked CopiesAddRemoveView	The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.	
Add Remove View	The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.	
Partial Amendment Add Remove View	If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.	

1. <u>Text of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") a proposed rule change to amend FINRA Rule 12512(d) through (e) and FINRA Rule 12513(d) through (e) of the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Customer Disputes ("Customer Code") and FINRA Rule 13512(d) through (e) and FINRA Rule 13513(d) through (e) of the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Industry Disputes ("Industry Code" and together, "Codes"), to expand time for non-parties to respond to arbitration subpoenas and orders of appearance of witnesses or production of documents, and to make related changes to enhance the discovery process for forum users.

The text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit 5.

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.

2. <u>Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization</u>

At its meeting on September 26, 2018, the FINRA Board of Governors authorized the filing of the proposed rule change with the SEC. No other action by FINRA is necessary for the filing of the proposed rule change.

If the Commission approves the proposed rule change, FINRA will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a <u>Regulatory Notice</u> to be published no later

15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

1

than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be no later than 30 days following publication of the <u>Regulatory Notice</u> announcing Commission approval.

Questions regarding this rule filing may be directed to Kristine Vo, Assistant Chief Counsel, Office of Dispute Resolution, at (212) 858-4106.

3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) Purpose

Introduction

The proposed rule change would amend FINRA Rules 12512, 12513, 13512 and 13513 that govern procedures for non-parties to object to subpoenas and for non-parties to object to arbitrator orders of appearance of witnesses or production of documents. The proposed rule change would help ensure that non-parties wanting to object to an order or subpoena have sufficient time to do so. The proposal will also make related changes to enhance the discovery process for forum users.

Background

In arbitration, the parties exchange documents and information to prepare for the arbitration through the discovery process. The Codes currently provide that parties in FINRA arbitration who seek discovery from a non-party may request the panel to issue: (1) an order of appearance of witnesses or production of documents if the non-party is subject to FINRA's jurisdiction as an associated person or member firm or (2) a subpoena

if the non-party is not subject to FINRA's jurisdiction.² If the panel decides to issue the order or subpoena, FINRA will transmit the signed order or subpoena to the moving party to serve on the non-party. If a non-party receiving an order or a subpoena objects to the scope or propriety of the order or subpoena, the non-party may, within 10 calendar days of service of the order or subpoena, file written objections through the Director of the Office of Dispute Resolution (Director).

Concerns about Current Subpoena and Order Rules for Non-Parties

Forum users have raised concerns that the amount of time that non-parties have to respond to orders and subpoenas is insufficient.³ Since non-parties do not have access to the Dispute Resolution Party Portal (Party Portal),⁴ they are currently served using other means (first-class mail, overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile). Recipients of orders and subpoenas reported that the individual at a non-party firm who is responsible for responding to an order or subpoena (*e.g.*, legal staff) may not actually receive a copy of the order or subpoena through internal processes

⁴ The Party Portal provides arbitration forum users with a secure, online location for claim filing and interactions relating to case administration. Parties use the Party Portal to, among other things, file claims, pay filing fees, receive documents from and send documents to FINRA, receive service of claims, submit answers to claims, submit additional case documents, view the status of cases, select arbitrators, schedule hearings and send documents to other Party Portal case participants.

² <u>See Rules 12512 and 12513.</u> <u>See also Rules 13512 and 13513.</u>

³ See, e.g., Letter from Kevin M. Carroll, Managing Director and Associate General Counsel, Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association, to Jennifer Piorko Mitchell, Vice President and Deputy Corporate Secretary, FINRA, dated June 2, 2017 (responding to FINRA's March 2017 Special Notice on FINRA's engagement programs), www.finra.org/sites/default/files/ notice_comment_file_ref/SN-32117_SIFMA-KevinCarroll_comment.pdf.

until after the tenth day from service has passed, thereby causing the non-party firm to risk waiving its ability to timely object to the order or subpoena.⁵ As a non-party to the arbitration, a firm is not able to anticipate the arrival of an order or subpoena and instruct front line employees (*e.g.*, receptionists or mail room personnel) to route these high priority documents to the appropriate individual responsible for responding to the discovery request. Once the objection to an order or subpoena is waived, the non-party must respond to the order or subpoena or risk incurring sanctions or disciplinary action.⁶ Forum users have also raised concerns that the use of first-class mail is not an ideal option in discovery because it is slow. For these reasons, FINRA seeks to offer sufficient time for non-parties to provide the order or subpoena to the appropriate individual who would respond to the discovery request.

