Regulatory Notice

December 2012

Suitability

Guidance on FINRA’s Suitability Rule

Executive Summary

In November 2010, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) approved FINRA Rule 2111 (Suitability), which became effective on July 9, 2012. In May 2012, FINRA issued Regulatory Notice 12-25, which provides guidance on the rule in a “frequently asked questions” (FAQ) format. This Notice addresses two issues discussed in Regulatory Notice 12-25: the scope of the terms “customer” and “investment strategy.” In addition, FINRA has created a suitability Web page that, among other things, will locate in one place questions and answers regarding FINRA Rule 2111.

Questions regarding this Notice should be directed to:

- James S. Wrona, Vice President and Associate General Counsel, Office of General Counsel (OGC), at (202) 728-8270; or
- Matthew E. Vitek, Assistant General Counsel, OGC, at (202) 728-8156.

Discussion

FINRA Rule 2111 requires, in part, that a broker-dealer or registered representative “have a reasonable basis to believe that a recommended transaction or investment strategy involving a security or securities is suitable for the customer” based on the customer’s investment profile. In Regulatory Notice 12-25, FINRA addressed the scope of the terms “customer” and “investment strategy” in FAQ 6, 7 and 10. The answers to those questions are superseded by the answers provided below in this Notice.
Customer

Question 6 from *Regulatory Notice 12-25* is now 6(a) with a new answer

**Q6(a).** What constitutes a “customer” for purposes of the suitability rule?

**A6(a).** The suitability rule applies to a broker-dealer’s or registered representative’s recommendation of a security or investment strategy involving a security to a “customer.” FINRA’s definition of a customer in FINRA Rule 0160 excludes a “broker or dealer.” In general, for purposes of the suitability rule, the term customer includes a person who is not a broker or dealer who opens a brokerage account at a broker-dealer or purchases a security for which the broker-dealer receives or will receive, directly or indirectly, compensation even though the security is held at an issuer, the issuer’s affiliate or a custodial agent (e.g., “direct application” business, “investment program” securities, or private placements), or using another similar arrangement.

New question and answer 6(b)

**Q6(b).** Does the suitability rule apply when a broker-dealer or registered representative makes a recommendation to a potential investor?

**A6(b).** The suitability rule would apply when a broker-dealer or registered representative makes a recommendation to a potential investor who then becomes a customer. Where, for example, a registered representative makes a recommendation to purchase a security to a potential investor, the suitability rule would apply to the recommendation if that individual executes the transaction through the broker-dealer with which the registered representative is associated or the broker-dealer receives or will receive, directly or indirectly, compensation as a result of the recommended transaction. In contrast, the suitability rule would not apply to the recommendation in the example above if the potential investor does not act on the recommendation or executes the recommended transaction away from the broker-dealer with which the registered representative is associated without the broker-dealer receiving compensation for the transaction.
Investment Strategy

Question 7 from Regulatory Notice 12-25 with a new answer

Q7. The new suitability rule requires that a recommended investment strategy involving a security or securities must be suitable. Can you provide some examples of what would and would not be considered an “investment strategy” under the rule?

A7. Rule 2111 states that the term “investment strategy” is to be interpreted “broadly.” However, FINRA would not consider a broker-dealer’s or registered representative’s recommendation that a customer generally invest in “equity” or “fixed income” securities to be an investment strategy covered by the rule, unless such a recommendation was part of an asset allocation plan not eligible for the safe-harbor provision in Rule 2111.03 (discussed in FAQ 8). The “investment strategy” language would apply to recommendations to customers to invest in more specific types of securities, such as high dividend companies or the “Dogs of the Dow,” or in a market sector, regardless of whether the recommendations identify particular securities. It also would apply to recommendations to customers generally to use a bond ladder, day trading, “liquefied home equity,” or margin strategy involving securities, irrespective of whether the recommendations mention particular securities.

