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- Identify issues relevant to senior investors.
- Understand some key effective practices when handling calls from seniors with concerns or questions about their account.
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- Gain a general understanding of state mandatory reporting of elder abuse.
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Senior Investing
Situation #2

- 88 Year old Male
- $1.2M Deposit
- Does not need the income
- $10.0M net worth
- Good Health and Mental Acuity
Outcome #2

- Invested $1.2M at Jackson National
- Return of Premium Death Benefit
- Invested 100% for Growth
- Account Value is $1.8M
- Gain of $600K compares favorably to a 1% CD that would have yielded $40K over the same time period
Situation #4

- 83 year old Male
- Existing Variable Annuity account value of $976k
- Smart Security Guarantee: 5% Withdrawal for 20 years ($48k per year)
- Due to Previous Withdrawal, remaining guarantee $813k
- Current Account Value of $763k
- Current Death Benefit: $590k
Outcome #4 (a)

- 1035 Exchange to Transamerica
- Lifetime Income of $44k per year
- Death Benefit $763k
- Income Benefits Lock Monthly or increase by 5% compounded Annually
- Contract has a Nursing Home rider with 9% withdrawal right
- Client incurred $22k withdrawal penalty
Outcome #4 (b)

- Involved Daughter, Senior Executive at National Brokerage Firm
- Generated Fee Disclosure Letter for Client and Broker Signature
- As of 4/30/15, Account Value is $764K
- Client has taken $114K out since January 2013
- Now has rights to $49K per year for Life
Fee Disclosure

- Separate Fee Disclosure Letter required for any withdrawal fee/penalty/CDSC of $1,000 or more
- Must Describe old benefits/costs, new benefits/CDSC charges and reason for transfer
- Must be signed by both Client and Broker prior to commissions being paid
FINRA
Is your Friend

- SBG has had no significant questions regarding Business Practices in the last three FNRA/SEC audits
- This is attributable to our Fee Disclosure Letters
- Zero Complaints from our client base
- Proper Disclosure for a justifiable transaction is the key to clean regulatory examinations
“One Good Investment Could Be Worth A Lifetime of Hard Work”
Diminished Capacity Case Study 1

An elderly client, 85, calls to complain about a transaction. During this call he seems to get confused and discusses a transaction from a year ago.

What should the broker do?
Mrs. Smith, a 73 year old client, has been the broker’s client for 30 years. She has been in and out of the hospital lately. The RR knows her beneficiaries are her three children. One of those children, a daughter, calls the broker and tells him that her mother is sick and suffering dementia. She is anxious and upset. She tells the she wants to transfer the assets to a Medicare trust for her mother.

What should the RR do?
What should the firm do?
Elder Abuse Case Study 1

A client, 86 years old, calls and tells RR he needs to transfer $50,000 to an account oversees as he has won a lottery and needs to pay a lawyer to facilitate his receipt of the funds.

What should the Firm do?
Elder Abuse Case Study 2

A client of 25 years, age 83, calls and suddenly changes his beneficiaries from his three children to a previously unknown third party. He is of seeming sound mind and healthy. His son calls concerned that someone is trying to take his father’s assets.

What do you do?
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Points to Cover

- How we handle cases
- What are our procedures and policies
- Our problem areas
Top 5 Challenges

- POA Abuse
- 3rd Party Investment Scams
- Dementia / Diminished Capacity
- Familial Disputes
- New (and expensive) “Best Friends”
One Firm’s Inventory

- Training
- Centralized Unit
- Partnerships
- Educational Outreach
- Client Focused Information
“Own It”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What to do</th>
<th>Additional detail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observe</strong></td>
<td>Are there physical changes? Patterns and habits are different? How is the elder around the third party person present?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wonder why</strong></td>
<td>Why are withdrawal multiples larger than before? Why money to a new country?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Negotiate</strong></td>
<td>Can the transaction go later? Can the check go in two names, elder and trusted 3rd party? Can we only give a fraction of the money today and more later?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Isolate</strong></td>
<td>Get the elder alone- “Ms. Smith please step out with me to confirm some account information.” “Please come with me to discuss some confidential information.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tattle</strong></td>
<td>Bring concerns to manager immediately. Use firm’s APS reporting process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is needed?

