This Order has been published by NASD's Office of Hearing Officers and should be cited as OHO Order 06-02 (C05050015).

NASD OFFICE OF HEARING OFFICERS

DEPARTMENT OF ENFORCEMENT,

Complainant,

v.

Respondent 1

and

Respondent 2

Respondents.

Disciplinary Proceeding No. C05050015

Hearing Officer—Andrew H. Perkins

ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT 2'S MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO RULE 9253

On January 3, 2006, Respondent 2 filed a motion for entry of an order compelling the Department of Enforcement ("Enforcement") to produce all witness statements in accordance with NASD Procedural Rule 9253.

Enforcement responded that, in compliance with Procedural Rule 9253(a)(1), it had produced all transcripts and recordings of oral statements given by the witnesses in this proceeding. These documents were transcripts of interviews taken in this proceeding and transcripts from related civil litigation. In addition, Enforcement represented that it did not possess any written statements or notes covered by Procedural Rule 9253(a)(2). As to certain staff interview notes that were not produced to the Respondents, Enforcement contended that

This Order has been published by NASD's Office of Hearing Officers and should be cited as OHO Order 06-02 (C05050015).

they did not constitute "substantially verbatim" records, and they therefore were not covered by

the Rule

Rule 9253(a)(1) is the NASD analogue to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. 3500(e)(2), and

requires production of a "substantially verbatim recital of an oral statement made by" the

witness. Conversely, notes that are not substantially verbatim accounts of what the witness stated

need not be produced.1

Here Respondent 2 has moved for production of all documents that meet the

requirements of Rule 9253, but he has not specified any particular documents he claims were

improperly withheld. Accordingly, the Hearing Officer cannot assess whether there were any

documents withheld that should have been produced. Nevertheless, the Respondents are entitled

to all materials that fall within the scope of Rule 9253. Thus, to the extent that Enforcement has

not already done so, it is ordered to produce such documents to the Respondents no later than

January 12, 2006.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Andrew H. Perkins Hearing Officer

January 6, 2006

¹ See, Palermo v. United States, 360 U.S. 343, 350 (1959).

2