OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0045 Expires: June 30, 2007 Estimated average burden hours per response......38

Page 1 of	59	WASHING	WASHINGTON D.C. 20549			SR - 2005 - 114 nent No. 2	
Proposed Rule Change by National Association of Securities Dealers  Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934							
Initial	Amendment 🗸	Withdrawal	Section 19(b		9(b)(3)(A) Rule	Section 19(b)(3)(B)	
1 1101	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action	Date Expires		<ul><li>19b-4(f)(1)</li><li>19b-4(f)(2)</li><li>19b-4(f)(3)</li></ul>	19b-4(f)(5)		
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document  Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document  Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document							
Contact Information  Provide the name, telephone number and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the proposed rule change.  First Name Gary Last Name Goldsholle							
Title		Vice President and Associate General Counsel					
E-mail Telephor	gary.goldsholle@nasc ne (202) 728-8104	Fax (202) 728-826	4				
Signature Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,  has duly caused this filling to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized officer.  Date 04/16/2007							
Ву	Gary L. Goldsholle Vice President and Associate General Counsel						
	(Name)			(Title)			
NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical			Gary Goldsholle,				
	and once signed, this form cannot						

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website. The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a Form 19b-4 Information clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the Remove proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for **Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change** publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register Add Remove (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments. documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall **Transcripts, Other Communications** be filed in accordance with Instruction G. Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is Add Remove View referred to by the proposed rule change. Exhibit Sent As Paper Document The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which Add Remove View it has been working. The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be Add Remove View considered part of the proposed rule change. If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy **Partial Amendment** proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if Add Remove View the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

#### 1. <u>Text of Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") Amendment No. 2 to SR-NASD-2005-114<sup>2</sup> to amend NASD Rule 2810 to address the regulation of compensation, fees and expenses in public offerings of unlisted real estate investment trusts and direct participation programs. The purpose of Amendment No. 2 is to address the comments the SEC received in response to the publication of the proposed rule change in the Federal Register<sup>3</sup> and to propose amendments responsive to the comments where appropriate. Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is underlined; proposed deletions are in brackets.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 2810. Direct Participation Programs

- (a) No Change.
- (b) Requirements
  - (1) Application

No member or person associated with a member shall participate in a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

NASD initially filed SR-NASD-2005-114 on September 28, 2005. NASD filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change on June 8, 2006, which replaced and superseded the original filing in its entirety.

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54118 (July 10, 2006), 71 FR 40569 (July 17, 2006) (proposing SR-NASD-2005-114) ("Original Proposal").

public offering of a direct participation program or a limited partnership rollup transaction or, where expressly provided below, a real estate investment trust as defined in Rule 2340(d)(4) ("REIT"), except in accordance with this paragraph (b), provided however, this paragraph (b) shall not apply to an initial or secondary public offering of or a secondary market transaction in a unit, depositary receipt or other interest in a direct participation program that complies with subparagraph (2)(D).

(2) No Change.

#### (3) Disclosure

- (A) through (C) No Change.
- (D) Prior to executing a purchase transaction in a direct participation program or a REIT, a member or person associated with a member shall inform the prospective participant of all pertinent facts relating to the liquidity and marketability of the program or REIT during the term of the investment[;]. Included in the pertinent facts shall be information regarding whether the sponsor has offered prior programs or REITs in which disclosed in the offering materials was a date or time period at which the program or REIT might be liquidated, and whether the prior program(s) or REIT(s) in fact liquidated on or around that date or during the time period. [provided, however, that paragraph (b) shall not apply to an initial or secondary public offering of a secondary market transaction in a unit, depositary receipt or other interest in a direct

participation program which complies with subparagraph (2)(D).]

#### (4) Organization and Offering Expenses

- (A) No member or person associated with a member shall underwrite or participate in a public offering of a direct participation program or REIT if the organization and offering expenses are not fair and reasonable, taking into consideration all relevant factors.
- (B) In determining the fairness and reasonableness of organization and offering expenses that are deemed to be in connection with or related to the distribution of the public offering for purposes of subparagraph (A) hereof, the arrangements shall be presumed to be unfair and unreasonable if:
  - (i) the total amount of all items of compensation from whatever source, including offering proceeds and "trail commissions" payable to underwriters, broker/dealers, or affiliates thereof, [which are deemed to be in connection with or related to the distribution of the public offering,] exceeds an amount that equals ten percent of the proceeds of the offering [currently effective compensation guidelines for direct participation programs published by the Association];[\*]
  - (ii) organization and offering expenses, which include all items of compensation, [paid by a program] in which a member or an affiliate of a member is a sponsor exceed an amount that equals

<u>fifteen percent of the proceeds of the offering</u> [currently effective guidelines for such expenses published by the Association];[\*\*]

- (iii) No Change.
- (iv) commissions or other compensation are to be paid or awarded either directly or indirectly, to any person engaged by a potential investor for investment advice as an inducement to such advisor to advise the purchaser of interests in a particular program or REIT, unless such person is a registered broker/dealer or a person associated with such a broker/dealer; [or]
- (v) the program or REIT provides for compensation of an indeterminate nature to be paid to members or persons associated with members for sales of program units or REIT, or for services of any kind rendered in connection with or related to the distribution thereof, including, but not necessarily limited to, the following: a percentage of the management fee, a profit sharing arrangement, brokerage commissions, an[d] over-riding royalty interest, a net profits interest, a percentage of revenues, a reversionary interest, a working interest, a security or right to acquire a security having an indeterminate value, or other similar incentive items; [provided however, that an arrangement which provides for continuing compensation to a member or person associated with a member in connection with a public offering

shall not be presumed to be unfair and unreasonable if all of the following conditions are satisfied:]

[a. the continuing compensation is to be received only after each investor in the program has received cash distributions from the program aggregating an amount equal to his cash investment plus a six percent cumulative annual return on his adjusted investment;]

[b. the continuing compensation is to be calculated as a percentage of program cash distributions;]

[c. the amount of continuing compensation does not exceed three percent for each one percentage point that the total of all compensation pursuant to subparagraph (B)(i) received at the time of the offering and at the time any installment payment is made fall below nine percent; provided, however, that in no event shall the amount of continuing compensation exceed 12 percent of program cash distributions; and]

[d. if any portion of the continuing compensation is to be derived from the limited partners' interest in the program cash distributions, the percentage of the continuing compensation shall be no greater than the percentage of program cash distributions to which limited

partners are entitled at the time of the payment.]