- Help with Diminished Capacity
- Work on Privacy Safe Harbors
- Express Authority to Delay or Decline
- Nationwide Entry Point & Database of Elder Financial Abuse Cases
- SARs Reporting Clarification, Dedicated Review and Additional Considerations
- Online Form for Reporting at State and National Levels
- Better Trained and Coordinated Efforts with APS, Prosecutors, Regulators, Industry & Investors
- Eliminate the Chilling Effect of Reporting Elder Financial Abuse
- Public, Private and Non-profit Partnerships
- Public Service Announcements
Resources

**Wells Fargo Hands on Banking – Seniors**
(http://www.handsonbanking.org/financial-education/hands-on-banking-for-seniors/)

**American Bar Association** –
Legal Issues Related to Elder Abuse: A Pocket Guide for Law Enforcement
(www.ambar.org/ElderAbuseGuides)

**National Center for Victims of Crime** –
Webinar Series - Recognize, Report, and Recover
(http://www.victimsofcrime.org/training/archived-trainings)
Senior Designations

FINRA Reminds Firms of Their Obligations Regarding the Supervision of Registered Persons Using Senior Designations

Executive Summary

FINRA is publishing this Notice to remind firms of their supervisory obligations regarding the use of certifications and designations that imply expertise, certification, training or specialty in advising senior investors (senior designations). This Notice also outlines findings from a survey of firms and highlights sound practices used by firms with respect to senior designations. Firms are encouraged to adopt the practices that are outlined in this Notice to strengthen their own supervisory procedures, as appropriate to their business.

Questions or comments concerning this Notice may be directed to Lisa Stepuszek, Associate Director, Office of Risk, at (561) 443-8015.

Background and Discussion

For several years, FINRA has focused on firms’ fair dealings with senior investors, as well as investors at or approaching retirement (hereinafter together referred to as senior investors). In this regard, in September 2007, FINRA issued Regulatory Notice 07-43, which highlighted certain issues that are common to many senior investors and reminded firms of their obligations in this area. In 2008 and 2010, FINRA joined with other regulators to issue findings and guidance on firms’ practices relative to senior investors. Most recently, in the 2011 Annual Regulatory and Examination Priorities Letter, FINRA reiterated that the protection of vulnerable customers, including senior investors, continues to be a high regulatory priority.

One area of particular focus is the use of certifications and designations that imply expertise, certification, training or specialty in advising senior investors.
2011 Senior Designation Survey
To better understand the use and oversight of senior designations, in January 2011, FINRA surveyed retail broker-dealer firms that varied in terms of size, location, product mix and business model. One hundred fifty-seven firms provided responses to the survey, which focused on the prevalence of senior designation usage, the extent to which particular senior designations were used or prohibited and the supervisory systems in place regarding senior designations. Additional survey results are available at www.finra.org/industry/issues/seniors.

Survey responses point to widespread use of senior designations in the broker-dealer community. Specifically, 68 percent of firms that completed the survey indicated that they allow the use of senior designations by registered persons. Of the firms that permit the use of senior designations:

- 89 percent currently have registered persons who use senior designations; and
- 11 percent do not have any registered persons using senior designations at this time.

The following chart summarizes the survey responses of those firms that have registered persons who use senior designations.

Survey respondents that allow registered persons to use senior designations were asked whether they prohibit the use of any particular designations. While 73 percent of the responding firms reported that they prohibit the use of particular senior designations, 27 percent said that they do not have any such prohibitions. Of the firms that prohibit the use of particular designations, some banned the use of only one or two designations while other firms were much more restrictive—i.e., allowing the use of only a small number of designations.
Firms were also asked whether they require registered persons to obtain senior or other professional designations prior to marketing certain products to senior investors. Only two responding firms indicated that they require designations relative to interactions with senior investors.

