September 27, 2001

Florence Harmon, Esq. Senior Special Counsel Division of Market Regulation Securities and Exchange Commission 450 Fifth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20549-1001

> Re: File No. SR-NASD-2001-62 – Amendment to NASD Code of Arbitration Procedure Rule 10333 Relating to Member Surcharges, and Hearing and Prehearing Process Fees; Immediate Effectiveness Requested; and Notice of Withdrawal of SR-NASD-2001-52

Dear Ms. Harmon:

Pursuant to Rule 19b-4, enclosed please find SR-NASD-2001-62. This rule filing replaces SR-NASD-2001-52, which is hereby withdrawn. Also enclosed is a 3-l/2" disk containing SR-NASD-2001-62 in Microsoft Word to facilitate production of the <u>Federal Register</u> release.

If you have any questions, please contact Laura Leedy Gansler, Counsel, NASD Dispute Resolution, Inc., at (202) 728-8275; e-mail laura.gansler@nasd.com. The fax number of NASD Dispute Resolution, Inc. is (202) 728-8833.

Very truly yours,

Barbara Z. Sweeney Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary

Enclosures

File No. SR-NASD-2001-62 Consists of 22 Pages

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C.

Form 19b-4

Proposed Rule Change

by

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS, INC.

Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Page 2 of 22

1. <u>Text of Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) under the Securities Exchange
Act of 1934 ("Act"), the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD" or
"Association"), through its wholly owned subsidiary, NASD Dispute Resolution, Inc.
("NASD Dispute Resolution"), is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") a proposed rule change to amend Rule 10333 of the Code of Arbitration
Procedure ("Code") to raise member surcharges, and hearing and prehearing process fees paid
by members. Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is
underlined; proposed deletions are in brackets.

* * *

10000. Code of Arbitration Procedure

* * *

10333. Member Surcharge and Process Fees

(a) Member Surcharge

(1) Each member that is named as a party to an arbitration proceeding, whether in a Claim, Counterclaim, Cross-Claim or Third-Party Claim, shall be assessed a nonrefundable surcharge pursuant to the schedule below when the Director of Arbitration perfects service of the claim naming the member on any party to the proceeding.

(2) For each associated person who is named, the surcharge shall be assessed against the member or members that employed the associated person at the time of the events which gave rise to the dispute, claim or controversy. No member shall be assessed more than a single surcharge in any arbitration proceeding.

Page 3 of 22

(3) The surcharge shall not be chargeable to any other party under Rules

10332(c) and 10205(c) of the Code.

Amount in Dispute	Surcharge
\$.01 - \$2,500	\$150
\$2,500.01 - \$5,000	\$200
\$5,000.01 - \$10,000	[\$300] <u>\$325</u>
\$10,000.01 - \$25,000	[\$400] <u>\$425</u>
\$25,000.01 - \$30,000	\$600
\$30,000.01 - \$50,000	[\$800] <u>\$875</u>
\$50,000.01 - \$100,000	[\$1,000] <u>\$1,100</u>
\$100,000.01 - \$500,000	[\$1,500] <u>\$1,700</u>
\$500,000.01 - \$1,000,000	[\$2,000] <u>\$2,250</u>
\$1,000,000.01 - \$5,000,000	[\$2,500] <u>\$2,800</u>
\$5,000,000.01 - \$10,000,000	[\$3,000] <u>\$3,350</u>
Over 10,000,000	[\$3,600] <u>\$3,750</u>

([b]<u>4</u>) Unchanged.

([c]<u>5</u>) If the dispute, claim, or controversy does not involve, disclose, or specify a money claim, the non-refundable surcharge shall be [\$1,200] <u>\$1,500</u> or such greater or lesser amount as the Director of Arbitration or the panel of arbitrators may require, but shall not exceed the maximum amount specified in the schedule.

([d]b) Prehearing and Hearing Process Fees

(1) Each member that is a party to an arbitration proceeding in which more

than \$25,000 is in dispute will pay:

(A) [a non-refundable process fee as set forth in the schedule below for each stage of the proceeding] <u>a non-refundable prehearing process fee of \$750,</u> <u>due at the time the parties are sent arbitrator lists in accordance with Rule</u> <u>10308(b)(5); and</u>

Page 4 of 22

(B) a non-refundable hearing process fee, due when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session, as set forth in the schedule below.