- (vi) the program or REIT charges a sales load or commission on securities that are purchased through the reinvestment of dividends, unless the registration statement registering the securities under the Securities Act of 1933 became effective prior to [the effective date of this proposed rule change]; or
- (vii) the member has received reimbursement for due

  diligence expenses that are not included in a detailed and itemized
  invoice, unless the amount of the reimbursement is included in the
  calculation of underwriting compensation as a non-accountable
  expense allowance, which when aggregated with all other such
  non-accountable expenses, does not exceed three percent of
  offering proceeds.
- (C) The organization and offering expenses subject to the limitations in paragraph (b)(4)(B)(ii) above include the following:
  - (i) issuer expenses, including overhead expenses that are reimbursed or paid for with offering proceeds, which include, but are not limited to, expenses for:
    - a. assembling, printing and mailing offering
      materials, processing subscription agreements, generating
      advertising and sales materials;

- b. legal and accounting services provided to the sponsor or issuer;
- c. salaries and non-transaction-based compensation

  paid to employees or agents of the sponsor or issuer for

  performing services for the sponsor or issuer;
- d. transfer agents, escrow holders depositories, engineers and other experts, and
- e. registration and qualification of securities under federal and state law, including taxes and fees and NASD fees;
- (ii) underwriting compensation, which includes but is not limited to items of compensation listed in Rule 2710(c)(3) including payments:
  - a. to any wholesaling or retailing firm that is
    engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales
    of the program or REIT securities;
  - b. to any registered representative of a member
    who receives transaction-based compensation in connection
    with the offering;
  - c. to any registered representative who is engaged
    in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the
    program or REIT securities, other than one whose functions

in connection with the offering are solely and exclusively clerical or ministerial; or

- d. for training and education meetings, legal
  services provided to a member in connection with the
  offering, advertising and sales material generated by the
  member and contributions to conferences and meetings
  held by non-affiliated members for their registered
  representatives.
- (iii) due diligence expenses incurred when a member affirmatively discharges its responsibilities to ensure that all material facts pertaining to a program or REIT are adequately and accurately disclosed in the offering document.
- (D) Notwithstanding subparagraphs (b)(4)(C)(ii)b. and c.

  above, information may be provided to NASD from which the

  Corporate Financing Department can readily determine that some

  portion of a registered representative's non-transaction based

  compensation should not be deemed to be underwriting

  compensation if the registered representative is either: a dual

  employee of a program or REIT with fewer than ten people

  engaged in wholesaling; or a dual employee who is one of the top

  ten highest paid executives based on non-transaction based

  compensation in any program or REIT.

- (C) through (E) Relabelled as (E) through (G)
- (5) through (6) No Change.

#### (c) Non-Cash Compensation

- (1) No Change.
- (2) Restriction on Non-Cash Compensation

In connection with the sale and distribution of direct participation <u>program</u> or <u>REIT</u> securities, no member or person associated with a member shall directly or indirectly accept or make payments or offers of payments of any non-cash compensation, except as provided in this provision. Non-cash compensation arrangements are limited to the following:

- (A) through (B) No Change.
- (C) Payment or reimbursement by offerors in connection with meetings held by an offeror or by a member for the purpose of training or education of associated persons of a member, provided that:
  - (i) No Change.
  - (ii) the location is appropriate to the purpose of the meeting, which shall mean a United States[an] office of the offeror or the member holding the meeting, or a facility located in the vicinity of such office, or a United States regional location with respect to meetings of associated persons who work within that region or, with respect to [regional] meetings with direct participation programs or REITs, a United States location at which

#### Page 12 of 59

# a significant or representative asset of the program or REIT is located;

- (iii) through (iv) No Change.
- (D) through (E) No Change.
- (d) No Change.

\_\_\_\_\_

- [\* A guideline for underwriting compensation of ten percent of proceeds received, plus a maximum of 0.5% for reimbursement of bona fide diligence expenses was published in Notice to Members 82-51 (October 19, 1982).]
- [\*\* A guideline for organization and offering expenses of 15 percent proceeds received was published in Notice to Members 82-51 (October 19, 1982).]

\* \* \* \* \*

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.

#### 2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by the Board of Directors of NASD Regulation, Inc. at its meeting on January 21, 2004, which authorized the filing of the rule change with the SEC. The Board of Governors of NASD had an opportunity to review the proposed rule change at its meeting on January 22, 2004. No other action by NASD is necessary for the filing of the proposed rule change. Section 1(a)(ii) of Article VII of the NASD By-Laws permits the Board of Governors of NASD to adopt amendments to NASD Rules without recourse to the membership for approval.

NASD will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a <u>Notice to Members</u> to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be 30 days following publication of the <u>Notice to Members</u> announcing Commission approval.

# 3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

#### (a) Purpose

On September 28, 2005, NASD filed with the SEC a proposed rule change amending NASD Rule 2810. NASD filed Amendment No. 1 to SR-NASD-2005-114 on June 8, 2006. The proposed rule change was published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on July 17, 2006. The Commission received six comments. In general, the commenters expressed support for the proposed rule change, but requested clarification and modifications of certain provisions. NASD discusses the comments and modifications to the proposed rule change below.

#### **Background**

NASD is proposing to amend Rule 2810 to address the regulation of

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54118 (July 10, 2006), 71 FR 40569
 (July 17, 2006) (proposing SR-NASD-2005-114).

See Letters from the Committee on Federal Regulation of Securities of the American Bar Association (Keith F. Higgins) ("ABA Committee"), dated August 22, 2006; North American Securities Administrators Association (Patricia D. Struck) ("NASAA"), dated August 11, 2006; Dominion Investor Services, Inc. (Kevin P. Takacs), dated August 7, 2006; Investment Program Association (Rosemarie Thurston) ("IPA"), dated August 7, 2006; the Securities Division of Office of the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (Bryan Lantagne) ("Massachusetts Securities Division"), dated August 4, 2006; and Cambridge Legacy Group (Frank Akridge, Jr.), dated August 4, 2006.

compensation, fees and expenses in public offerings of unlisted real estate investment trusts (as defined in Rule 2340(d)(4)) ("REITs") and direct participation programs as defined in Rule 2810(a)(4) ("DPPs") (collectively "Investment Programs"). Specifically, the proposed rule change addresses: (1) compensation limitations and the use and allocation of offering proceeds; (2) disclosure regarding the liquidity of prior programs offered by the same sponsor; (3) sales loads on reinvested dividends; and (4) non-cash compensation provisions regarding the appropriate location for training and education meetings.

#### (1) Offering and Organization Expenses

#### (A) Issuer Expenses

In the Original Proposal, NASD proposed to codify the methodology described in Notice to Members 04-07 for allocating offering and organization expenses ("O & O expenses") between compensation, due diligence and issuer O & O expenses. As proposed, issuer O & O expenses would have included: (i) expenses, including overhead expenses, for assembling and mailing offering materials, processing subscription agreements and generating advertising and sales materials; (ii) legal services provided to the sponsor or issuer; and (iii) salaries and non-transaction-based compensation paid to employees or agents of the sponsor or issuer for performing such services. Also included as part of issuer O & O expenses would have been expenses incurred in connection with transfer agents, escrow holders, depositories, engineers and other experts, and registration and qualification of securities under federal and state law, including taxes and fees and NASD fees.

Three commenters addressed the proposed treatment of issuer O & O expenses.<sup>6</sup> Two commenters generally supported the proposal. One commenter suggested revising the proposed rule change to clarify that the calculation of issuer expenses would only include those issuer O & O expenses that are reimbursed or paid for with offering proceeds. This commenter believed that this clarification would be consistent with NASD's longstanding policy to include in the limitations on issuer O & O expenses only those expenses deemed to be in connection with the public offering reimbursed or paid for with offering proceeds.<sup>8</sup> The commenter also noted that the issuer's business overhead expenses, such as rent, telephone, insurance and employee benefits are costs generally not related to the public offering of an Investment Program's securities nor are they paid for from offering proceeds. In addition, the commenter recommended that, to be consistent with Rule 2710, NASD clarify that issuer O & O expenses include printing costs and accountant's fees, which are typically borne by the issuer. Finally, the commenter suggested that the term issuer O & O expenses be changed to minimize confusion with the O & O expenses for the entire offering, which are capped at an amount that equals fifteen percent of the proceeds of an offering and include (1) issuer O & O expenses; (2) items of compensation; and (3) due diligence expenses.

