



April 27, 2012

Marcia E. Asquith  
Office of the Corporate Secretary  
FINRA  
1735 K Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-1506

Re: **Regulatory Notice 12-10, Request for Comment on Ways to Facilitate and Increase Investor Use of BrokerCheck Information**

Dear Ms. Asquith,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Regulatory Notice 12-10 ("The Notice"), which seeks comments on ways to facilitate and increase investor use of BrokerCheck.

- **Scores on industry qualification examinations should NOT be included in BrokerCheck nor disclosed to the public.** Very few industries if any disclose actual scores on examinations. Scores can in no way accurately reflect the experience gained by a registered representative who has worked years in the brokerage industry. The investor simply knowing that their representative or potential representative is qualified by virtue of passing qualification examinations should continue to suffice. Making examination scores available would have many serious unintended consequences, and in no fashion serves to better protect or educate the investing public.
- **Comments relating to a broker's termination should NOT be included.** There are many reasons for a representative being terminated that may not be pertinent to an investor determining the quality of a representative. If a representative is terminated for cause, it will be reported on the U-4 and will be in BrokerCheck.
- **Links to other websites maintained by financial industry regulators or organizations should NOT be within BrokerCheck reports.** The Notice asks if it would be beneficial for investors to include links to other websites maintained by financial industry regulators or organizations that provide investor education within BrokerCheck reports. While investor education is in the best interest of the industry as a whole, additional links are not needed within BrokerCheck itself. FINRA's website already includes a vast amount of material specifically devoted to investor education. The purpose of BrokerCheck is to provide information to investors about the persons and Firms with whom they are considering working. FINRA should instead consider putting this additional information from financial industry regulators and organizations under a separate section of their

Member FINRA / SIPC

8750 N. Central Expressway, Suite 750 • Dallas, Texas 75231  
tel. (972) 490-0150 • (800) 880-5567 • fax (972) 233-8014

website. If the information were contained in links within BrokerCheck reports themselves it is possible an investor who could benefit from the information and who is not planning on using BrokerCheck would never see the information. By keeping the information separate and on FINRA's main investor site more investors would be exposed to the additional information.

- **Educational background of the representative should NOT be included in BrokerCheck.** Certainly, educational background should not. There are most likely thousands of registered representatives whose level of education does not rise above a high school diploma, but who may have spent many successful decades in the securities industry. Providing educational background could potentially steer investors away from eminently qualified investment professionals who may not possess a commiserate level of education as others in the industry.
- **PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATIONS SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN BROKERCHECK.** Professional designations are a different matter from educational background. Designations earned from accredited sources should be included in BrokerCheck. Unlike providing educational background, a professional designation shows that the individual has completed the requirements for such designation in a specified area of expertise. However, should FINRA choose to include professional designations, there should be a specific list of what designations will be included. In the past there have been many so-called designations in the securities industry that could essentially be bought, with no test of knowledge administered. Generally accepted and widely known professional designations should be included in BrokerCheck, as long as the individual's designation is current and up to date.
- **FINRA SHOULD NOT PROVIDE BROKERCHECK INFORMATION TO FOR-PROFIT COMPANIES FOR COMMERCIAL USE.** . There are many commercial entities already mining the various state securities boards and commissions for information on registered representatives, and publishing it freely. This information is often subject to editing and/or comments by anyone who desires to do so. As a result, the information may be deemed unreliable, although it may have been accurate when initially obtained. Once the information is given, it may prove difficult for FINRA to impose limitations. Additionally, the idea seems to indicate FINRA would not have a problem with selling the often confidential information it receives.

Respectfully,

  
Nick Duren