In addition, the term would capture an explicit recommendation to hold a security or securities or to continue to use an investment strategy involving securities. The rule would apply, for example, when a registered representative meets (or otherwise communicates) with a customer during a quarterly or annual investment review and explicitly advises the customer not to sell any securities in or make any changes to the account or portfolio or to continue to use an investment strategy. However, as explained in FAQ 3, the rule would not cover an implicit recommendation to hold.

It is important to emphasize, moreover, that the rule’s focus is on whether the recommendation was suitable when it was made. A recommendation to hold securities, maintain an investment strategy involving securities or use another investment strategy involving securities—as with a recommendation to purchase, sell or exchange securities—normally would not create an ongoing duty to monitor and make subsequent recommendations.
Question 10 from Regulatory Notice 12-25 is now 10(a) with a new answer

Q10(a). Does the new rule’s “investment strategy” language cover a registered representative’s recommendation involving both a security and a non-security investment?

A10(a). The new suitability rule would continue to cover a broker-dealer’s or registered representative’s recommendation of an “investment strategy” involving both a security and a non-security investment. Suitability obligations apply, for example, to a broker-dealer’s or registered representative’s recommendation of an investment strategy to use home equity to purchase securities or to liquidate securities to purchase an investment-related product that is not a security.

However, where a broker-dealer’s or registered representative’s recommendation does not refer to a security or securities, the suitability rule is not applicable. The suitability rule would not apply, for instance, if a registered representative recommends a non-security investment as part of an outside business activity and the customer separately decides on his or her own to liquidate securities positions and apply the proceeds toward the recommended non-security investment.

Where a customer, absent a recommendation by a registered representative, decides on his or her own to purchase a non-security investment and then asks the registered representative to recommend which securities he or she should sell to fund the purchase of the non-security investment, the suitability rule would apply to the registered representative’s recommendation regarding which securities to sell but not to the customer’s decision to purchase the non-security investment.

New question and answer 10(b)

Q10(b). What are a broker-dealer’s supervisory responsibilities for a registered representative’s recommendation of an investment strategy involving both a security and a non-security investment?

A10(b). FINRA’s supervision rules do not dictate the exact manner in which a broker-dealer must supervise its registered representatives’ recommendations of investment strategies involving a security and a non-security investment. A broker-dealer’s supervisory system must be reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable securities laws, regulations and FINRA rules. The reasonableness of a supervisory system will depend on the facts and circumstances. As FINRA has stated previously, “FINRA appreciates that no two [broker-dealers] are exactly alike. [Broker-dealers] have different business models; offer divergent services, products and investment strategies; and employ distinct approaches to complying with applicable regulatory requirements.” A broker-dealer can consider a variety of approaches to identifying and supervising its registered representatives’ recommendations of investment strategies involving both a security and a non-security component.
A broker-dealer may use a risk-based approach to supervising its registered representatives’ recommendations of investment strategies with both a security and non-security component. For instance, as long as the supervisory system is reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable securities laws, regulations and FINRA rules, a firm could focus on the detection, investigation and follow-up of “red flags” indicating that a registered representative may have recommended an unsuitable investment strategy with both a security and non-security component.\textsuperscript{24} A registered representative’s recommendation that a customer with limited means purchase a large position in a security might raise a “red flag” regarding the source of funds for such a purchase. Similarly, a registered representative’s recommendation that a “buy and hold” customer with an investment objective of income liquidate large positions in blue chip stocks paying regular dividends might raise a “red flag” regarding whether that recommendation is part of a broader investment strategy.

Once a broker-dealer identifies a recommended investment strategy involving both a security and a non-security investment, the broker-dealer’s suitability obligations apply to the security component of the recommended strategy\textsuperscript{25} but its suitability analysis also must be informed by a general understanding of the non-security component of the recommended investment strategy. In the context of a recommended investment strategy involving a security and an outside business activity, the broker-dealer’s general understanding of the outside business activity would be based on the information and considerations required by FINRA Rule 3270.\textsuperscript{26}

Finally, broker-dealers must keep in mind that, in addition to suitability and supervisory responsibilities, firms have other regulatory obligations to investigate unusual activity.
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