

Dear Ms. Barbara Sweeney:

I am a licensed insurance professional and variable product salesperson. I am writing to you because the redundant disclosure and suitability standards contained in NASD's proposal to impose specific suitability and disclosure requirements on the sale of variable annuities are unnecessary, will provide no meaningful protection to consumers and will impact my business. I urge you to withdraw the proposal.

I firmly believe that people who engage in misleading sales practices should be aggressively prosecuted and subject to meaningful sanctions. However, your proposal would duplicate requirements that are already in place. NASD rules already contain a general suitability rule that applies to all sales of securities, including variable annuities. If regulators really want to protect consumers, appropriate enforcement of the existing suitability rule rather than adopting a new rule is the answer. Likewise, variable annuity prospectuses, which are already reviewed by the SEC, already discuss the fees, risks and expenses associated with variable annuities. Requiring a separate "risk disclosure document" would duplicate information already found in the prospectus and reduce the likelihood that consumers will read the most important source of information on the product -- the prospectus. Instead, NASD should focus its efforts on getting consumers to carefully read the prospectus they receive.

Finally, I believe that the proposal is a "solution in search of a problem." I do not think the available data supports NASD's claims that the level of sales problems in the variable annuity marketplace calls for the adoption of the proposed rule. For these reasons, I urge NASD withdraw the proposed rule. Thank you for your consideration of my views on this matter.

If you are going to do this than include Mutual Funds. I have had more clients confused over A shares, B share and now C shares and the cost and penalties associated with them, specially when they are within a IRA and some never talk about the IRS penalty for early withdrawals. I believe there is more confusion there than in Annuities and if you are looking at one aspect of investing than why not look at all aspects.

Sincerely,

Mike Schantz  
PO Box 416 6238 Number Four Rd  
Lowville, NY 13367