NASD agrees that it has been its longstanding policy to include in the limitations on issuer O & O expenses only those expenses deemed to be in connection with the

ABA Committee, Massachusetts Securities Division and NASAA.

Massachusetts Securities Division and NASAA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> ABA Committee.

public offering and reimbursed or paid for with offering proceeds. NASD is amending the proposed rule change to clarify this position and also to clarify that issuer expenses include expenses related to printing costs and accounting fees. Finally, NASD has replaced the term "issuer O & O expenses" with "issuer expenses" to minimize confusion with the term "O & O expenses," which includes (1) issuer O & O expenses; (2) items of compensation; and (3) due diligence expenses.

#### (B) Wholesaling and Dual Employees

The Original Proposal would have deemed underwriting compensation to include payments to:

any wholesaler that is engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the program or REIT securities and any employee of the wholesaler involved in the solicitation, development, maintenance and monitoring of selling agreements and relationships with broker/dealers and accounts and account holders at broker/dealers.

Commenters generally supported the proposal with regard to wholesaling firms engaged in solicitation, marketing or distribution of an Investment Program's securities, but believed that the description of wholesaling activities by an employee of a wholesaler swept too broadly and included clerical and administrative functions in connection with the offering that traditionally had not been included as underwriting compensation. The Original Proposal also would have deemed underwriting compensation to include payments to:

any employee of a member and any dual employee of a member and the sponsor, issuer or other affiliate who receives transaction-based compensation unless information has been provided to NASD, with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ABA Committee and IPA.

regard to a program or REIT with fewer than ten people engaged in wholesaling, from which the Corporate Financing Department can readily conclude that the payments are made as consideration for non-broker/dealer services.

Commenters were concerned that the proposed treatment of payments to dual employees who receive transaction-based compensation was too broad because it failed to take into account situations in which such employees only spend part of their time engaged in marketing, distribution or sales of Investment Program securities.<sup>10</sup>

Two commenters suggested an alternative approach of requiring the sponsor to make a good faith allocation for payments to dual employees (i.e., employees of a sponsor of an Investment Program and its affiliated broker-dealer) between underwriting compensation and non-distribution related expenses, so that only the allocable portion of a dual employee's transaction-based compensation would be included in the calculation of underwriting compensation.<sup>11</sup> These commenters also requested that NASD exclude from the Rule's underwriting compensation limits payments to those employees that solely perform clerical, administrative or operational functions which generally do not require such persons to be registered as a representative or principal.

NASD has revised the proposal in response to these comments. The proposed rule change clarifies that payments to wholesaling or retailing firms engaged in solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of Investment Program securities will be included in the underwriting compensation limits.

The Original Proposal would have included payments to employees engaged in

ABA Committee and IPA.

wholesaling, regardless of whether they are registered. In general, employees who engage in wholesaling would be required to be registered as a representative under Rule 1031. Accordingly, NASD has amended the proposed rule change so that only payments to employees who are registered persons would be included in the underwriting compensation limits.

NASD has revised the proposed rule change to include as underwriting compensation <u>all</u> payments to a registered representative (including a dual employee) that receives transaction-based compensation in connection with the sale or distribution of Investment Program securities, subject to two exceptions for small companies and top executives discussed below. With regard to payment to registered representatives that do not receive transaction-based compensation in connection with the sale or distribution of Investment Program securities, the proposed rule change would treat as underwriting compensation payments to employees who are engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the Investment Program securities. Payments to registered persons who are engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the

ABA Committee and IPA.

If in the course of reviewing an offering of an Investment Program, the Corporate Financing Department believes that an individual is not properly registered, it will refer such matter to the Member Regulation or Enforcement Departments for further review.

If a dual employee receives compensation for services provided in connection with more than one public offering, or for private placements in addition to offerings of Investment Programs, payments to such employees may be reasonably allocated between the offerings based on the time periods in which the employee was engaged in the offerings, if they are distinct, or based on the relative size of the offerings.

Investment Program securities, but whose functions in connection with the offering are solely and exclusively clerical or ministerial would not be included as underwriting compensation.<sup>14</sup>

While commenters suggested an alternative approach requiring the sponsor or affiliate to make a good faith allocation for payments to dual-employees between underwriting compensation and issuer expenses, NASD believes the approach described above would be clearer and easier to administer, and would promote more consistency with the application of the Rule among Investment Programs. Investment Programs should easily be able to ascertain whether a registered person's activities involve solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the Investment Program securities, and whether those activities are conducted solely and exclusively in a clerical or ministerial capacity. Moreover, this approach should minimize the opportunity for an Investment Program to mischaracterize dual employees' day-to-day activities or to make allocations that are inconsistent with industry standards.<sup>15</sup>

NASD proposed to modify and improve upon the burdensome process involved when the Corporate Financing Department ("Department") reviews Investment Programs

See Rule 1060(a)(1). Notwithstanding the exemption in Rule 1060(a)(1), certain persons whose functions are solely and exclusively clerical or ministerial may choose to be registered as representatives. See Rule 1031(a). Payments to such persons would not be included as underwriting compensation.

Under the alternative approach suggested by the commenters, an Investment Program that misallocated payments to dual employees to issuer expenses instead of underwriting compensation would, compared to its competitors, have more offering proceeds available under the compensation limits to market and sell its securities.

for compliance with the compensation guidelines by analyzing information about job functions, time spent on those functions, and compensation paid to dual employees whose job functions include conducting a securities business. Commenters on Notice to Members 04-07 urged NASD to continue to utilize the detailed job function analysis in its review of smaller Investment Programs, which are more likely to have registered representatives that work in both the securities business and operations and administration. Accordingly, the Original Proposal provided that Investment Programs with fewer than ten people engaged in wholesaling could provide detailed per-employee information to the Department for its review. Based on its review, the Department could conclude that certain salary or other non-transaction-based payments made to the employee will be allocated to issuer expenses, notwithstanding the fact that the employee also received transaction-based compensation or spent allocable portions of time engaged in securities business activities.

Commenters supported the treatment of smaller Investment Programs and the proposed rule change includes these provisions. However, in response to comments, NASD also is amending the Original Proposal to include the same job function analysis for any dual employee that is one of the ten highest paid executives in an Investment Program, based on his or her non-transaction-based compensation. Many Investment Programs' top executives are registered persons who engage in multiple job functions among the program sponsor, wholesaler, property or equipment manager, and portfolio manager. NASD believes that the Department can conduct an accurate and efficient review of this small group of individuals, whose job functions should be relatively easy

to identify and evaluate given their level of prominence within an Investment Program.

#### (C) Due Diligence

The Original Proposal eliminated the 0.5 percent limit on due diligence expenses under Rule 2810 and instead required that due diligence expenses combined with issuer expenses not exceed the limits on O & O expenses in Rule 2810(b)(4)(B)(ii). The Original Proposal also required that a member not accept any payments or reimbursements for due diligence expenses unless they are included in a detailed and itemized invoice that is presented by the member to the program sponsor or other entity that pays or reimburses due diligence expenses.