(2) [The prehearing and hearing process fees shall not be chargeable to any other party under Rules 10332(c) and 10205(c) of the Code.] If an associated person of a member is a party, the member that employed the associated person at the time of the events which gave rise to the dispute, claim or controversy will be charged the process fees[.], even if the member is not a party. No member shall be assessed more than one prehearing and one hearing process fee in any arbitration proceeding.

(3) The prehearing and hearing process fees shall not be chargeable to any other party under Rules 10332(c) and 10205(c) of the Code.

[The prehearing process fee will accrue according to the schedule set forth below, but will not become due until (1) the parties are notified of the prehearing conference, or (2) if no prehearing conference is scheduled, the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session. The hearing fee will accrue and be due and payable when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session. All accrued but unpaid fees will be due and payable at the conclusion of the member's or associated person's involvement in the proceeding. No member will pay more than one prehearing and hearing process fee for any case. The process fees will stop accruing when either the member enters into a settlement of the dispute or the member is dismissed from the proceeding or, if the member is paying a process fee as a result of an associated person being named as a party, when the associated

Page 5 of 22

person enters into a settlement or is dismissed from the proceeding, whichever is

later.]

[Prehearing Process Fee Schedule

(proceedings where more than \$25,000 is in dispute)

Service of Claim (accrues when the claim has been submitted and is ready to be served on the respondents)	\$50
Case Preparation (accrues when the first answer to the claim is received or due and discovery or motions proceedings commence	\$150
Prehearing Activities (accrues when the parties are first notified of the names of any arbitrators selected to hear the matter or are given the names of arbitrators to select)	
	Total

\$600]

Hearing Process Fee Schedule

[(accrues and becomes due and payable when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session)]

Damages Requested	Hearing Process Fee
\$1 - \$25,000	\$ O
\$25,000.01 - \$50,000	\$1,000
\$50,000.01 - \$100,000	[\$1,500] <u>\$1,700</u>
\$100,000.01 - \$500,000	[\$2,500] <u>\$2,750</u>
\$500,000.01 - \$1,000,000	[\$3,500] <u>\$4,000</u>
\$1,000,000.01 - \$5,000,000	[\$4,500] <u>\$5,000</u>
More than \$5,000,000	[\$5,000] <u>\$5,500</u>
Unspecified	[\$2,000] <u>\$2,200</u>

* * *

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

Page 6 of 22

2. <u>Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization</u>

(a) The proposed rule change was approved by the Board of Directors of NASD Dispute Resolution at its meeting on June 26, 2001, which authorized the filing of the rule change with the SEC. Counsel for The Nasdaq Stock Market and NASD Resolution, Inc. have been provided an opportunity to consult with respect to the proposed rule change, pursuant to the Plan of Allocation and Delegation of Functions by the NASD to its Subsidiaries. The NASD Board of Governors had an opportunity to review the proposed rule change at its meeting on July 26, 2001. No other action by the NASD is necessary for the filing of the proposed rule change. Section 1(a)(ii) of Article VII of the NASD By-Laws permits the NASD Board of Governors to adopt amendments to NASD Rules without recourse to the membership for approval.

The NASD will announce the implementation date of the proposed rule change in a Notice to Members to be published no later than 60 days following Commission notice of the filing of the proposed rule change.

(b) Questions regarding this rule filing may be directed to Laura Leedy Gansler,

Counsel, NASD Dispute Resolution, at (202) 728-8275.

3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for,</u> <u>the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) Purpose

The proposed amendments to Rule 10333 of the NASD Code of Arbitration Procedure would raise member surcharges and hearing process fees, which are paid by members only, by an aggregate of ten percent. The proposed rule change would also combine the various current portions of the pre-hearing process fee paid by members in cases in which

Page 7 of 22

more than \$25,000 is in dispute into one fee of \$750, representing an increase of \$150, payable at the time arbitrator lists are sent to parties pursuant to Rule 10308(b)(5) of the Code. The primary purposes of the proposed fee increase are to fund NASD Dispute Resolution's share of the cost of developing and implementing a new computer system, MATRICS, which will greatly enhance the administration of cases in the forum, and to give NASD Dispute Resolution additional funds to pay for inflationary cost increases. The proposed rule change would also amend and reorganize Rule 10333 to reflect the consolidation of the prehearing process fee payments, and to make the rule easier to use.