Proposed Rule Change

FINRA is proposing three amendments to the Codes to enhance the discovery process for forum users, particularly non-parties. First, FINRA is proposing to amend the Codes to extend the response time for non-parties to object to an order or subpoena from 10 calendar days of service to 15 calendar days of receipt of the order or subpoena. Receipt of overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile is accomplished on the date of delivery. FINRA believes that the proposed rule change would address forum users' concerns because the proposal would help ensure that non-parties wanting to object to an order or subpoena have sufficient time to do so.

⁵ See supra note 3.

⁶ <u>See Rules 12212 and 12511.</u> <u>See also Rules 13212 and 13511.</u>

Second, FINRA is proposing to amend the Codes to exclude first-class mail as an option to serve documents on the non-party and as an option for the non-party to file the objection to the scope or propriety of the order or subpoena.⁷ FINRA believes that by requiring forum users to serve or transmit discovery-related documents through overnight mail service, overnight delivery, hand delivery, email or facsimile, forum users are better able to confirm and facilitate the timing of discovery obligations.

Third, FINRA is proposing to amend the Codes to codify the current practice that the Director sends, at the same time, objections and responses to the panel after the reply date has elapsed, unless otherwise directed by the panel. The Director sends the complete set of motion papers to the panel to ensure that the panel receives the advocacy positions of all parties at the same time. FINRA believes that the proposed rule change will enhance forum users' understanding of existing case administration procedures and will improve transparency concerning forum operations.⁸

As noted in Item 2 of this filing, if the Commission approves the proposed rule change, FINRA will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a <u>Regulatory Notice</u> to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be no later than 30 days following publication of the <u>Regulatory</u> <u>Notice</u> announcing Commission approval.

⁷ Filing and service by first-class mail is accomplished on the date of mailing, but it can take several days to confirm receipt. For purposes of this rule proposal, service by overnight mail, overnight delivery, hand delivery, facsimile or email is accomplished on the date of delivery.

⁸ FINRA notes that the proposed rule change would impact all members, including members that are funding portals or have elected to be treated as capital acquisition brokers ("CABs"), given that the funding portal and CAB rule sets incorporate the impacted FINRA rules by reference.

(b) Statutory Basis

FINRA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,⁹ which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. FINRA believes that the proposed rule change would enhance the discovery process for forum users by giving non-parties additional time to respond to subpoenas and orders. Further, the proposed rule change addresses forum users' concerns on delays with first-class mail and would enhance their ability to confirm and facilitate the timing of discovery obligations. FINRA further believes that the proposed amendments would also enhance the user experience at the forum by standardizing certain procedures relating to subpoenas and orders and will improve transparency concerning forum operations.

4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

FINRA does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. A discussion of the economic impacts of the proposed rule change follows. Economic Impact Assessment

FINRA staff has undertaken an economic impact assessment, as set forth below, to analyze the regulatory need for the proposed rule change, its potential economic impacts, including anticipated costs, benefits, and distributional and competitive effects,

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 780-3(b)(6).

relative to the current baseline, and the alternatives FINRA considered in assessing how to best meet its regulatory objectives.

(a) Regulatory Need

Under the Codes, non-parties to an arbitration have a limited amount of time to object to an order or subpoena. Parties and non-parties may also use options to transmit or serve documents that are slow, further hindering the ability of non-parties to timely object.¹⁰ This could cause non-parties to inadvertently waive their ability to timely object. Non-parties for whom the objection process would be valuable could incur costs associated with this outcome.

(b) Economic Baseline

The economic baseline for the proposed amendments are the Codes that address the length of time for non-parties to respond to arbitrators' orders and subpoenas. The economic baseline also includes the Codes that address the options for parties and nonparties to serve or transmit documents. The proposal is expected to affect non-parties and parties to an arbitration.