Two commenters believe that the proposed rule change should be amended to allow due diligence expense reimbursements without a detailed and itemized invoice, and permit such expenses to be included in the ten percent compensation limitation as a non-accountable expense, which is subject to a limit of up to three percent of the offering proceeds pursuant to NASD Rule 2710(f)(2)(B).<sup>16</sup> One commenter also requested clarification that any payments for due diligence expenses that are made pursuant to a detailed and itemized invoice will not be included in the ten percent limit on underwriting compensation.<sup>17</sup>

Rule 2810 permits members to receive compensation up to ten percent of the offering proceeds for services rendered in a distribution. These payments may include un-itemized expense allowances of up to three percent of the offering proceeds. NASD agrees that it is reasonable to include un-itemized due diligence expenses as part of the underwriting

ABA Committee and IPA.

ABA Committee.

compensation. NASD, therefore, is amending the proposal to include, as part of underwriting compensation, due diligence reimbursements without a detailed and itemized invoice. However, any member seeking to include due diligence expense as part of issuer expenses must submit an itemized invoice of their actual costs incurred for bona fide due diligence expenses.<sup>18</sup>

#### (2) Liquidity Disclosure

The Original Proposal amended Rule 2810(b)(3)(D) to include REITs, as defined in Rule 2340(c)(4), and required members and their associated persons to inform prospective investors whether the sponsor has offered prior programs for which the prospectus disclosed a date or time period when the program might be liquidated, and whether the prior programs in fact liquidated on or around that date or time period. In addition, members selling Investment Programs would be required to disclose to investors whether prior programs offered by the program sponsor were, in fact, liquidated on or during the date or time period disclosed in the prospectuses for those programs.

One commenter objected to the proposed liquidity disclosure.<sup>19</sup> The commenter noted that prospectus disclosure typically includes a warning that the liquidity event or liquidation may be delayed due to market conditions and other factors. The commenter claimed that the liquidity disclosure provision would unfairly characterize all situations where a liquidity event was delayed as a "failure" or "inappropriate." The commenter

Nothing in the proposed rule change would prohibit the inclusion of a profit margin in the due diligence expense bill of a firm that has conducted due diligence on behalf of a member and that is not a member or an affiliate of a member.

therefore believed that the recordkeeping burdens of the proposal and the unwarranted negative implications of such disclosure outweighed the benefit. The commenter suggested that the proposed liquidity disclosure provision should be adopted by the SEC, rather than NASD; or in the case of an adoption by NASD, the rule requirement should be limited to apply to Investment Programs that have established fixed dates for the occurrence of a liquidity event.

NASD is not persuaded by the commenter's suggestion that additional disclosure regarding historical liquidity practices necessarily creates "unwarranted negative implications." Rather, NASD believes that the proposed disclosure requirement will help investors make informed investment decisions based on the facts about a sponsor's liquidity track record. NASD recognizes that delays in liquidity events may be due to market conditions and other factors beyond the sponsor's control, and that, under certain circumstances, investors ultimately may benefit from delays in liquidity. When these facts are relevant, they can be conveyed in addition to the facts regarding the sponsor's liquidity track record providing investors with a complete picture of liquidity issues. NASD also notes that the proposal does not require a member to "characterize" a previous delay in liquidation. Rather, the proposed rule change would require members to inform investors whether the sponsor has previously disclosed a date or time period when prior programs may be liquidated, and whether the programs were in fact liquidated on or around that date or time period.

#### (3) Location of Training and Education Meetings Under Non-Cash

<sup>19</sup> ABA Committee.

#### **Compensation Provisions**

The proposed rule change would amend the Rule to provide that an "appropriate location" for a training and education meeting may include a location at which a significant or representative Investment Program asset is located. The proposed rule change would address the fact that an important part of bona fide training and education meetings for Investment Programs may be inspecting real estate, oil and gas production facilities, and other types of assets that will be held and managed by the program, <sup>20</sup> and would provide that a training and education meeting may include a location at which a "significant or representative" asset is located. The commenters generally supported this aspect of the proposal; however, one commenter suggested that NASD explicitly state that the non-cash compensation provision applies to <u>public</u> offerings, and not private placements. Inasmuch as Rule 2810 by its terms applies only to public offerings, such additional clarification is unnecessary.<sup>21</sup>

As noted in Item 2 of this filing, NASD will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a <u>Notice to Members</u> to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be 30 days following publication of the Notice to Members announcing Commission approval.

20

compensation provisions regarding the location of training and education meetings will be different for exchange-traded REITs under Rule 2710 and illiquid REITs under Rule 2810.

As discussed above, NASD proposes to amend Rule 2810 so that the Rule's compensation, disclosure and non-cash compensation provisions expressly govern illiquid REITs (i.e., REITs as defined in Rule 2340(d)(4)). The proposed rule change would not amend the non-cash compensation provisions in Rule 2710, which currently are identical to those in Rule 2810. Accordingly, the non-cash

#### (b) Statutory Basis

NASD believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,<sup>22</sup> which requires, among other things, that NASD rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, NASD believes that the proposed rule change amends Rule 2810 to provide greater clarity regarding limitations on compensation, fees and expenses in public offerings of REITs and DPPs.

#### 4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

NASD does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

## 5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Commission published the proposed rule change in the <u>Federal Register</u> on July 17, 2006.<sup>23</sup> The comment period closed on August 7, 2006. The Commission received six comments in response to the <u>Federal Register</u> publication of the proposal. The comments are summarized in Item 3(a) above.

#### **Extension of Time Period for Commission Action**

NASD does not consent at this time to an extension of the time period for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> IPA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 15 U.S.C. 780–3(b)(6).

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54118 (July 10, 2006), 71 FR 40569
 (July 17, 2006) (proposing SR-NASD-2005-114).

Commission action specified in Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.<sup>24</sup>

# 7. <u>Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)</u>

Not applicable.

# 8. <u>Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory</u> <u>Organization or of the Commission</u>

Not applicable.

#### 9. Exhibits

Exhibit 1. Completed notice of proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 4. Full text of rule change marking changes from Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

#### **EXHIBIT 1**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

(Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-NASD-2005-114)

Self-Regulatory Organizations: National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Regulation of Compensation, Fees and Expenses in Public Offerings of Real Estate Investment Trusts and Direct Participation Programs

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on September 28, 2005, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") and amended on June 8, 2006<sup>3</sup> and ----------<sup>4</sup> the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by NASD. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

NASD is proposing to amend NASD Rule 2810 to address the regulation of compensation, fees and expenses in public offerings of real estate investment trusts and direct participation programs. The purpose of Amendment No. 2 is to address the comments the SEC received in response to the publication of the proposed rule change in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Amendment No. 1 to SR-NASD-2005-114 replaced and superseded the original rule filing.

Amendment No. 2 to SR-NASD-2005-114.

the <u>Federal Register</u><sup>5</sup> and to propose amendments responsive to the comments where appropriate. Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is in italics; proposed deletions are in brackets.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 2810. Direct Participation Programs

(a) No Change.