Member Surcharge Increase

Rule 10333 currently requires that each member that is a party to an arbitration proceeding, or that employed an associated person who is a party to an arbitration proceeding at the time of the events that gave rise to the dispute, pay a non-refundable member surcharge. The amount of the surcharge varies depending on the amount in dispute, ranging from \$150 for cases involving claims of \$2,500 or less, to \$3,600 for claims involving more than \$10,000,000.

Under the proposed rule change, member surcharges, which were last raised in 1997, would be raised by an aggregate of ten percent. Actual increases in each case would range from zero to 25.7 percent, depending on the amount in dispute. The highest actual per-case increase would be \$350. Based on anticipated caseloads, this would generate additional annual revenue of approximately \$1,000,000 per year.

Page 8 of 22

Prehearing Process Fees

Currently, Rule 10333 provides that, in cases in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$25,000, each member that is a party, or that employed an associated person named as a party at the time of the events that gave rise to the arbitration proceeding, must pay a prehearing process fee. The prehearing process fee is currently divided into three segments, which accrue as follows: \$50 at the time of the service of claim; \$150 when the first answer to the claim is received or due, and discovery and motions proceedings begin; and \$400 when the parties are first notified of the names of any of the arbitrators selected to hear the matter, or are given the names of arbitrators to select.

Under the proposed rule change, these three prehearing process fees would be combined into a single fee of \$750, an increase of \$150, due at the time the parties receive the arbitrator lists. This would generate a projected \$850,000 in additional revenue per year.

Hearing Process Fee Increase

Rule 10333 also requires that each member that is a party to an arbitration proceeding, or that employed an associated person who is a party to an arbitration proceeding at the time of the events that gave rise to the dispute, pay a hearing process fee, which accrues when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session. The amount of the hearing process fee ranges between \$0 and \$5,000, depending on the amount of damages requested.

The proposed rule change would result in a ten percent aggregate increase in the hearing process fee paid by members. Actual increases in each case would range from zero to 14 percent, depending on the amount in dispute. The highest per-case increase would be \$500.

Page 9 of 22

Based on anticipated caseloads, this would generate additional annual revenue of approximately \$1,000,000 per year.

Other Changes to Rule 10333

The proposed rule change would also reorganize Rule 10333 to make it simpler to use, and would conform the text of the rule to the proposed consolidation of the prehearing process fee payments. The rule would be broken into two sections: Member Surcharges, and Prehearing and Hearing Process Fees. Other than the reorganization of the text, and the increase in the surcharge and fee amounts, the substance of the rule regarding payment of surcharges would not be changed in any material respect. The only other modification would be to reflect the consolidation of the prehearing process fee payments. In addition, language in Rule 10333(d) explaining that all accrued but unpaid member fees are due at the conclusion of the member's or associated person's involvement in the case, even in the case of settlement, would be deleted. NASD Dispute Resolution has determined that the language is no longer necessary in light of a recently approved amendment to Rule 10306 of the Code, which clarifies that, in the event of a settlement, parties remain responsible for all fees incurred under the Code.¹

¹ Exchange Act Release No. 44573 (April 20, 2001)(File No. SR-NASD-2001-21), 66 Fed. Reg. 21423 (April 30, 2001).

Page 10 of 22

(b) Statutory Basis

NASD Dispute Resolution believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act, which requires, among other things, that the Association's rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. NASD Dispute Resolution believes that the proposed rule change will protect investors and the general public by ensuring that the NASD Dispute Resolution remains adequately funded and able to meet its commitment to provide fair, expeditious, and cost-effective dispute resolution services for investors, brokerage firms, and their employees.

4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

NASD Dispute Resolution does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended.

5. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change</u> <u>Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

6. <u>Extension of Time Period for Commission Action</u>

NASD Dispute Resolution does not consent at this time to an extension of the time period for Commission action specified in Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.