Although FINRA does collect information describing orders and subpoenas, FINRA does not collect information specifically identifying orders or subpoenas to nonparties. The frequency in which parties currently request arbitrators to issue orders or subpoenas to non-parties, and whether non-parties respond or object, is therefore not available. Information is also not available to describe the frequency in which nonparties inadvertently waive their ability to timely object to an order or subpoena.

¹⁰ <u>See supra note 7.</u>

(c) Economic Impact

The proposed amendments would extend the response time for non-parties to object to an order or subpoena. The proposed amendments would also exclude first-class mail as an option to transmit or serve documents. The benefits and costs of the proposed amendments are discussed below.

The proposed amendments may benefit non-parties when responding to orders and subpoenas. The proposed amendments would increase the amount of time for nonparties to formulate sound objections and file these objections with the Director and requesting party. Further, non-parties that are able to timely object as a result of the proposed amendments, and that receive a ruling in their favor, would not incur the costs associated with the release of proprietary or non-public information.¹¹

The proposed amendments, however, may impose costs on requesting parties. Non-parties that are able to timely object as a result of the proposed amendments, and that receive a ruling in their favor, would not appear as a witness or produce documents. Requesting parties that would otherwise receive the information may be less able to present a case in arbitration and receive an award in their favor. This potential cost,

¹¹ <u>See supra note 3.</u> The letter notes the potential release of "proprietary, competitively-sensitive, or non-public personal information" as a result of the inability of non-parties to timely object to an order or subpoena. Non-parties would also not incur the costs to appear as a witness or to produce documents that are in excess of the reasonable amount paid as reimbursement by the requesting party.

however, is dependent on whether the information would have affected the arbitrators' award decision.¹²

The proposed amendments may have countervailing effects on the efficiency of the arbitration forum. The increase in the amount of time for non-parties to respond may lengthen the discovery phase of the arbitration proceedings, and therefore the amount of time until the resolution of the dispute. The exclusion of first-class mail as an option to transmit or serve documents, however, may increase the speed of delivery as well as the ability of parties to determine the sequence and timing of discovery. Whether the forum becomes more or less efficient as a result of the proposed amendments is dependent on the number of additional days non-parties take to file an objection to an order or subpoena, as well as the extent to which parties and non-parties transition to more efficient means of communication.

The proposed amendments may also have additional economic impacts. For example, the exclusion of first-class mail may impose additional costs on parties and nonparties that transition to a different, more-expensive option to transmit or serve documents. The proposed amendments would also codify the current practice whereby FINRA holds all documents from objections and responses to orders or subpoenas before sending them at one time after the reply date has elapsed (unless otherwise directed by the panel). FINRA does not believe, however, that any economic impact from the clarification of procedures would be material.

¹² If arbitrators rule in favor of non-parties with respect to the scope or propriety of the order or subpoena, then the information would not likely increase the ability of the requesting parties to present a case in arbitration and receive an award in their favor. Requesting parties, however, would not incur the costs of non-parties to appear as a witness or to produce documents.

(d) Alternatives Considered

The alternatives considered to the proposed amendments include not extending the response time for non-parties to object to an order or subpoena, or extending the response time but for a different number of days. Other alternatives considered include not excluding first-class mail as an option for transmitting or serving documents, or excluding different options.

FINRA considered the benefits to non-parties from extending the response time to object to an order or subpoena with the potential increase in the amount of time for discovery. FINRA also considered the benefits from excluding options to transmit or serve documents with the costs of reducing the number of options. FINRA believes that the proposed amendments increase the ability of non-parties to timely object to an order or subpoena, as well as the efficiency of the discovery process, while minimizing the potential costs to parties and non-parties.

5. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

6. <u>Extension of Time Period for Commission Action</u>

FINRA does not consent at this time to an extension of the time period for Commission action specified in Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.¹³

7. <u>Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for</u> <u>Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) or Section 19(b)(7)(D)</u>

Not applicable.

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

8. <u>Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory</u> <u>Organization or of the Commission</u>

Not applicable.

9. <u>Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act</u> Not applicable.

10. <u>Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing</u> <u>and Settlement Supervision Act</u>

Not applicable.

11. <u>Exhibits</u>

Exhibit 1. Completed notice of proposed rule change for publication in the

Federal Register.