#### (b) Requirements

#### (1) Application

No member or person associated with a member shall participate in a public offering of a direct participation program or a limited partnership rollup transaction or, where expressly provided below, a real estate investment trust as defined in Rule 2340(d)(4) ("REIT"), except in accordance with this paragraph (b), provided however, this paragraph (b) shall not apply to an initial or secondary public offering of or a secondary market transaction in a unit, depositary receipt or other interest in a direct participation program that complies with subparagraph (2)(D).

(2) No Change.

#### (3) Disclosure

- (A) through (C) No Change.
- (D) Prior to executing a purchase transaction in a direct participation program or a REIT, a member or person associated with a

 <sup>&</sup>lt;u>See</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54118 (July 10, 2006), 71 FR 40569 (July 17, 2006) (proposing SR-NASD-2005-114) ("Original Proposal").

member shall inform the prospective participant of all pertinent facts relating to the liquidity and marketability of the program or REIT during the term of the investment[;]. Included in the pertinent facts shall be information regarding whether the sponsor has offered prior programs or REITs in which disclosed in the offering materials was a date or time period at which the program or REIT might be liquidated, and whether the prior program(s) or REIT(s) in fact liquidated on or around that date or during the time period. [provided, however, that paragraph (b) shall not apply to an initial or secondary public offering of a secondary market transaction in a unit, depositary receipt or other interest in a direct participation program which complies with subparagraph (2)(D).]

#### (4) Organization and Offering Expenses

- (A) No member or person associated with a member shall underwrite or participate in a public offering of a direct participation program or REIT if the organization and offering expenses are not fair and reasonable, taking into consideration all relevant factors.
- (B) In determining the fairness and reasonableness of organization and offering expenses that are deemed to be in connection with or related to the distribution of the public offering for purposes of subparagraph (A) hereof, the arrangements shall be presumed to be unfair and unreasonable if:
  - (i) the total amount of all items of compensation from whatever source, including offering proceeds and "trail

commissions" payable to underwriters, broker/dealers, or affiliates thereof, [which are deemed to be in connection with or related to the distribution of the public offering,] exceeds an amount that equals ten percent of the proceeds of the offering [currently effective compensation guidelines for direct participation programs published by the Association];[\*]

- (ii) organization and offering expenses, which include all items of compensation, [paid by a program] in which a member or an affiliate of a member is a sponsor exceed an amount that equals fifteen percent of the proceeds of the offering [currently effective guidelines for such expenses published by the Association];[\*\*]
  - (iii) No Change.
- (iv) commissions or other compensation are to be paid or awarded either directly or indirectly, to any person engaged by a potential investor for investment advice as an inducement to such advisor to advise the purchaser of interests in a particular program or REIT, unless such person is a registered broker/dealer or a person associated with such a broker/dealer; [or]
- (v) the program <u>or REIT</u> provides for compensation of an indeterminate nature to be paid to members or persons associated with members for sales of program units <u>or REIT</u>, or for services of any kind rendered in connection with or related to the distribution thereof, including, but not necessarily limited to, the

following: a percentage of the management fee, a profit sharing arrangement, brokerage commissions, an[d] over-riding royalty interest, a net profits interest, a percentage of revenues, a reversionary interest, a working interest, a security or right to acquire a security having an indeterminate value, or other similar incentive items; [provided however, that an arrangement which provides for continuing compensation to a member or person associated with a member in connection with a public offering shall not be presumed to be unfair and unreasonable if all of the following conditions are satisfied:]

[a. the continuing compensation is to be received only after each investor in the program has received cash distributions from the program aggregating an amount equal to his cash investment plus a six percent cumulative annual return on his adjusted investment;]

[b. the continuing compensation is to be calculated as a percentage of program cash distributions;]

[c. the amount of continuing compensation does not exceed three percent for each one percentage point that the total of all compensation pursuant to subparagraph (B)(i) received at the time of the offering and at the time any installment payment is made fall below nine percent; provided, however, that in no event shall the amount of

continuing compensation exceed 12 percent of program cash distributions; and]

[d. if any portion of the continuing compensation is to be derived from the limited partners' interest in the program cash distributions, the percentage of the continuing compensation shall be no greater than the percentage of program cash distributions to which limited partners are entitled at the time of the payment.]

(vi) the program or REIT charges a sales load or commission on securities that are purchased through the reinvestment of dividends, unless the registration statement registering the securities under the Securities Act of 1933 became effective prior to [the effective date of this proposed rule change]; or

(vii) the member has received reimbursement for due

diligence expenses that are not included in a detailed and itemized
invoice, unless the amount of the reimbursement is included in the
calculation of underwriting compensation as a non-accountable
expense allowance, which when aggregated with all other such
non-accountable expenses, does not exceed three percent of
offering proceeds.

(C) The organization and offering expenses subject to the limitations in paragraph (b)(4)(B)(ii) above include the following:

- (i) issuer expenses, including overhead expenses that are reimbursed or paid for with offering proceeds, which include, but are not limited to, expenses for:
  - a. assembling, printing and mailing offering
    materials, processing subscription agreements, generating
    advertising and sales materials;
  - b. legal and accounting services provided to the sponsor or issuer;
  - c. salaries and non-transaction-based compensation

    paid to employees or agents of the sponsor or issuer for

    performing services for the sponsor or issuer;
  - d. transfer agents, escrow holders depositories, engineers and other experts, and
  - e. registration and qualification of securities under federal and state law, including taxes and fees and NASD fees;
- (ii) underwriting compensation, which includes but is not limited to items of compensation listed in Rule 2710(c)(3) including payments:
  - a. to any wholesaling or retailing firm that is
     engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales
     of the program or REIT securities;

- b. to any registered representative of a member
  who receives transaction-based compensation in connection
  with the offering;
- c. to any registered representative who is engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the program or REIT securities, other than one whose functions in connection with the offering are solely and exclusively clerical or ministerial; or
- d. for training and education meetings, legal
  services provided to a member in connection with the
  offering, advertising and sales material generated by the
  member and contributions to conferences and meetings
  held by non-affiliated members for their registered
  representatives.
- (iii) due diligence expenses incurred when a member affirmatively discharges its responsibilities to ensure that all material facts pertaining to a program or REIT are adequately and accurately disclosed in the offering document.
- (D) Notwithstanding subparagraphs (b)(4)(C)(ii)b. and c. above, information may be provided to NASD from which the Corporate

  Financing Department can readily determine that some portion of a registered representative's non-transaction based compensation should not be deemed to be underwriting compensation if the registered

representative is either: a dual employee of a program or REIT with fewer than ten people engaged in wholesaling; or a dual employee who is one of the top ten highest paid executives based on non-transaction based compensation in any program or REIT.

- (C) through (E) Relabelled as (E) through (G)
- (5) through (6) No Change.

#### (c) Non-Cash Compensation

- (1) No Change.
- (2) Restriction on Non-Cash Compensation

In connection with the sale and distribution of direct participation <u>program</u> <u>or REIT</u> securities, no member or person associated with a member shall directly or indirectly accept or make payments or offers of payments of any non-cash compensation, except as provided in this provision. Non-cash compensation arrangements are limited to the following:

- (A) through (B) No Change.
- (C) Payment or reimbursement by offerors in connection with meetings held by an offeror or by a member for the purpose of training or education of associated persons of a member, provided that:
  - (i) No Change.
  - (ii) the location is appropriate to the purpose of the meeting, which shall mean a United States[an] office of the offeror or the member holding the meeting, or a facility located in the vicinity of such office, or a United States regional location with

respect to meetings of associated persons who work within that

region or, with respect to [regional] meetings with direct

participation programs or REITs, a United States location at which
a significant or representative asset of the program or REIT is

located;

- (iii) through (iv) No Change.
- (D) through (E) No Change.
- (d) No Change.