When asked how the firms determined which designations could be used by registered persons, a majority of firms that completed the survey indicated that they review course work, other prerequisites and continuing education requirements prior to allowing or approving a designation. A smaller number of the responding firms indicated that they took a narrower approach by permitting only a select few designations. Other criteria used to determine whether a designation would be permitted included an assessment of state requirements that regulate the use of designations.

In certain instances, senior designations approved by firms or widely used by registered persons did not require rigorous qualification standards. As a result, the existence of qualification standards to obtain a designation did not ensure that those registered persons holding the designation possessed financial services skills that were unique or valuable to senior investors. This finding was consistent across survey participants and is of concern to FINRA. Investors are unlikely to differentiate between designations that represent an enhanced level of proficiency in dealing with financial matters relevant to senior investors versus a designation that is simply a marketing tool.

Sound Practices

This Notice is not intended as a comprehensive summary of all compliance and supervisory matters pertaining to senior designations; rather, it discusses measures that may assist firms in complying with their supervisory obligations. FINRA encourages firms to consider the practices described below in assessing their own procedures and implementing improvements that will best protect their customers.

Most responding firms indicated that they have adopted and implemented policies governing the use of senior designations. Some firms simply ban the use of senior designations, while other firms establish standards necessary to obtain approval for their use. When standards and approvals are in place, most firms that completed the survey indicated that they monitor whether registered persons have met the criteria to use a particular senior designation.

NASD Rule 3010 requires each firm to establish and maintain a system to supervise the activities of each registered person that is reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable securities laws and regulations and FINRA rules. As discussed in Regulatory Notice 07-43, firms, at a minimum, must have supervisory procedures in place reasonably designed to prevent their registered persons from using a senior designation in a manner that is unethical or misleading. Firms that allow the use of any title or designation that
conveys an expertise in senior investments or retirement planning where such expertise does not exist may violate FINRA Rule 2010, NASD Rule 2210, NYSE Rule 472, and possibly the anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws and FINRA rules.

NASD Rule 2210 and NYSE 472 prohibit firms and registered persons from making false, exaggerated, unwarranted or misleading statements or claims in communications with the public. This prohibition includes referencing nonexistent or self-conferred degrees or designations or referencing legitimate degrees or designations in a misleading manner. Firms therefore must have adequate supervisory procedures in place to ensure that their registered persons do not violate this requirement. As with all supervisory procedures, these procedures should be written, clearly communicated to employees, effectively enforced, and they should cover how approved designations may be used. In addition, FINRA reminds firms that all advertisements and sales literature as defined in NASD Rule 2210(a), including communications that include the use of these designations, must be approved prior to use and in writing by a registered principal pursuant to NASD Rule 2210(b)(1).

Firms may also want to consider adopting some of the practices and procedures described below, as appropriate based on their circumstances. For instance, firms may reduce the risk of confusion or overreliance by their customers by implementing procedures aimed at only permitting their registered persons to use senior designations that instill substantive knowledge to better serve and protect senior investors.

**Designation Standards and Supervision**

Some firms indicated that they prohibit designations that do not have:

- a rigorous curriculum;
- an emphasis on ethics;
- continuing education requirements;
- a method for determining the registered person’s status regarding the designation; and/or
- a public disciplinary process.

When deciding whether to use or approve designations, certain firms stated that registered persons who want to use a senior designation and represent themselves as experts in senior and retirement investing must remain current with continuing education or other requirements necessary to remain in good standing with the issuing organization. The firms also require registered persons to demonstrate a level of experience in retirement planning and other related matters, as well as the ability to work with senior investors.

In addition, many firms indicated that they take into consideration whether a designation is recognized by a reputable or accredited organization and whether the issuing organization has a code of ethics or a standard of professional conduct that must be followed.
Communications With the Public
Many firms identified valuable practices focused on comprehensive reviews of advertising, sales literature, correspondence and emails to detect:

- registered persons who may be using a self-conferred or misleading designation without the knowledge of the firm; and/or
- designations being used that have not been earned or approved.