Exhibit 5. Text of the proposed rule change.

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-FINRA-2018-043)

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change to Expand Time for Non-Parties to Respond to Arbitration Subpoenas and Orders of Appearance of Witnesses or Production of Documents

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on , 2018, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by FINRA. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the</u> <u>Proposed Rule Change</u>

FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 12512(d) through (e) and FINRA Rule 12513(d) through (e) of the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Customer Disputes ("Customer Code") and FINRA Rule 13512(d) through (e) and FINRA Rule 13513(d) through (e) of the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Industry Disputes ("Industry Code" and together, "Codes"), to expand time for non-parties to respond to arbitration subpoenas and orders of appearance of witnesses or production of documents, and to make related changes to enhance the discovery process for forum users.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on FINRA's website at <u>http://www.finra.org</u>, at the principal office of FINRA and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FINRA included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FINRA has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

- A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>
- 1. Purpose

Introduction

The proposed rule change would amend FINRA Rules 12512, 12513, 13512 and 13513 that govern procedures for non-parties to object to subpoenas and for non-parties to object to arbitrator orders of appearance of witnesses or production of documents. The proposed rule change would help ensure that non-parties wanting to object to an order or subpoena have sufficient time to do so. The proposal will also make related changes to enhance the discovery process for forum users.

Background

In arbitration, the parties exchange documents and information to prepare for the arbitration through the discovery process. The Codes currently provide that parties in FINRA arbitration who seek discovery from a non-party may request the panel to issue:

(1) an order of appearance of witnesses or production of documents if the non-party is subject to FINRA's jurisdiction as an associated person or member firm or (2) a subpoena if the non-party is not subject to FINRA's jurisdiction.³ If the panel decides to issue the order or subpoena, FINRA will transmit the signed order or subpoena to the moving party to serve on the non-party. If a non-party receiving an order or a subpoena objects to the scope or propriety of the order or subpoena, the non-party may, within 10 calendar days of service of the order or subpoena, file written objections through the Director of the Office of Dispute Resolution (Director).

Concerns about Current Subpoena and Order Rules for Non-Parties

Forum users have raised concerns that the amount of time that non-parties have to respond to orders and subpoenas is insufficient.⁴ Since non-parties do not have access to the Dispute Resolution Party Portal (Party Portal),⁵ they are currently served using other means (first-class mail, overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile). Recipients of orders and subpoenas reported that the individual at a

³ <u>See Rules 12512 and 12513.</u> <u>See also Rules 13512 and 13513.</u>

⁴ See, e.g., Letter from Kevin M. Carroll, Managing Director and Associate General Counsel, Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association, to Jennifer Piorko Mitchell, Vice President and Deputy Corporate Secretary, FINRA, dated June 2, 2017 (responding to FINRA's March 2017 <u>Special Notice</u> on FINRA's engagement programs), www.finra.org/sites/default/files/ notice_comment_file_ref/SN-32117_SIFMA-KevinCarroll_comment.pdf.

⁵ The Party Portal provides arbitration forum users with a secure, online location for claim filing and interactions relating to case administration. Parties use the Party Portal to, among other things, file claims, pay filing fees, receive documents from and send documents to FINRA, receive service of claims, submit answers to claims, submit additional case documents, view the status of cases, select arbitrators, schedule hearings and send documents to other Party Portal case participants.

non-party firm who is responsible for responding to an order or subpoena (*e.g.*, legal staff) may not actually receive a copy of the order or subpoena through internal processes until after the tenth day from service has passed, thereby causing the non-party firm to risk waiving its ability to timely object to the order or subpoena.⁶ As a non-party to the arbitration, a firm is not able to anticipate the arrival of an order or subpoena and instruct front line employees (*e.g.*, receptionists or mail room personnel) to route these high priority documents to the appropriate individual responsible for responding to the discovery request. Once the objection to an order or subpoena is waived, the non-party must respond to the order or subpoena or risk incurring sanctions or disciplinary action.⁷ Forum users have also raised concerns that the use of first-class mail is not an ideal option in discovery because it is slow. For these reasons, FINRA seeks to offer sufficient time for non-parties to provide the order or subpoena to the appropriate individual who would respond to the discovery request.