\_\_\_\_\_

[\* A guideline for underwriting compensation of ten percent of proceeds received, plus a maximum of 0.5% for reimbursement of bona fide diligence expenses was published in Notice to Members 82-51 (October 19, 1982).]

[\*\* A guideline for organization and offering expenses of 15 percent proceeds received was published in Notice to Members 82-51 (October 19, 1982).]

\* \* \* \* \*

### II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, NASD included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. NASD has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

## A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

# 1. Purpose

On September 28, 2005, NASD filed with the SEC a proposed rule change amending NASD Rule 2810. NASD filed Amendment No. 1 to SR-NASD-2005-114 on June 8, 2006. The proposed rule change was published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on July 17, 2006. The Commission received six comments. In general, the commenters expressed support for the proposed rule change, but requested clarification and modifications of certain provisions. NASD discusses the comments and modifications to the proposed rule change below.

# **Background**

NASD is proposing to amend Rule 2810 to address the regulation of compensation, fees and expenses in public offerings of unlisted real estate investment trusts (as defined in Rule 2340(d)(4)) ("REITs") and direct participation programs as defined in Rule 2810(a)(4) ("DPPs") (collectively "Investment Programs"). Specifically, the proposed rule change addresses: (1) compensation limitations and the use and allocation of offering proceeds; (2) disclosure regarding the liquidity of prior programs offered by the same sponsor; (3) sales loads on reinvested dividends; and (4) non-cash

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54118 (July 10, 2006), 71 FR 40569 (July 17, 2006) (proposing SR-NASD-2005-114).

See Letters from the Committee on Federal Regulation of Securities of the American Bar Association (Keith F. Higgins) ("ABA Committee"), dated August 22, 2006; North American Securities Administrators Association (Patricia D. Struck) ("NASAA"), dated August 11, 2006; Dominion Investor Services, Inc. (Kevin P. Takacs), dated August 7, 2006; Investment Program Association (Rosemarie Thurston) ("IPA"), dated August 7, 2006; the Securities Division of Office of the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (Bryan Lantagne) ("Massachusetts Securities Division"), dated August 4, 2006; and Cambridge Legacy Group (Frank Akridge, Jr.), dated August 4, 2006.

compensation provisions regarding the appropriate location for training and education meetings.

# (1) Offering and Organization Expenses

# (A) Issuer Expenses

In the Original Proposal, NASD proposed to codify the methodology described in Notice to Members 04-07 for allocating offering and organization expenses ("O & O expenses") between compensation, due diligence and issuer O & O expenses. As proposed, issuer O & O expenses would have included: (i) expenses, including overhead expenses, for assembling and mailing offering materials, processing subscription agreements and generating advertising and sales materials; (ii) legal services provided to the sponsor or issuer; and (iii) salaries and non-transaction-based compensation paid to employees or agents of the sponsor or issuer for performing such services. Also included as part of issuer O & O expenses would have been expenses incurred in connection with transfer agents, escrow holders, depositories, engineers and other experts, and registration and qualification of securities under federal and state law, including taxes and fees and NASD fees.

Three commenters addressed the proposed treatment of issuer O & O expenses.<sup>8</sup>

Two commenters generally supported the proposal.<sup>9</sup> One commenter suggested revising the proposed rule change to clarify that the calculation of issuer expenses would only include those issuer O & O expenses that are reimbursed or paid for with offering proceeds. This commenter believed that this clarification would be consistent with

ABA Committee, Massachusetts Securities Division and NASAA.

Massachusetts Securities Division and NASAA.

NASD's longstanding policy to include in the limitations on issuer O & O expenses only those expenses deemed to be in connection with the public offering reimbursed or paid for with offering proceeds. <sup>10</sup> The commenter also noted that the issuer's business overhead expenses, such as rent, telephone, insurance and employee benefits are costs generally not related to the public offering of an Investment Program's securities nor are they paid for from offering proceeds. In addition, the commenter recommended that, to be consistent with Rule 2710, NASD clarify that issuer O & O expenses include printing costs and accountant's fees, which are typically borne by the issuer. Finally, the commenter suggested that the term issuer O & O expenses be changed to minimize confusion with the O & O expenses for the entire offering, which are capped at an amount that equals fifteen percent of the proceeds of an offering and include (1) issuer O & O expenses; (2) items of compensation; and (3) due diligence expenses.

NASD agrees that it has been its longstanding policy to include in the limitations on issuer O & O expenses only those expenses deemed to be in connection with the public offering and reimbursed or paid for with offering proceeds. NASD is amending the proposed rule change to clarify this position and also to clarify that issuer expenses include expenses related to printing costs and accounting fees. Finally, NASD has replaced the term "issuer O & O expenses" with "issuer expenses" to minimize confusion with the term "O & O expenses," which includes (1) issuer O & O expenses; (2) items of compensation; and (3) due diligence expenses.

10

# (B) Wholesaling and Dual Employees

The Original Proposal would have deemed underwriting compensation to include payments to:

any wholesaler that is engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the program or REIT securities and any employee of the wholesaler involved in the solicitation, development, maintenance and monitoring of selling agreements and relationships with broker/dealers and accounts and account holders at broker/dealers.

Commenters generally supported the proposal with regard to wholesaling firms engaged in solicitation, marketing or distribution of an Investment Program's securities, but believed that the description of wholesaling activities by an employee of a wholesaler swept too broadly and included clerical and administrative functions in connection with the offering that traditionally had not been included as underwriting compensation.<sup>11</sup> The Original Proposal also would have deemed underwriting compensation to include payments to:

any employee of a member and any dual employee of a member and the sponsor, issuer or other affiliate who receives transaction-based compensation unless information has been provided to NASD, with regard to a program or REIT with fewer than ten people engaged in wholesaling, from which the Corporate Financing Department can readily conclude that the payments are made as consideration for non-broker/dealer services.

Commenters were concerned that the proposed treatment of payments to dual employees who receive transaction-based compensation was too broad because it failed to take into account situations in which such employees only spend part of their time engaged in marketing, distribution or sales of Investment Program securities.<sup>12</sup>

ABA Committee and IPA.

ABA Committee and IPA.

Two commenters suggested an alternative approach of requiring the sponsor to make a good faith allocation for payments to dual employees (i.e., employees of a sponsor of an Investment Program and its affiliated broker-dealer) between underwriting compensation and non-distribution related expenses, so that only the allocable portion of a dual employee's transaction-based compensation would be included in the calculation of underwriting compensation.<sup>13</sup> These commenters also requested that NASD exclude from the Rule's underwriting compensation limits payments to those employees that solely perform clerical, administrative or operational functions which generally do not require such persons to be registered as a representative or principal.

NASD has revised the proposal in response to these comments. The proposed rule change clarifies that payments to wholesaling or retailing firms engaged in solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of Investment Program securities will be included in the underwriting compensation limits.