To detect and prevent these violative practices, some firms only allow registered persons to use business cards or letterhead stationery that is prepared centrally and approved by the firm. Other firms seek to curtail instances where senior investors could be most vulnerable by prohibiting the use of senior designations during sales seminars or “free lunches” with senior investors. Additionally, some firms use key word searches when reviewing correspondence and emails to monitor the use of designations. Certain responding firms also conduct unannounced audits of branch offices to ensure, among other things, that they are aware of all advertising, sales literature and correspondence being used with customers and that all materials are being used appropriately.

Training
Certain firms represented that they require registered persons to attend training sessions focused on:

- retirement planning;
- ethics in working with senior investors; and/or
- the proper use of senior designations in advertising, sales literature and correspondence.

This type of training enables firms to convey information to registered persons regarding the special issues that relate to senior investors.

Annual Attestations
In addition to requiring pre-approval to use senior designations, some firms required registered persons to periodically certify:

- the designations they use;
- that designations they use are not self-conferred;
- that they meet the continuing education requirements; and/or
- that they are in good standing with the organization that has conferred the designation.

While this information is self-reported by the registered person, it provides firms with an additional level of information to assist with monitoring and supervising the use of designations.
Conclusion

The survey results indicate that while some firms ban senior designations outright, it is more common for firms to permit the use of senior designations pursuant to specified supervisory procedures. The majority of firms responding to the survey that allow the use of senior designations require, at a minimum, registered persons to obtain prior approval or to use only designations that have been pre-approved by the firm. Some firms also verify required credentials underlying designations.

While these results are positive, the survey indicated that some supervisory procedures were not particularly discerning regarding the quality of the designations that registered persons were permitted to use. In addition, some firms had no meaningful procedures for determining the prerequisites for obtaining designations used by their registered persons. FINRA encourages firms to consider implementing, as appropriate to their business, the sound practices outlined in this Notice to strengthen their own supervisory procedures.

Endnotes

Senior Investors

FINRA Reminds Firms of Their Obligations Relating to Senior Investors and Highlights Industry Practices to Serve these Customers

Executive Summary

One of FINRA’s priorities is the protection of senior investors, as well as Baby Boomers who are at or approaching retirement. FINRA’s efforts in this area include investor education, member education and outreach, examinations and enforcement. The purpose of this Notice is to urge firms to review and, where warranted, enhance their policies and procedures for complying with FINRA sales practice rules, as well as other applicable laws, regulations and ethical principles, in light of the special issues that are common to many senior investors. The Notice also highlights, for the consideration of FINRA’s member firms, a number of practices that some firms have adopted to better serve these customers.

Questions concerning this Notice may be directed to:

➤ Laura Gansler, Associate Vice President, Office of Emerging Regulatory Issues, at (202) 728-8275;
➤ James Wrona, Associate Vice President and Associate General Counsel, Office of General Counsel, at (202) 728-8270; and
➤ John Komoroske, Vice President, Office of Investor Education, at (202) 728-8475.

Referenced Rules & Notices

➤ NASD IM-2310-3
➤ NASD Rule 2110
➤ NASD Rule 2210
➤ NASD Rule 2310
➤ NTM 96-86
➤ NTM 99-35
➤ NTM 03-71
➤ NTM 04-30
➤ NTM 04-89
➤ NTM 05-26
➤ NTM 05-59
➤ NTM 06-38
➤ NYSE Information Memo 05-54
➤ NYSE Rule 472
Discussion

The number of Americans who are at or nearing retirement age is growing at an unprecedented pace. The United States population aged 65 years and older is expected to double in size within the next 25 years. By 2030, almost 1 out of every 5 Americans—approximately 72 million people—will be 65 years old or older. Those who are 85 years old and older are now in the fastest-growing segment of the U.S. population. At the same time, Americans are living longer than ever, meaning that retirement assets have to last longer than ever, too. Moreover, fewer and fewer retirees and pre-retirees can rely on traditional corporate pension plans to provide for a meaningful portion of retirement needs. Therefore, the financial decisions made by those who are at or nearing retirement are more important than ever before.

