## Faculty Supervisors

JONATHAN BROWN DAVID N. DORFMAN MARGARET M. FLINT ROBIN FRANKEL ELISSA GERMAINE THOMAS MCDONNELL VANESSA MERTON JASON PARKIN

## JOHN JAY LEGAL SERVICES, INC.

ELISABETH HAUB SCHOOL OF LAW 80 NORTH BROADWAY WHITE PLAINS, NY 10603 TEL 914-422-4333 FAX 914-422-4391 JJLS@LAW.PACE.EDU Executive Director MARGARET M. FLINT

Clinic Administrator ROBERT WALKER

Staff MIGUEL SANCHEZ ROBLES BRENDA THORNTON

June 19, 2018

## VIA EMAIL

Jennifer Piorko Mitchell Office of the Corporate Secretary FINRA 1735 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1506

## **Re: FINRA Regulatory Notice 18-13, Proposed Amendments to the Quantitative Suitability Obligation Under FINRA Rule 2111**

Dear Ms. Mitchell:

The Investor Rights Clinic at the Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University, operating through John Jay Legal Services, Inc. (PIRC),<sup>1</sup> welcomes the opportunity to comment on FINRA's proposal to amend the quantitative suitability obligation under Rule 2111. Specifically, FINRA proposes removing the element of control that currently must be proved to demonstrate a violation, but would still require a showing that the transactions were recommended and that the level of trading was excessive and unsuitable in light of the customer's investment profile. PIRC supports the proposed amendments as they aim to more effectively address instances of excessive trading in customers' accounts by alleviating the burden of proving an unnecessary element to succeed in a claim.

Currently, under the quantitative suitability obligation, control can be actual or de facto. While actual control exists when a broker has formal discretionary authority over a customer's account, a showing of de facto control generally depends on whether the customer routinely follows the broker's advice due to the customer's inability to evaluate the broker's recommendations and exercise independent judgment. PIRC agrees with FINRA that these assessments place a heavy and unnecessary burden on customers. The proposed amendments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PIRC opened in 1997 as the nation's first law school clinic in which law students, for academic credit and under close faculty supervision, provide pro bono representation to individual investors of modest means in arbitrable securities disputes. *See* Barbara Black, *Establishing A Securities Arbitration Clinic: The Experience at Pace*, 50 J. LEGAL EDUC. 35 (2000); *see also* Press Release, Securities Exchange Commission, SEC Announces Pilot Securities Arbitration Clinic To Help Small Investors - Levitt Responds To Concerns Voiced At Town Meetings (Nov. 12, 1997), available at <a href="http://www.sec.gov/news/press/pressarchive/1997/97-101.txt">http://www.sec.gov/news/press/pressarchive/1997/97-101.txt</a>.

eliminate the control element while reinforcing the recommendation element of quantitative suitability, thereby ensuring that culpability for excessive trading rests with the appropriate party. Thus, PIRC agrees with FINRA that the proposed amendments will eliminate an unwarranted defense for brokers, resulting in increased investor protection and accountability for wrongdoers.

Respectfully submitted,

Pace Investor Rights Clinic

Matla Garcia Chavolla Student Intern, PIRC

Elissa Germaine Director, PIRC