The Original Proposal would have included payments to employees engaged in wholesaling, regardless of whether they are registered. In general, employees who engage in wholesaling would be required to be registered as a representative under Rule 1031. Accordingly, NASD has amended the proposed rule change so that only payments to employees who are registered persons would be included in the underwriting compensation limits.

ABA Committee and IPA.

If in the course of reviewing an offering of an Investment Program, the Corporate Financing Department believes that an individual is not properly registered, it will refer such matter to the Member Regulation or Enforcement Departments for further review.

NASD has revised the proposed rule change to include as underwriting compensation <u>all</u> payments to a registered representative (including a dual employee) that receives transaction-based compensation in connection with the sale or distribution of Investment Program securities, subject to two exceptions for small companies and top executives discussed below. With regard to payment to registered representatives that do not receive transaction-based compensation in connection with the sale or distribution of Investment Program securities, the proposed rule change would treat as underwriting compensation payments to employees who are engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the Investment Program securities. Payments to registered persons who are engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the Investment Program securities, but whose functions in connection with the offering are solely and exclusively clerical or ministerial would not be included as underwriting compensation. In compensation.

While commenters suggested an alternative approach requiring the sponsor or affiliate to make a good faith allocation for payments to dual-employees between underwriting compensation and issuer expenses, NASD believes the approach described above would be clearer and easier to administer, and would promote more consistency

If a dual employee receives compensation for services provided in connection with more than one public offering, or for private placements in addition to offerings of Investment Programs, payments to such employees may be reasonably allocated between the offerings based on the time periods in which the employee was engaged in the offerings, if they are distinct, or based on the relative size of the offerings.

See Rule 1060(a)(1). Notwithstanding the exemption in Rule 1060(a)(1), certain persons whose functions are solely and exclusively clerical or ministerial may choose to be registered as representatives. See Rule 1031(a). Payments to such persons would not be included as underwriting compensation.

with the application of the Rule among Investment Programs. Investment Programs should easily be able to ascertain whether a registered person's activities involve solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the Investment Program securities, and whether those activities are conducted solely and exclusively in a clerical or ministerial capacity. Moreover, this approach should minimize the opportunity for an Investment Program to mischaracterize dual employees' day-to-day activities or to make allocations that are inconsistent with industry standards.<sup>17</sup>

NASD proposed to modify and improve upon the burdensome process involved when the Corporate Financing Department ("Department") reviews Investment Programs for compliance with the compensation guidelines by analyzing information about job functions, time spent on those functions, and compensation paid to dual employees whose job functions include conducting a securities business. Commenters on Notice to

Members 04-07 urged NASD to continue to utilize the detailed job function analysis in its review of smaller Investment Programs, which are more likely to have registered representatives that work in both the securities business and operations and administration. Accordingly, the Original Proposal provided that Investment Programs with fewer than ten people engaged in wholesaling could provide detailed per-employee information to the Department for its review. Based on its review, the Department could conclude that certain salary or other non-transaction-based payments made to the employee will be allocated to issuer expenses, notwithstanding the fact that the employee

Under the alternative approach suggested by the commenters, an Investment Program that misallocated payments to dual employees to issuer expenses instead of underwriting compensation would, compared to its competitors, have more offering proceeds available under the compensation limits to market and sell its securities.

also received transaction-based compensation or spent allocable portions of time engaged in securities business activities.

Commenters supported the treatment of smaller Investment Programs and the proposed rule change includes these provisions. However, in response to comments, NASD also is amending the Original Proposal to include the same job function analysis for any dual employee that is one of the ten highest paid executives in an Investment Program, based on his or her non-transaction-based compensation. Many Investment Programs' top executives are registered persons who engage in multiple job functions among the program sponsor, wholesaler, property or equipment manager, and portfolio manager. NASD believes that the Department can conduct an accurate and efficient review of this small group of individuals, whose job functions should be relatively easy to identify and evaluate given their level of prominence within an Investment Program.

#### (C) Due Diligence

The Original Proposal eliminated the 0.5 percent limit on due diligence expenses under Rule 2810 and instead required that due diligence expenses combined with issuer expenses not exceed the limits on O & O expenses in Rule 2810(b)(4)(B)(ii). The Original Proposal also required that a member not accept any payments or reimbursements for due diligence expenses unless they are included in a detailed and itemized invoice that is presented by the member to the program sponsor or other entity that pays or reimburses due diligence expenses.

Two commenters believe that the proposed rule change should be amended to allow due diligence expense reimbursements without a detailed and itemized invoice, and permit such expenses to be included in the ten percent compensation limitation as a non-accountable

expense, which is subject to a limit of up to three percent of the offering proceeds pursuant to NASD Rule 2710(f)(2)(B). One commenter also requested clarification that any payments for due diligence expenses that are made pursuant to a detailed and itemized invoice will not be included in the ten percent limit on underwriting compensation. 19

Rule 2810 permits members to receive compensation up to ten percent of the offering proceeds for services rendered in a distribution. These payments may include un-itemized expense allowances of up to three percent of the offering proceeds. NASD agrees that it is reasonable to include un-itemized due diligence expenses as part of the underwriting compensation. NASD, therefore, is amending the proposal to include, as part of underwriting compensation, due diligence reimbursements without a detailed and itemized invoice. However, any member seeking to include due diligence expense as part of issuer expenses must submit an itemized invoice of their actual costs incurred for bona fide due diligence expenses.<sup>20</sup>

# (2) Liquidity Disclosure

The Original Proposal amended Rule 2810(b)(3)(D) to include REITs, as defined in Rule 2340(c)(4), and required members and their associated persons to inform prospective investors whether the sponsor has offered prior programs for which the prospectus disclosed a date or time period when the program might be liquidated, and

ABA Committee and IPA.

<sup>19</sup> ABA Committee.

Nothing in the proposed rule change would prohibit the inclusion of a profit margin in the due diligence expense bill of a firm that has conducted due diligence on behalf of a member and that is not a member or an affiliate of a member.

whether the prior programs in fact liquidated on or around that date or time period. In addition, members selling Investment Programs would be required to disclose to investors whether prior programs offered by the program sponsor were, in fact, liquidated on or during the date or time period disclosed in the prospectuses for those programs.

One commenter objected to the proposed liquidity disclosure.<sup>21</sup> The commenter noted that prospectus disclosure typically includes a warning that the liquidity event or liquidation may be delayed due to market conditions and other factors. The commenter claimed that the liquidity disclosure provision would unfairly characterize all situations where a liquidity event was delayed as a "failure" or "inappropriate." The commenter therefore believed that the recordkeeping burdens of the proposal and the unwarranted negative implications of such disclosure outweighed the benefit. The commenter suggested that the proposed liquidity disclosure provision should be adopted by the SEC, rather than NASD; or in the case of an adoption by NASD, the rule requirement should be limited to apply to Investment Programs that have established fixed dates for the occurrence of a liquidity event.

NASD is not persuaded by the commenter's suggestion that additional disclosure regarding historical liquidity practices necessarily creates "unwarranted negative implications." Rather, NASD believes that the proposed disclosure requirement will help investors make informed investment decisions based on the facts about a sponsor's liquidity track record. NASD recognizes that delays in liquidity events may be due to market conditions and other factors beyond the sponsor's control, and that, under certain circumstances, investors ultimately may benefit from delays in liquidity. When these

ABA Committee.

facts are relevant, they can be conveyed in addition to the facts regarding the sponsor's liquidity track record providing investors with a complete picture of liquidity issues.