FINRA understands that, as with other investors, levels of wealth, income and financial sophistication vary among older investors. FINRA does not have special rules for senior customers. Firms owe all their customers the same obligations and duties. However, in executing those duties, age and life stage (whether pre-retired, semi-retired or retired) can be important factors, and firms should make sure that the procedures they have in place take these considerations into account where appropriate. Two areas of particular concern to FINRA are the suitability of recommendations to, and communications aimed at, older investors.

Suitability

NASD Rule 2310 requires that in recommending “the purchase, sale or exchange of any security, a member shall have reasonable grounds for believing that the recommendation is suitable” for that customer, based on “the facts, if any, disclosed by such customer as to his other security holdings and as to his financial situation and needs.” The rule also requires that, before executing a recommended transaction, a firm must make reasonable efforts to obtain information concerning the customer’s financial status, tax status, investment objectives and “such other information used or considered to be reasonable by such member or registered representative in making recommendations to the customer.”

Although the rule does not explicitly refer to a customer’s age or life stage, both are important factors to consider in performing a suitability analysis. As investors age, their investment time horizons, goals, risk tolerance and tax status may change. Liquidity often takes on added importance. And, depending on their particular circumstances, seniors and retirees may have less tolerance for certain types of risk than other investors. For example, retirees living solely on fixed incomes may be more vulnerable to inflation risk than those who are still in the workforce, depending on the number of years those retirees are likely to rely on fixed incomes. Likewise, investors whose investment time horizons afford less time or opportunity to recover investment losses may be disproportionately affected by market fluctuations.
Therefore, firms cannot adequately assess the suitability of a product or transaction for a particular customer without making reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer’s age, life stage and liquidity needs. Other questions to consider include:

➤ Is the customer currently employed? If so, how much longer does he or she plan to work?
➤ What are the customer’s primary expenses? For example, does the customer still have a mortgage?
➤ What are the customer’s sources of income? Is the customer living on a fixed income or anticipate doing so in the future?
➤ How much income does the customer need to meet fixed or anticipated expenses?
➤ How much has the customer saved for retirement? How are those assets invested?
➤ How important is the liquidity of income-generating assets to the customer?
➤ What are the customer’s financial and investment goals? For example, how important is generating income, preserving capital or accumulating assets for heirs?
➤ What health care insurance does the customer have? Will the customer be relying on investment assets for anticipated and unanticipated health costs?

Firms should carefully consider the risk of a product with the age and retirement status of the customer in mind, including its market, inflation and issuer credit risk. Investment involves varying degrees of risk and reward. For many investors who are at or nearing retirement, there can be a temptation to reach for yield to maximize retirement income without the appreciation of the concomitant risk. Moreover, it can be difficult for some investors to fully appreciate the risks of certain products or strategies, particularly if they are concerned about running out of money. Yet, especially when investments involve retirement accounts or lump-sum pension plan payments, taking undue risks with funds needed to last a lifetime can be financially disastrous.

Firms do not have an obligation to shield their customers from risks that customers want to take, but they are required to fully understand the products recommended by their registered representatives, to give their customers a fair and balanced picture of the risks, costs and benefits associated with the products or transactions they recommend and recommend only those products that are suitable in light of the customer’s financial goals and needs.5

This does not mean that all seniors are, or should be, risk-averse, or that any particular product, per se, is unsuitable for older investors. However, certain products or strategies pose risks that may be unsuitable for many seniors, because of time horizon
considerations, liquidity, volatility or inflation risk. Therefore, FINRA’s examiners are focusing on recommendations to seniors, particularly those that involve the following:

- Products that have withdrawal penalties or otherwise lack liquidity, such as deferred variable annuities, equity indexed annuities, some real estate investments and limited partnerships;
- Variable life settlements;
- Complex structured products, such as collateralized debt obligations (CDOs);
- Mortgaging home equity for investment purposes; and
- Using retirement savings, including early withdrawals from IRAs, to invest in high-risk investments.

