

Marcia E. Asquith  
Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary  
1735 K Street, NW  
Washington DC 20006-1500

RE: Request for public commentary on proposed FINRA Rule 2380 (Leverage Limitation for Retail Forex)

Ms. Marcia E. Asquith

A crisis in the capital markets too often results in more harm than good being done when politicians and bureaucrats feel the need to "do something" in order to prove that they are on the job. For example, The Securities Act of 1933. The "accredited investor" status was created in order to "protect the little guy". All it did was prevent "the little guy" from having access to the best deals. The standards, as defined in the rule, are meaningless when it comes to actual financial sophistication. Madoff ring a bell?

Sarbanes-Oxley pushing capital to foreign markets, forced mark-to-market accounting killing off Bear Stearns et al., OFHEO being castigated by Congress in 2004 for trying to rein in Fannie/Freddie, the SEC asleep at the switch regarding Madoff and don't get me started on the bogus "Stimulus" bill. The biggest issues in the US capital markets are caused by either do-gooder regulations which run head first into the Law of Unintended Consequences or by incompetence on the part of the regulators and legislators who are supposed to be minding the store.

Retail Forex traders are well aware of what high leverage means and it is one of the main reasons we seek out this market place. Actual leverage is reduced by simply taking an appropriate position size relative to available capital based on the calculated risk/reward ratio for a trade. This is accomplished by the use of an appropriate stop or hedge. This reduces the effective leverage on a trade by trade basis. I would hope that anyone seeking to regulate a market would understand this, although the wording of the proposal casts serious doubt on this.

We don't need to be protected from ourselves by regulators and legislators who are under the microscope for failing at their previously assigned duties. An effort to reduce the leverage available in the retail forex market will simply cause a mass closing of US based brokers with the subsequent loss of many jobs and the flow of capital to non-US based brokers. I realize the increased capital requirements on forex brokers by the NFA has caused smaller brokers to seek the FINRA umbrella. Rather than tossing them out into the street, why don't you work with them in a way that is mutually beneficial? We need honest brokers, regardless of their size, and their size simply needs to be proportional to their customer base. Like an honest auto mechanic, a good broker will attract money on its own.

This "idea" is just as poorly thought out and presented as the NFA's recent request to the CFTC to stop hedging in the forex markets. All this attention to the forex markets makes

me wonder what's really going on. I'm sure it will all come to light eventually. It always does.

Please reconsider this proposed rule. It is unnecessary and ill-conceived. Far more beneficial would be for FINRA to find a way to welcome smaller brokers shut out by the NFA.

Sincerely,

Steve Gallagher  
Evonne Doctor  
Kathy Muller  
Ernie Gazdag  
Harry Engleman  
John McCann  
Diane Mumma-Wakabayashi  
Ann Esaias  
Dennis Nowicki  
Richard J. Williams  
Ray Figlewski  
Mike Wietor  
Chuck Sande  
Paul Otlo  
Kim Oliver  
Tracy Forman  
Richard Schmidt  
Steven Gleason  
Don Fortner  
Irawan Susanto  
Erik Pettersen  
Brian Dawson  
Mary McAllister  
Patricia Barkman  
Ray Figlewski  
Larry Weis  
Chrisjean Tiberti  
Al Hanson  
Keith T. Maring  
Jerry J  
Bob O'Brien  
Tim Primavera Sr.  
Pamela Cox  
Alain Chevrot