

From: [Erik Randall](#)
To: pubcom@finra.org
Subject: RE: Request for Comment on Regulatory Notice 25-05
Date: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 9:57:00 AM
Attachments: [Outlook-xp0esjlf.dat](#)

WARNING: External Sender! Exercise caution with links, attachments and requests for login information.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am Chief Compliance Officer of a Registered Investment Advisory firm and have a principal of the RIA who is a registered representative of an unaffiliated FINRA member firm. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the newly proposed Rule 3290 as set forth in FINRA Regulatory Notice 25-05.

Fundamental to the fiduciary relationship that exists between our firm and our clients is the trust that our clients have that their personal information and objectives will remain confidential. This trust, and the confidentiality of the advisor-client relationship, is critical to our function as fiduciaries and advisors.

Advisor-client confidentiality, and specifically the confidentiality of client non-public personal information, is protected under federal law and specifically Regulation SP. State privacy laws also protect the confidential information of investment advisory clients, in some states more stringently than Regulation SP.

The proposed Section 3290 provides, at subsection (d)(4) thereof, as follows:

For an approved outside securities transaction for selling compensation, the member shall record each transaction on the books and records of the member and supervise the person's participation in the transaction as if executed on behalf of the member.

Regulatory Notice 25-05 interprets "outside securities transactions" to include securities orders placed by advisors on behalf of their clients, thus requiring broker-dealer supervision of these transactions "as if executed on behalf of the member." Such supervision, under the proposed rule and its incorporated notices, would appear to require extensive information regarding affected advisory clients to be provided to an unaffiliated broker-dealer.

Forcing an investment advisor to provide non-public personal information of an advisory client to an unaffiliated broker-dealer violates the privacy rights of the advisory client under federal and state law and undermines the confidentiality that advisory clients expect and deserve in their advisory relationship. Providing unaffiliated broker-dealers access to confidential advisory client information exposes the client's sensitive financial information to parties who are neither entitled to it nor directly responsible for managing the client's assets,

thereby violating the advisor's ethical obligations regarding client confidentiality.

Further, the proposed Rule would subject affected advisors to additional oversight that doesn't exist for investment advisory firms that do not have associated persons with FINRA licensure. This uneven layer of oversight is unjustified, as there is no evidence that broker-dealer oversight of investment advisory transactions provides any meaningful protection for advisory clients.

Finally, this additional oversight is unsupported anywhere in federal or state law.

Based on these considerations, I believe that proposed Rule 3290 as proposed in Regulatory Notice 25-05 should not be adopted.

Erik Randall, CFP® (he/him)
Chief Compliance Officer



www.summitwg.com

VERMONT OFFICE

205 BILLINGS FARM RD.
SUITE 2A
WHITE RIVER JCT, VT
05001

NEW HAMPSHIRE OFFICE

20 B HAMPTON RD.
EXETER, NH
03833

T. 802 295 5300

F. 802 295 5322

T. 603 658 1800

F. 603 218 7003

erandall@summitwg.com

www.summitwg.com [summitwg.com]

For a copy of an up-to-date Client Relationship Summary (Form CRS), please visit our website, <https://www.summitwg.com/contact-us> [summitwg.com]

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.