Many of these have been the subject of separate rulemaking or other guidance from FINRA in the past. For example, FINRA has repeatedly stated that variable annuities are generally considered to be long-term investments and are therefore typically not suitable for investors who have short-term investment horizons. This is true even of some variable annuities that offer riders specifically designed for seniors, including those offering guaranteed life benefits. We also have issued guidance on the suitability of variable life settlements, which are generally aimed at investors over the age of 70; and the use of home equity for investment purposes. FINRA also is concerned about recommendations that investors use retirement savings, in some cases by making early withdrawals from IRAs pursuant to Section 72(t) of the Internal Revenue Code, to make unsuitable alternative investments.

As we have in the past, we also caution firms that a customer’s net worth alone is not determinative of whether a particular product is suitable for that investor, even when the investor qualifies as an accredited investor under Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933. Over-reliance on net worth is particularly problematic where an investor meets the accredited investor standard based largely on home values, which may represent the largest asset of many senior investors. Simply put, eligibility does not equal suitability.

Firms also are reminded that their suitability obligation applies to institutional customers, as well as retail customers, although the scope of that obligation varies depending on whether the institution is able to independently assess the risk associated with a particular recommendation and is in fact exercising independent judgment. FINRA is concerned about the suitability of recommendations to some pension plans, particularly recommendations involving relatively new, complicated or high-risk asset classes, such as leveraged exchange-traded funds (ETFs) or the equity tranches of some collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs). As NASD IM-2310-3 points out, even institutional customers that have the general capability to assess risk may not be able to understand a particular instrument, particularly a product that is new or that has significantly different risk and volatility characteristics than other investments made by the institution. Therefore, in making recommendations to institutional customers, including pension plans, firms should consider both the general ability of the institution to independently assess investment risk, and whether the customer understands the particular product well enough to exercise that ability with respect to the recommendation.
Communications with the Public

Senior Designations and Credentials

FINRA also is concerned about the proliferation of professional designations, particularly those that suggest an expertise in retirement planning or financial services for seniors, such as “certified senior adviser,” “senior specialist,” “retirement specialist” or “certified financial gerontologist.” The criteria used by organizations that grant professional designations for investment professionals vary greatly. Some designations require formal certification, with procedures that include completion of a detailed and rigorous curriculum focused on financial issues, culminating with one or more examinations, as well as mandatory continuing professional education. On the other end of the spectrum, some designations can be obtained simply by paying membership dues. Nonetheless, seniors may be led to believe that these individuals are particularly qualified to assist them based on such designations. A recent FINRA Investor Education Foundation-sponsored survey found that a quarter of senior investors surveyed were told by an investment professional that the investment professional was specially accredited to advise them on senior financial issues, and a half of those investors were more likely to listen to the professional’s advice because of it.

Firms that allow the use of any title or designation that conveys an expertise in senior investments or retirement planning where such expertise does not exist may violate NASD Rules 2110 and 2210, NYSE Rule 472, and possibly the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws. In addition, some states prohibit or restrict the use of senior designations.\(^{13}\)

NASD Rule 2210 and NYSE 472 prohibit firms and registered representatives from making false, exaggerated, unwarranted or misleading statements or claims in communications with the public. This prohibition includes referencing nonexistent or self-conferred degrees or designations or referencing legitimate degrees or designations in a misleading manner. Firms therefore must have adequate supervisory procedures in place to ensure that their registered representatives do not violate this requirement. As with all supervisory procedures, these procedures should be written, clearly communicated to employees, and effectively enforced. And, they should cover how approved designations may be used.

Some firms FINRA surveyed in connection with the preparation of this Notice ban the use of any designation that includes the word “senior” or “retirement.” Others maintain a list of approved designations, and a registered representative wishing to use a designation not on the list must submit it for review by a committee consisting of principals, compliance officers and/or legal department personnel. Criteria used by committees to review proposed designations include the curriculum, examinations and continuing education components. To help investors and firms understand professional designations, FINRA maintains a database of such designations and the qualifications, if any, that are needed to obtain them at [http://apps.finra.org/DataDirectory/3/prodesignations.aspx](http://apps.finra.org/DataDirectory/3/prodesignations.aspx). Please note, however, that FINRA does not approve or endorse any professional designation, and it maintains the list solely to assist in the evaluation of the listed designations.\(^{14}\)
In addition to senior designations, FINRA notes that some third-party vendors are marketing ghostwritten books on senior investing to registered representatives as tools to establish credibility. Holding oneself out as the author of a book on senior investing, and therefore an expert, could violate a number of rules, including NASD Rules 2110, 2120 and 2210, and NYSE Rule 472.