Proposed Rule Change

FINRA is proposing three amendments to the Codes to enhance the discovery process for forum users, particularly non-parties. First, FINRA is proposing to amend the Codes to extend the response time for non-parties to object to an order or subpoena from 10 calendar days of service to 15 calendar days of receipt of the order or subpoena. Receipt of overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile is accomplished on the date of delivery. FINRA believes that the proposed rule

7

See Rules 12212 and 12511. See also Rules 13212 and 13511.

 $[\]frac{6}{\text{See supra note 4.}}$

Page 18 of 29

change would address forum users' concerns because the proposal would help ensure that non-parties wanting to object to an order or subpoena have sufficient time to do so.

Second, FINRA is proposing to amend the Codes to exclude first-class mail as an option to serve documents on the non-party and as an option for the non-party to file the objection to the scope or propriety of the order or subpoena.⁸ FINRA believes that by requiring forum users to serve or transmit discovery-related documents through overnight mail service, overnight delivery, hand delivery, email or facsimile, forum users are better able to confirm and facilitate the timing of discovery obligations.

Third, FINRA is proposing to amend the Codes to codify the current practice that the Director sends, at the same time, objections and responses to the panel after the reply date has elapsed, unless otherwise directed by the panel. The Director sends the complete set of motion papers to the panel to ensure that the panel receives the advocacy positions of all parties at the same time. FINRA believes that the proposed rule change will enhance forum users' understanding of existing case administration procedures and will improve transparency concerning forum operations.⁹

If the Commission approves the proposed rule change, FINRA will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a <u>Regulatory Notice</u> to be published no later

⁸ Filing and service by first-class mail is accomplished on the date of mailing, but it can take several days to confirm receipt. For purposes of this rule proposal, service by overnight mail, overnight delivery, hand delivery, facsimile or email is accomplished on the date of delivery.

⁹ FINRA notes that the proposed rule change would impact all members, including members that are funding portals or have elected to be treated as capital acquisition brokers ("CABs"), given that the funding portal and CAB rule sets incorporate the impacted FINRA rules by reference.

than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be no later than 30 days following publication of the <u>Regulatory Notice</u> announcing Commission approval.

2. Statutory Basis

FINRA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,¹⁰ which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. FINRA believes that the proposed rule change would enhance the discovery process for forum users by giving non-parties additional time to respond to subpoenas and orders. Further, the proposed rule change addresses forum users' concerns on delays with first-class mail and would enhance their ability to confirm and facilitate the timing of discovery obligations. FINRA further believes that the proposed amendments would also enhance the user experience at the forum by standardizing certain procedures relating to subpoenas and orders and will improve transparency concerning forum operations.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

FINRA does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. A discussion of the economic impacts of the proposed rule change follows.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78<u>o</u>-3(b)(6).

Economic Impact Assessment

FINRA staff has undertaken an economic impact assessment, as set forth below, to analyze the regulatory need for the proposed rule change, its potential economic impacts, including anticipated costs, benefits, and distributional and competitive effects, relative to the current baseline, and the alternatives FINRA considered in assessing how to best meet its regulatory objectives.

(a) Regulatory Need

Under the Codes, non-parties to an arbitration have a limited amount of time to object to an order or subpoena. Parties and non-parties may also use options to transmit or serve documents that are slow, further hindering the ability of non-parties to timely object.¹¹ This could cause non-parties to inadvertently waive their ability to timely object. Non-parties for whom the objection process would be valuable could incur costs associated with this outcome.

(b) Economic Baseline

The economic baseline for the proposed amendments are the Codes that address the length of time for non-parties to respond to arbitrators' orders and subpoenas. The economic baseline also includes the Codes that address the options for parties and nonparties to serve or transmit documents. The proposal is expected to affect non-parties and parties to an arbitration.

Although FINRA does collect information describing orders and subpoenas, FINRA does not collect information specifically identifying orders or subpoenas to nonparties. The frequency in which parties currently request arbitrators to issue orders or

¹¹ <u>See supra note 7.</u>

subpoenas to non-parties, and whether non-parties respond or object, is therefore not available. Information is also not available to describe the frequency in which nonparties inadvertently waive their ability to timely object to an order or subpoena.