Page 11 of 22

7. <u>Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated</u> <u>Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)</u>

The proposed rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of

the Act and paragraph (f)(3) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder, in that the proposed rule change

changes a due, fee, or other charge paid solely by members of the Association.

8. <u>Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission</u>

Not applicable.

- 9. <u>Exhibits</u>
 - 1. Completed notice of proposed rule change for publication in the Federal

Register.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, NASD Dispute

Resolution has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NASD DISPUTE RESOLUTION, INC.

BY:

Barbara Z. Sweeney, Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary

Date: September 27, 2001

Page 12 of 22

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-NASD- 2001-62)

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Relating to Amendment to NASD Code of Arbitration Procedure Rule 10333 Relating to Member Surcharges, and Hearing and Prehearing Process Fees

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on , the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD"), through its wholly owned subsidiary, NASD Dispute Resolution, Inc. ("NASD Dispute Resolution") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by NASD Dispute Resolution. NASD Dispute Resolution has designated the proposed rule change as establishing or changing a due, fee or other charge under paragraph (f)(3) of Rule 19b-4 under the Act,³ which renders the proposal effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 17 CFR § 240.19b-4.

Page 13 of 22

I. <u>SELF-REGULATORY ORGANIZATION'S STATEMENT OF THE TERMS OF</u> <u>SUBSTANCE OF THE PROPOSED RULE CHANGE</u>

NASD Dispute Resolution is proposing to amend Rule 10333 of the Code of

Arbitration of Procedure ("Code") to raise member surcharges, and hearing and prehearing process fees paid by members. Proposed new language is in italics; proposed deletions are in brackets.

* * *

10000. Code of Arbitration Procedure

* * *

10333. Member Surcharge and Process Fees

(a) <u>Member Surcharge</u>

(1) Each member that is named as a party to an arbitration proceeding, whether in a Claim, Counterclaim, Cross-Claim or Third-Party Claim, shall be assessed a nonrefundable surcharge pursuant to the schedule below when the Director of Arbitration perfects service of the claim naming the member on any party to the proceeding.

(2) For each associated person who is named, the surcharge shall be assessed against the member or members that employed the associated person at the time of the events which gave rise to the dispute, claim or controversy. No member shall be assessed more than a single surcharge in any arbitration proceeding.

(3) The surcharge shall not be chargeable to any other party under Rules 10332(c) and 10205(c) of the Code.

Page 14 of 22

Member Surcharge Schedule	
Amount in Dispute	Surcharge
\$.01 - \$2,500	\$150
\$2,500.01 - \$5,000	\$200
\$5,000.01 - \$10,000	[\$300] <u>\$325</u>
\$10,000.01 - \$25,000	[\$400] <u>\$425</u>
\$25,000.01 - \$30,000	\$600
\$30,000.01 - \$50,000	[\$800] <u>\$875</u>
\$50,000.01 - \$100,000	[\$1,000] <u>\$1,100</u>
\$100,000.01 - \$500,000	[\$1,500] <u>\$1,700</u>
\$500,000.01 - \$1,000,000	[\$2,000] <u>\$2,250</u>
\$1,000,000.01 - \$5,000,000	[\$2,500] <u>\$2,800</u>
\$5,000,000.01 - \$10,000,000	[\$3,000] <u>\$3,350</u>
Over 10,000,000	[\$3,600] <u>\$3,750</u>

([b]<u>4</u>) Unchanged.

([c]<u>5</u>) If the dispute, claim, or controversy does not involve, disclose, or specify a money claim, the non-refundable surcharge shall be [\$1,200] <u>\$1,500</u> or such greater or lesser amount as the Director of Arbitration or the panel of arbitrators may require, but shall not exceed the maximum amount specified in the schedule.

([d]b) Prehearing and Hearing Process Fees

(1) Each member that is a party to an arbitration proceeding in which more

than \$25,000 is in dispute will pay:

(A)[a non-refundable process fee as set forth in the schedule below for each stage of the proceeding] <u>a non-refundable prehearing process fee of \$750,</u> <u>due at the time the parties are sent arbitrator lists in accordance with Rule</u> <u>10308(b)(5); and</u>

Page 15 of 22

(B) Each member that is a party to an arbitration proceeding will pay a non-refundable hearing process fee, due when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session, as set forth in the schedule below.