High-Pressure Sales Seminars Aimed at Seniors

Another area of concern to FINRA and other regulators is the use of aggressive or misleading sales tactics aimed at seniors, particularly the use of “free lunch” seminars that use high-pressure sales tactics to promote products that may not be suitable for all persons in attendance. Such high-pressure tactics include attempts to create an artificial or inappropriate sense of urgency around major decisions or commitments (e.g., the use of phases such as “limited time offer” or “you have to sign up today”) or that heighten or exaggerate typical fears of older investors (e.g., the return of double-digit inflation or becoming financially dependent on family members). In response to these concerns, in May 2006, FINRA conducted a series of on-site examinations of broker-dealers that offer so-called “free lunch” sales seminars aimed at seniors. Other regulators simultaneously conducted similar examinations of investment advisers and other firms that offer such seminars.

In the course of the coordinated examinations, regulators found troubling sales practices, including the use of false or misleading sales materials used in connection with high-pressure sales seminars aimed exclusively or primarily at seniors or those at or nearing retirement. Among the most common practices were inaccurate or exaggerated claims regarding the safety, liquidity or expected returns of the investment or strategy being touted; scare tactics; misrepresentations or material omissions about the product or strategy; conflicts of interest; or misleading credentials used by persons sponsoring or participating in the seminar. The examinations also detected instances in which advertisements failed to include the firm’s name, or made improper use of testimonials, in violation of NASD Rule 2210(d). The full discussion of the regulators’ findings is presented in Protecting Senior Investors: Report of Examinations of Securities Firms Providing “Free Lunch” Sales Seminars (Report), available at www.finra.org/reports.

FINRA will continue to follow up on the examination findings that relate to its members and will bring disciplinary actions where warranted. We also will continue to pay particular attention to the conduct of firms and their registered representatives in connection with sales seminars that are aimed primarily at seniors. We therefore urge firms to review their policies and procedures relating to sales seminars to make sure they are adequate. In doing so, firms should consult Appendix A of the Report, which contains detailed best practices for supervising sales seminar activities. These practices were identified by regulators in the course of the examinations as elements of effective supervisory procedures.
Diminished Capacity and Suspected Financial Abuse of Seniors

In addition to the regulatory concerns discussed above, there are other issues that firms sometimes encounter when dealing with senior investors. One of the most troubling to the firms we surveyed is that of investors who exhibit signs of diminished mental capacity. Unfortunately, this difficult and sensitive issue is likely to become more common as the ranks of older seniors grow: a recent study published by the National Institute on Aging reveals that impaired cognition affects approximately 20 percent of people aged 85 years or older.

Another troubling issue is suspected financial—and sometimes mental or physical—abuse of senior customers by their family members or caregivers. Financial abuse is difficult to define, and therefore, difficult to recognize. In general terms, it is the misuse of an older adult’s money or belongings by a relative or a person in a position of trust. Red flags can include sudden, atypical or unexplained withdrawals; drastic shifts in investment style; inability to contact the senior customer; signs of intimidation or reluctance to speak in the presence of a caregiver; and isolation from friends and family.

These sensitive issues were raised repeatedly by the firms we surveyed for this Notice, and we include in this Notice, for the consideration of other FINRA members, some of the steps that firms, as a matter of sound business practice and as a way of serving their senior customers, are taking to address them. In doing so, we are not suggesting that firms are required to take these steps, including developing special written supervisory procedures for servicing senior customers. Firms and clients differ, and policies and procedures that work well for one firm may not be appropriate for another. The steps include:

➤ Designating a specific individual or department, such as the compliance or legal department, to serve as a central advisory contact for questions about senior issues, as well as a repository of available resources.

