

From: [Chris Lott](#)
To: [Comments, Public](#)
Subject: Request for Comment on Regulatory Notice 25-05
Date: Thursday, May 1, 2025 11:17:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image006.png](#)

WARNING: External Sender! Exercise caution with links, attachments and requests for login information.

5/1/25

Ms. Jennifer Piorko Mitchell
Office of the Corporate Secretary
FINRA
1735 K Street
Washington, DC 20006

Re: Request for Comment on Regulatory Notice 25-05

Dear Ms. Mitchell,

As an owner of a Registered Investment Advisory (RIA) firm having registered representatives at a member firm that is independent and not affiliated in any manner with my RIA firm, I strongly object to the newly proposed Rule 3290 in Regulatory Notice 25-05.

This proposed Rule would subject certain independent RIA/IAs to an additional layer of corporate and regulatory oversight that doesn't exist for other RIA/IAs that do not have FINRA licensure. Further, the proposed Rule claims jurisdiction over real estate, banking and insurance businesses, where FINRA has no right to regulate under its Charter or are otherwise subject to regulation by other Federal and/or State(s) agencies.

I have read the Comment Letter submitted by Mr. Purcell of PKS Investments, and I fully agree with his stated arguments against promulgating the proposed Rule 3290. It will be impossible to comply with client privacy mandates, and his citation of voluminous conflicting rules and guidance presages greater regulatory confusion and turmoil should Rule 3290 be adopted.

Registered Investment Advisory firms already are regulated by the SEC and the States and are not subject to FINRA jurisdiction. We are fiduciaries and subject to those standards. Imposition of FINRA Rules, that are in no way related to our business, on only those RIA firms that have person(s) also registered with a FINRA member creates an uneven regulatory environment and serves no purpose other than an unwarranted expansion of FINRA's jurisdiction.

I strongly believe that the proposed Rule 3290 as currently written, together with the guidance and analysis provided within Regulatory Notice 25-05, should not be adopted. I respectfully ask that FINRA reconsider the proposed Rule as originally drafted and discussed in Regulatory Notice 18-08. Thank you for your consideration.

Logo



[\[smithanglin.com\]](http://smithanglin.com)

Bio



Chris Lott, CFP[®], CPA | Partner and Wealth Advisor

14755 Preston Road, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75254

O: (972) 267-1244 | F: (972) 267-1243

clott@smithanglin.com



[\[smithanglin.com\]](http://smithanglin.com)



[\[smithanglin.com\]](http://smithanglin.com)



[\[smithanglin.com\]](http://smithanglin.com)



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any attachments to it is client privileged and confidential information, intended only for the use of the individual or entity in-tended to receive the information contained herein. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of any information in or attached to this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail or at (972) 267-1244.

Securities offered through Purshe Kaplan Sterling Investments (PKS), Member FINRA/SIPC, headquartered at 80 State Street, Albany NY 12207. Investments through PKS or RIA are not FDIC insured, not bank guaranteed and may lose value, including loss of principal. Not insured by any state or federal agency. Purshe Kaplan Sterling Investments and Smith Anglin Financial, LLC are not affiliated companies.