This order has been published by the NASDR Office of the Hearing Officers and should be cited as OHO Order 98-10 (C10970176).

## NASD REGULATION, INC.

OFFICE OF	HEARING OFFICERS
DEPARTMENT OF ENFORCEMENT,	: :
Complainant,	Disciplinary Proceeding No. C10970176
v.	: Hearing Officer - EAE
Respondents.	
ORDER A	AS TO RESPONDENT
By Order of January 13, 1998, the He	earing Officer directed the Department of Enforcement to
file a Motion for Entry of a Default Decision	as to Respondent on or before February
27, 1998. On or about January 21, 1998, the	e Office of Hearing Officers was informed by counsel for
Complainant that he had received a co	mmunication from "a representative" of Respondent
Such communication was not f	iled with the Office of Hearing Officers.
Complainant's counsel was request	ted to forward the communication received from "a
representative" of Respondentt	o the Office of Hearing Officers. The communication is a
letter dated January 20, 1998, addressed to c	ounsel for Complainant, requesting that the Complaint be
withdrawn pursuant to Code of Procedure Ru	le 9212. <sup>2</sup>
Code of Procedure Rule 9141(a) clea	rly provides that a person "may appear on his or his own
behalf" in a proceeding subject to the proceed	dures set forth therein. Alternatively, Code of Procedure
Rule 9141(b) provides, in pertinent part	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That Order also directed Complainant to file a Motion for Entry of a Default Decision as to Respondent \_\_\_\_\_.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A copy is attached hereto.

This order has been published by the NASDR Office of the Hearing Officers and should be cited as OHO Order 98-10 (C10970176).

A person shall not be represented before an Adjudicator, except as provided in this paragraph. \*\*\* a person may be represented in any proceeding by an attorney at law admitted to practice before the court of any state of the United States, the District of Columbia, or any commonwealth, territory, or possession of the United States.

Upon review, it appears that the "representative" of Respondent \_\_\_\_ who submitted the January 20, 1998 communication to counsel for Complainant is not an attorney.<sup>3</sup> Thus, the January 20, 1998, communication to Complainant's counsel is not considered filed with the Office of Hearing Officers and will not be considered an answer to the Complaint pursuant to Code of Procedure Rule 9215.

As set forth in the Order of January 13, 1998, Department of Enforcement is to file a Motion for Entry of a Default Decision as to Respondent \_\_\_\_ on or before February 27, 1998.<sup>4</sup>

SO OKDERED
Ellen A. Efros
Hearing Officer

Dated: Washington, DC

January 21, 1998

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If such person is an attorney, he immediately should file with the Office of Hearing Officers and serve on all Parties to this disciplinary proceeding, a Notice of Appearance, pursuant to Code of Procedure Rule 91414(b), with documentation evidencing such status.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If Respondent \_\_\_\_, either *pro se* or by and through counsel, pursuant to Code of Procedure Rule 9141, files with the Office of Hearing Officers and serves upon all parties a proper answer, pursuant to Code of Procedure Rule 9215, forthwith, the Hearing Officer will consider vacating the January 13, 1998 Order as to Respondent \_\_\_\_\_. Respondent \_\_\_\_\_ is reminded that, whether he appears *pro se* or through counsel, he must comply with all applicable provisions of the Code including those relating to filing and service.