➤ Providing written guidance to employees on senior-related issues, such as how to identify and/or what to do if they suspect their customer is experiencing diminished capacity or is being abused, financially or otherwise, by a family member, caregiver or other third party.

For example, one firm FINRA surveyed has very detailed procedures requiring its employees to immediately notify their branch manager, supervisor or another designated firm employee if they suspect abuse. Under the firm’s procedures, that person in turn must notify the firm’s legal department, which may decide to report the suspected abuse to the appropriate state agency; restrict activity in the account and/or take any action necessary to comply with appropriate court orders. In addition, the firm requires that the contact with the legal department be documented in the customer’s file in accordance with the firm’s record retention schedule. The supervisor or branch manager also is instructed to contact local emergency services if immediate physical abuse of a senior investor is suspected.

➤ Asking, either at account opening or at a later point, whether the customer has executed a durable power of attorney. (Some firms report that it is easier to have conversations with their customers about such sensitive issues as a matter of routine.)
Asking, either at account opening or at a later time, whether the customer would like to designate a secondary or emergency contact for the account whom the firm could contact if it could not contact the customer or had concerns about the customer’s whereabouts or health. (To avoid violating Regulation S-P, firms would have to clearly disclose to the customer the conditions under which the information would be used, and the customer would have the right to withdraw consent at any time.)

Asking the customer if he or she would like to invite a friend or family member to accompany the customer to appointments at the firm.

Informing the customer (where appropriate) that, in the firm’s view, a particular unsolicited trade is not suitable for the customer.

Reminding registered representatives that it is important when dealing with customers, particularly seniors, to base recommendations on current information.

Offering training to help registered representatives understand and meet the needs of older investors, including proper asset allocation, liquidity demand and longevity needs, as well as the possible changes in their suitability profiles. Some relevant materials are available at www.finra.org and www.saveandinvest.org. Further, some firms have invited representatives from senior-related advocacy groups, the Alzheimer’s Association, and state and local agencies that serve seniors to speak to their employees. Organizations that can help firms locate local experts on senior issues include the National Association of State Units on Aging (www.nasua.org), the National Association of Area Agencies on Aging (www.n4a.org) and AARP (www.aarp.org).

**Investor Education**

Finally, we urge firms to be proactive in helping to educate customers about how to avoid being victims of financial fraud, including making investor education materials, prepared by FINRA, the SEC, state regulators, the firm or another source, available to senior investors. Registered representatives are often in a unique position to help customers learn about how to avoid fraudulent solicitations. We encourage our member firms and associated persons to talk with all of their customers, particularly seniors and others at high risk of being targeted, about how to spot scams and protect themselves and their families from financial fraud.

**Conclusion**

Given the unprecedented number of investors who are at or nearing retirement age, protecting older investors is a priority for FINRA, and we urge firms to make it a priority, as well. We recognize that seniors are not all alike, and we stress that all investors are entitled to honesty and integrity from their broker-dealers. We remind firms to make sure that the policies and procedures that they do have, as well as relevant training materials, adequately take into account the special needs and concerns that are common to many investors as they age.
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To better understand why older investors fall prey to investment fraud, the FINRA Investor Education Foundation funded researchers that analyzed undercover tapes of fraud pitches and surveyed victims and non-victims to determine how they differ. Some of the key research findings include:

- Investment fraud victims are more financially literate than non-victims;
- Investment fraud criminals use a wide array of different influence tactics—from friendship to fear and intimidation tactics—to defraud the victim;
- Fraud pitches are tailored to match the psychological needs of the victim;
- Investment fraud victims are more likely to listen to sales pitches;
- Investment fraud victims are more likely to rely on their own experience and knowledge when making investment decisions;
- Investment fraud victims experience more difficulties from negative life events than non-victims;
- Investment fraud victims are more optimistic about the future; and
- Investment fraud victims dramatically under-report fraud.
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