(c) Economic Impact

The proposed amendments would extend the response time for non-parties to object to an order or subpoena. The proposed amendments would also exclude first-class mail as an option to transmit or serve documents. The benefits and costs of the proposed amendments are discussed below.

The proposed amendments may benefit non-parties when responding to orders and subpoenas. The proposed amendments would increase the amount of time for nonparties to formulate sound objections and file these objections with the Director and requesting party. Further, non-parties that are able to timely object as a result of the proposed amendments, and that receive a ruling in their favor, would not incur the costs associated with the release of proprietary or non-public information.¹²

The proposed amendments, however, may impose costs on requesting parties. Non-parties that are able to timely object as a result of the proposed amendments, and that receive a ruling in their favor, would not appear as a witness or produce documents. Requesting parties that would otherwise receive the information may be less able to present a case in arbitration and receive an award in their favor. This potential cost,

¹² <u>See supra note 4.</u> The letter notes the potential release of "proprietary, competitively-sensitive, or non-public personal information" as a result of the inability of non-parties to timely object to an order or subpoena. Non-parties would also not incur the costs to appear as a witness or to produce documents that are in excess of the reasonable amount paid as reimbursement by the requesting party.

however, is dependent on whether the information would have affected the arbitrators' award decision.¹³

The proposed amendments may have countervailing effects on the efficiency of the arbitration forum. The increase in the amount of time for non-parties to respond may lengthen the discovery phase of the arbitration proceedings, and therefore the amount of time until the resolution of the dispute. The exclusion of first-class mail as an option to transmit or serve documents, however, may increase the speed of delivery as well as the ability of parties to determine the sequence and timing of discovery. Whether the forum becomes more or less efficient as a result of the proposed amendments is dependent on the number of additional days non-parties take to file an objection to an order or subpoena, as well as the extent to which parties and non-parties transition to more efficient means of communication.

The proposed amendments may also have additional economic impacts. For example, the exclusion of first-class mail may impose additional costs on parties and nonparties that transition to a different, more-expensive option to transmit or serve documents. The proposed amendments would also codify the current practice whereby FINRA holds all documents from objections and responses to orders or subpoenas before sending them at one time after the reply date has elapsed (unless otherwise directed by the panel). FINRA does not believe, however, that any economic impact from the clarification of procedures would be material.

¹³ If arbitrators rule in favor of non-parties with respect to the scope or propriety of the order or subpoena, then the information would not likely increase the ability of the requesting parties to present a case in arbitration and receive an award in their favor. Requesting parties, however, would not incur the costs of non-parties to appear as a witness or to produce documents.

(d) Alternatives Considered

The alternatives considered to the proposed amendments include not extending the response time for non-parties to object to an order or subpoena, or extending the response time but for a different number of days. Other alternatives considered include not excluding first-class mail as an option for transmitting or serving documents, or excluding different options.

FINRA considered the benefits to non-parties from extending the response time to object to an order or subpoena with the potential increase in the amount of time for discovery. FINRA also considered the benefits from excluding options to transmit or serve documents with the costs of reducing the number of options. FINRA believes that the proposed amendments increase the ability of non-parties to timely object to an order or subpoena, as well as the efficiency of the discovery process, while minimizing the potential costs to parties and non-parties.

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule</u> <u>Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the <u>Federal Register</u> or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<u>http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml</u>); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. Please include File Number SR-FINRA-2018-043 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

 Send paper comments in triplicate to Robert W. Errett, Deputy Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FINRA-2018-043. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<u>http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml</u>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld

from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of FINRA. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FINRA-2018-043 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

Robert W. Errett Deputy Secretary

¹⁴ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Exhibit 5

Proposed new language is underlined; deletions are in brackets.

Customer Code

* * * * *

12512. Subpoenas

(a) through (c) No Change.

(d) If the arbitrator issues a subpoena, the party that requested the subpoena must serve the subpoena on all parties and, if applicable, on any non-party receiving the subpoena. The party must serve the subpoena on the non-party by [first-class mail,] overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile.