(2) [The prehearing and hearing process fees shall not be chargeable to any other party under Rules 10332(c) and 10205(c) of the Code.] If an associated person of a member is a party, the member that employed the associated person at the time of the events which gave rise to the dispute, claim or controversy will be charged the process fees[.], even if the member is not a party. No member shall be assessed more than one prehearing and one hearing process fee in any arbitration proceeding.

(3) The prehearing and hearing process fees shall not be chargeable to any other party under Rules 10332(c) and 10205(c) of the Code.

[The prehearing process fee will accrue according to the schedule set forth below, but will not become due until (1) the parties are notified of the prehearing conference, or (2) if no prehearing conference is scheduled, the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session. The hearing fee will accrue and be due and payable when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session. All accrued but unpaid fees will be due and payable at the conclusion of the member's or associated person's involvement in the proceeding. No member will pay more than one prehearing and hearing process fee for any case. The process fees will stop accruing when either the member enters into a settlement of the dispute or the member is dismissed from the proceeding or, if the member is paying a process fee as a result of an associated person being named as a party, when the associated

Page 16 of 22

person enters into a settlement or is dismissed from the proceeding, whichever is

later.]

[Prehearing Process Fee Schedule

(proceedings where more than \$25,000 is in dispute)

Service of Claim (accrues when the claim has been submitted and is ready to be served on the respondents)	\$50
Case Preparation (accrues when the first answer to the claim is received or due and discovery or motions proceedings commence	\$150
Prehearing Activities (accrues when the parties are first notified of the names of any arbitrators selected to hear the matter or are given the names of arbitrators to select)	\$400
	Total

\$600]

Hearing Process Fee Schedule

[(accrues and becomes due and payable when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session)]

Damages Requested	Hearing Process Fee
\$1 - \$25,000	\$ O
\$25,000.01 - \$50,000	\$1,000
\$50,000.01 - \$100,000	[\$1,500] <u>\$1,700</u>
\$100,000.01 - \$500,000	[\$2,500] <u>\$2,750</u>
\$500,000.01 - \$1,000,000	[\$3,500] <u>\$4,000</u>
\$1,000,000.01 - \$5,000,000	[\$4,500] <u>\$5,000</u>
More than \$5,000,000	[\$5,000] <u>\$5,500</u>
Unspecified	[\$2,000] <u>\$2,200</u>

* * *

II.SELF-REGULATORY ORGANIZATION'S STATEMENT OF THE PURPOSE OF,
AND STATUTORY BASIS FOR, THE PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

In its filing with the Commission, NASD Dispute Resolution included statements

concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any

Page 17 of 22

comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. NASD Dispute Resolution has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) Purpose

The proposed amendments to Rule 10333 of the NASD Code of Arbitration Procedure would raise member surcharges and hearing process fees, which are paid by members only, by an aggregate of ten percent. The proposed rule change would also combine the various current portions of the pre-hearing process fee paid by members in cases in which more than \$25,000 is in dispute into one fee of \$750, representing an increase of \$150, payable at the time arbitrator lists are sent to parties pursuant to Rule 10308(b)(5) of the Code. The primary purposes of the proposed fee increase are to fund NASD Dispute Resolution's share of the cost of developing and implementing a new computer system, MATRICS, which will greatly enhance the administration of cases in the forum, and to give NASD Dispute Resolution additional funds to pay for inflationary cost increases. The proposed rule change would also amend and reorganize Rule 10333 to reflect the consolidation of the prehearing process fee payments, and to make the rule easier to use.

Member Surcharge Increase

Rule 10333 currently requires that each member that is a party to an arbitration proceeding, or that employed an associated person who is a party to an arbitration proceeding at the time of the events that gave rise to the dispute, pay a non-refundable member surcharge.

Page 18 of 22

The amount of the surcharge varies depending on the amount in dispute, ranging from \$150 for cases involving claims of \$2,500 or less, to \$3,600 for claims involving more than \$10,000,000.

