ATS Supervision Obligations

FINRA Reminds Alternative Trading Systems of Their Obligations to Supervise Activity on Their Platforms

Summary

FINRA is issuing this Notice to remind Alternative Trading Systems (ATSs) of their supervision obligations.1 As registered broker-dealers and FINRA members, ATSs—like other broker-dealer trading platforms—are required to maintain supervisory systems that are reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable securities laws and regulations, and with applicable FINRA rules, including, for example, rules on disruptive or manipulative quoting and trading activity.

Questions regarding this Notice should be directed to:

- Alex Ellenberg, Associate General Counsel, Office of General Counsel (OGC), at (202) 728-8152 or alexander.ellenberg@finra.org; or
- Cara Bain, Counsel, OGC, at (202) 728-8852 or cara.bain@finra.org.

Background and Discussion

When the SEC adopted Regulation ATS, it established a framework for the regulation of trading venues that meet the definition of an “exchange” under Section 3(a)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act and SEA Rule 3b-16: They can either apply for registration as a national securities exchange, or they can register as a broker-dealer and comply with Regulation ATS.2 Importantly, the requirements of Regulation ATS complement the existing broker-dealer regulatory framework. Regulation ATS specifically requires that “an ATS that registers as a broker-dealer must, in addition to complying with Regulation ATS, comply with the filing and conduct obligations associated with being a registered broker-dealer, including membership in [a Self-Regulatory Organization (SRO)] and compliance with SRO rules.”

Referenced Rules & Notices

- FINRA Rule 2020
- FINRA Rule 3110
- FINRA Rule 4370
- FINRA Rule 5210
- FINRA Rule 6190
- FINRA Rule 6191
- Regulatory Notice 14-10
- Regulatory Notice 17-22
- SEA Rule 15c3-5
- SEC Regulation ATS
- SEC Regulation NMS
- SEC Regulation SHO
Accordingly, ATSs must comply with all FINRA rules that are applicable to them and their business activity. For example, ATSs are subject to the new and continuing membership and registration rules in the NASD Rule 1000 Series. ATSs are also subject to the financial and operational rules that apply generally to broker-dealers, such as the financial condition rules in the FINRA Rule 4100 Series, the business continuity plan requirements in Rule 4370, and the recordkeeping and reporting obligations in the Rule 4500 Series.

Other FINRA rules apply to the trading activity that occurs on an ATS. For example, ATSs must report trades—and quotations, to the extent applicable—to the extent applicable—according to the rules in the FINRA Rule 6000 Series (Quotation, Order, and Transaction Reporting Facilities) and must report order information to FINRA’s Order Audit Trail System pursuant to the Rule 7400 Series. Another example can be found in Rule 6190, which requires ATSs to establish, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to comply with the National Market System Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility. ATSs also must comply with the trading halt requirements in Rule 6120.

Rule 5210 (Publication of Transactions and Quotations) applies to trading activity that occurs on an ATS and states that no member “shall publish or circulate, or cause to be published or circulated, any . . . communication of any kind which purports to report any transaction as a purchase or sale of any security unless such member believes that such transaction was a bona fide purchase or sale of such security; or which purports to quote the bid price or asked price for any security, unless such member believes that such quotation represents a bona fide bid for, or offer of, such security.”

Rule 5210 includes supplementary material that provides further guidance on the type of conduct prohibited by the rule. Supplementary Material .01 states that it shall be deemed inconsistent with the Rule—as well as Rules 2010 (Standards of Commercial Honor and Principles of Trade) and 2020 (Use of Manipulative, Deceptive or Other Fraudulent Devices)—for a member “to publish or circulate or cause to be published or circulated, by any means whatsoever, any report of any securities transaction or of any purchase or sale of any security unless such member knows or has reason to believe that such transaction was a bona fide transaction, purchase or sale.” Supplemental Material .03 states that a firm shall not “engage in or facilitate” certain quoting and trading activity that is deemed to be disruptive.
To promote compliance with these and other applicable FINRA rules and the federal securities laws, Rule 3110 requires each firm to maintain a reasonably designed supervisory system. FINRA has provided detailed guidance on the elements of reasonable supervisory procedures, which under Rule 3110(b)(2) must include a review of all transactions relating to a firm’s investment banking or securities business. In that guidance, FINRA noted that Rule 3110.05 permits firms to satisfy their obligation to review all transactions related to their investment banking or securities business by employing risk-based review systems—e.g., electronic surveillance—with parameters designed to assess which transactions merit further review.

FINRA is issuing this Notice to remind ATSs to evaluate what supervisory systems they use to achieve compliance with FINRA rules including, but not limited to, those referenced above, as well as the federal securities laws including, but not limited to, Regulation ATS, Regulation NMS, Regulation SHO, and the SEC’s Market Access Rule (SEA Rule 15c3-5), to the extent applicable. While FINRA recognizes that ATSs do not exercise self-regulatory authority, ATSs may still set rules to govern subscriber conduct on their trading systems, or exclude subscribers from trading as necessary and appropriate to implement a reasonably designed supervisory system. Accordingly, Regulation ATS does not reduce or eliminate a firm’s obligation under FINRA rules to supervise the trading activity that occurs on its platform; indeed, as noted above, Regulation ATS requires compliance with FINRA’s supervision obligations, as with all other applicable FINRA rules.

As a general matter, consistent with existing supervision obligations, FINRA expects that an ATS’s supervisory system be reasonably designed to identify “red flags,” including potentially manipulative or non-bona fide trading that occurs on or through its systems. ATSs must regularly assess and evaluate their supervisory systems and procedures to ensure they are reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable FINRA rules and the federal securities laws. When reviewing the reasonableness of its supervisory system, an ATS should consider tools that are commonly available and may be used by other similarly situated market participants.
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