(e) If a non-party receiving a subpoena objects to the scope or propriety of the subpoena, the non-party may, within [10] <u>15</u> calendar days of [service] <u>receipt</u> of the subpoena, file written objections with the Director <u>and the requesting party</u>. The non-party may file the objection by [first-class mail,] overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile. The Director shall forward a copy of the written objections to [the arbitrator and] all other parties. The party that requested the subpoena may respond to the objections within 10 calendar days of receipt of the objections. The party must serve the response on the non-party <u>and all other parties</u> and file proof of service with the Director pursuant to Rule 12300(c)(5). <u>The Director will send</u>, at the same time, objections and responses to the panel after the reply date has <u>elapsed</u>, <u>unless otherwise directed by the panel</u>. After considering all objections, the arbitrator responsible for issuing the subpoena shall rule promptly on the objections.

(f) through (g) No Change.

12513. Authority of Panel to Direct Appearances of Associated Person Witnesses and Production of Documents Without Subpoenas

(a) through (c) No Change.

(d) If the arbitrator issues an order, the party that requested the order must serve the order on all parties and, if applicable, on any non-party receiving the order. The party must serve the order on the non-party[.] by overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile.

(e) If a non-party receiving an order objects to the scope or propriety of the order, the non-party may, within [10] <u>15</u> calendar days of [service] <u>receipt</u> of the order, file written objections with the Director <u>and the requesting party</u>. The non-party may file the objection by [first-class mail,] overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile. The Director shall forward a copy of the written objections to [the arbitrator and] all other parties. The party that requested the order may respond to the objections within 10 calendar days of receipt of the objections. The party must serve the response on the non-party <u>and all other parties</u> and file proof of service with the Director pursuant to Rule 12300(c)(5). <u>The Director will send, at the same time, objections and responses to the panel after the reply date has elapsed, unless otherwise directed by the panel</u>. After considering all objections, the arbitrator responsible for issuing the order shall rule promptly on the objections.

(f) through (g) No Change.

* * * * *

Industry Code

* * * * *

13512. Subpoenas

(a) through (c) No Change.

(d) If the arbitrator issues a subpoena, the party that requested the subpoena must serve the subpoena on all parties and, if applicable, on any non-party receiving the subpoena. The party must serve the subpoena on the non-party by [first-class mail,] overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile.

(e) If a non-party receiving a subpoena objects to the scope or propriety of the subpoena, the non-party may, within [10] <u>15</u> calendar days of [service] <u>receipt</u> of the subpoena, file written objections with the Director <u>and the requesting party</u>. The non-party may file the objection by [first-class mail,] overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile. The Director shall forward a copy of the written objections to [the arbitrator and] all other parties. The party that requested the subpoena may respond to the objections within 10 calendar days of receipt of the objections. The party must serve the response on the non-party <u>and all other parties</u> and file proof of service with the Director pursuant to Rule 13300(c)(4). <u>The Director will send, at the same time, objections and responses to the panel after the reply date has elapsed, unless otherwise directed by the panel</u>. After considering all objections, the arbitrator responsible for issuing the subpoena shall rule promptly on the objections.

(f) through (g) No Change.

13513. Authority of Panel to Direct Appearances of Associated Person Witnesses and Production of Documents Without Subpoenas

(a) through (c) No Change.

(d) If the arbitrator issues an order, the party that requested the order must serve the order on all parties and, if applicable, on any non-party receiving the order. The party must serve the order on the non-party by [first-class mail] overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile.

(e) If a non-party receiving an order objects to the scope or propriety of the order, the non-party may, within [10] <u>15</u> calendar days of [service] receipt of the order, file written objections with the Director <u>and the requesting party</u>. The non-party may file the objection by [first-class mail,] overnight mail service, overnight delivery service, hand delivery, email or facsimile. The Director shall forward a copy of the written objections to [the arbitrator and] all other parties. The party that requested the order may respond to the objections within 10 calendar days of receipt of the objections. The party must serve the response on the non-party <u>and all other parties</u> and file proof of service with the Director pursuant to Rule 13300(c)(4). <u>The Director will send, at the same time</u>, <u>objections and responses to the panel after the reply date has elapsed, unless otherwise directed by the panel</u>. After considering all objections, the arbitrator responsible for issuing the order shall rule promptly on the objections.

(f) through (g) No Change.

* * * * *