Under the proposed rule change, member surcharges, which were last raised in 1997, would be raised by an aggregate of ten percent. Actual increases in each case would range from zero to 25.7 percent, depending on the amount in dispute. The highest actual per-case increase would be \$350. Based on anticipated caseloads, this would generate additional annual revenue of approximately \$1,000,000 per year.

Prehearing Process Fees

Currently, Rule 10333 provides that, in cases in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$25,000, each member that is a party, or members that employed an associated person named as a party at the time of the events that gave rise to the arbitration proceeding, must pay a prehearing process fee. The prehearing process fee is currently divided into three segments, which accrue as follows: \$50 at the time of the service of claim; \$150 when the first answer to the claim is received or due, and discovery and motions proceedings begin; and \$400 when the parties are first notified of the names of any of the arbitrators selected to hear the matter, or are given the names of arbitrators to select.

Under the proposed rule change, these three prehearing process fees would be combined into a single fee of \$750, an increase of \$150, due at the time the parties receive the arbitrator lists. This would generate a projected \$850,000 in additional revenue per year.

Page 19 of 22

Hearing Process Fee Increase

Rule 10333 also requires that each member that is a party to an arbitration proceeding, or that employed an associated person who is a party to an arbitration proceeding at the time of the events that gave rise to the dispute, pay a hearing process fee, which accrues when the parties are notified of the date and location of the first hearing session. The amount of the hearing process fee ranges between \$0 and \$5,000, depending on the amount of damages requested.

The proposed rule change would result in a ten percent aggregate increase in the hearing process fee paid by members. Actual increases in each case would range from zero to 14 percent, depending on the amount in dispute. The highest per-case increase would be \$500. Based on anticipated caseloads, this would generate additional annual revenue of approximately \$1,000,000 per year.

Other Changes to Rule 10333

The proposed rule change would also reorganize Rule 10333 to make it simpler to use, and to conform the text throughout the rule to the proposed consolidation of the prehearing process fee payments. The rule would be broken into two sections: Member Surcharges, and Prehearing and Hearing Process Fees. Other than the reorganization of the text, and the increase in the surcharge amounts, the substance of the rule regarding payment of surcharges would not be changed in any material respect. Other than the reorganization of the text and the increase in the amount of fees, the substance of the rule regarding prehearing and hearing process fees would be modified to reflect the consolidation of the prehearing process fee payments. In addition, language in Rule 10333(d) explaining that all accrued but

Page 20 of 22

unpaid member fees are due at the conclusion of the member's or associated person's involvement in the case, even in the case of settlement, would be deleted. NASD Dispute Resolution has determined that the language is no longer necessary in light of a recently approved amendment to Rule 10306 of the Code, which clarifies that that in the event of a settlement, parties remain responsible for all fees incurred under the Code.⁴

(b) Statutory Basis

NASD Dispute Resolution believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act, which requires, among other things, that the Association's rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. NASD Dispute Resolution believes the proposed rule change will protect investors and the general public by ensuring that the NASD Dispute Resolution remains adequately funded and able to meet its commitment to provide fair, expeditious, and cost-effective dispute resolution services for investors, brokerage firms, and their employees.

(B) <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

NASD Dispute Resolution does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended.

(C) <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule</u> <u>Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

⁴ Exchange Act Release No. 44573 (April 20, 2001)(File No. SR-NASD-2001-21), 66 Fed. Reg. 21423 (April 30, 2001).

Page 21 of 22

III. DATE OF EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROPOSED RULE CHANGE AND TIMING FOR COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed rule change has been filed by the Association under Rule 19b-4(f)(3) under the Act as establishing or changing a due, fee or other charge paid solely by members of the Association.⁵ Consequently, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(3) thereunder. The Association will announce the implementation date of the proposed rule change in a Notice to Members to be published no later than 60 days following Commission notice of the filing date of the proposed rule change.

At any time within 60 days of this filing, the Commission may summarily abrogate this proposal if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. SOLICITATION OF COMMENTS

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's

⁵ 17 CFR § 240.19b-4(f)(6)

Page 22 of 22

Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NASD. All submissions should refer to the file number in the caption above and should be submitted by [insert date 21 days from the date of publication].

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority, 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Jonathan G. Katz Secretary