NASD also notes that the proposal does not require a member to "characterize" a previous delay in liquidation. Rather, the proposed rule change would require members to inform investors whether the sponsor has previously disclosed a date or time period when prior programs may be liquidated, and whether the programs were in fact liquidated on or around that date or time period.

# (3) Location of Training and Education Meetings Under Non-Cash Compensation Provisions

The proposed rule change would amend the Rule to provide that an "appropriate location" for a training and education meeting may include a location at which a significant or representative Investment Program asset is located. The proposed rule change would address the fact that an important part of bona fide training and education meetings for Investment Programs may be inspecting real estate, oil and gas production facilities, and other types of assets that will be held and managed by the program, <sup>22</sup> and would provide that a training and education meeting may include a location at which a "significant or representative" asset is located. The commenters generally supported this aspect of the proposal; however, one commenter suggested that NASD explicitly state that the non-cash compensation provision applies to <u>public</u> offerings, and not private

As discussed above, NASD proposes to amend Rule 2810 so that the Rule's compensation, disclosure and non-cash compensation provisions expressly govern illiquid REITs (i.e., REITs as defined in Rule 2340(d)(4)). The proposed rule change would not amend the non-cash compensation provisions in Rule 2710, which currently are identical to those in Rule 2810. Accordingly, the non-cash compensation provisions regarding the location of training and education meetings will be different for exchange-traded REITs under Rule 2710 and illiquid REITs under Rule 2810.

placements. Inasmuch as Rule 2810 by its terms applies only to public offerings, such additional clarification is unnecessary.<sup>23</sup>

NASD will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a <u>Notice to Members</u> to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be 30 days following publication of the <u>Notice to Members</u> announcing Commission approval.

# 2. Statutory Basis

NASD believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,<sup>24</sup> which requires, among other things, that NASD rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, NASD believes that the proposed rule change amends Rule 2810 to provide greater clarity regarding limitations on compensation, fees and expenses in public offerings of REITs and DPPs.

# B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

NASD does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

# C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

The Commission published the proposed rule change in the <u>Federal Register</u> on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> IPA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> 15 U.S.C. 780–3(b)(6).

July 17, 2006.<sup>25</sup> The comment period closed on August 7, 2006. The Commission received six comments in response to the <u>Federal Register</u> publication of the proposal. The comments are summarized in Item II above.

# III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for</u> Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the <u>Federal Register</u> or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) by order approve such proposed rule change, or
- (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

# IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### **Electronic Comments:**

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. Please include File Number
   SR-NASD-2005-114 on the subject line.

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54118 (July 10, 2006), 71 FR 40569 (July 17, 2006) (proposing SR-NASD-2005-114).

# Paper Comments:

Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary,
 Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASD-2005-114. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<a href="http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml">http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml</a>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of NASD.

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASD-2005-114 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated

.1	• ,	26
autho	ritv	`

Nancy M. Morris

Secretary

<sup>26</sup> 

#### **EXHIBIT 4**

Full text of proposed rule change marking changes from Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 2. The original changes are shown as if adopted, and the new changes are marked with additions underlined and deletions in brackets.

\* \* \* \* \*

# 2810. Direct Participation Programs

(a) No Change.

# (b) Requirements

#### (1) Application

No member or person associated with a member shall participate in a public offering of a direct participation program or a limited partnership rollup transaction or, where expressly provided below, a real estate investment trust as defined in Rule [2340(c)(4)] 2340(d)(4) ("REIT"), except in accordance with this paragraph (b), provided however, this paragraph (b) shall not apply to an initial or secondary public offering of or a secondary market transaction in a unit, depositary receipt or other interest in a direct participation program that complies with subparagraph (2)(D).

(2) No Change.

#### (3) Disclosure

- (A) through (C) No Change.
- (D) Prior to executing a purchase transaction in a direct participation program or a REIT, a member or person associated with a member shall inform the prospective participant of all pertinent facts

relating to the liquidity and marketability of the program or REIT during the term of the investment. Included in the pertinent facts shall be information regarding whether the sponsor has offered prior programs or REITs in which disclosed in the offering materials was a date or time period at which the program or REIT might be liquidated, and whether the prior program(s) or REIT(s) in fact liquidated on or around that date or during the time period.

# (4) Organization and Offering Expenses

- (A) No member or person associated with a member shall underwrite or participate in a public offering of a direct participation program or REIT if the organization and offering expenses are not fair and reasonable, taking into consideration all relevant factors.
- (B) In determining the fairness and reasonableness of organization and offering expenses that are deemed to be in connection with or related to the distribution of the public offering for purposes of subparagraph (A) hereof, the arrangements shall be presumed to be unfair and unreasonable if:
  - (i) the total amount of all items of compensation from whatever source, including offering proceeds and "trail commissions" payable to underwriters, broker/dealers, or affiliates thereof, [which are deemed to be in connection with or related to the distribution of the public offering,] exceeds an amount that equals ten percent of the [gross] proceeds of the

offering;

- (ii) organization and offering expenses, which include all items of compensation, [paid by a program or REIT] in which a member or an affiliate of a member is a sponsor exceed an amount that equals fifteen percent of the [gross] proceeds of the offering;
  - (iii) No Change.
- (iv) commissions or other compensation are to be paid or awarded either directly or indirectly, to any person engaged by a potential investor for investment advice as an inducement to such advisor to advise the purchaser of interests in a particular program or REIT, unless such person is a registered broker/dealer or a person associated with such a broker/dealer;
- (v) the program or REIT provides for compensation of an indeterminate nature to be paid to members or persons associated with members for sales of program units or REIT, or for services of any kind rendered in connection with or related to the distribution thereof, including, but not necessarily limited to, the following: a percentage of the management fee, a profit sharing arrangement, brokerage commissions, an[d] over-riding royalty interest, a net profits interest, a percentage of revenues, a reversionary interest, a working interest, a security or right to acquire a security having an indeterminate

# Page 55 of 59

value, or other similar incentive items;

- (vi) the program or REIT charges a sales load or commission on securities that are purchased through the reinvestment of dividends, unless the registration statement registering the securities under the Securities Act of 1933 became effective prior to [(the effective date of this rule amendment)] [the effective date of this proposed rule change]; or
- (vii) the member has received reimbursement for due diligence expenses that are not included in a detailed and itemized invoice, unless the amount of the reimbursement is included in the calculation of underwriting compensation as a non-accountable expense allowance, which when aggregated with all other such non-accountable expenses, does not exceed three percent of offering proceeds.
- (C) The organization and offering expenses subject to the limitations in paragraph (b)(4)(B)(ii) above include the following:
  - (i) issuer [organization and offering] expenses,

    including overhead expenses that are reimbursed or paid for

    with offering proceeds, which include, but are not limited to[:],

    expenses[, including overhead expenses] for:
    - a. assembling, <u>printing</u> and mailing offering materials, processing subscription agreements,

Page 56 of 59

generating advertising and sales materials;

- b. legal <u>and accounting</u> services provided to the sponsor or issuer;
- c. salaries and non-transaction-based compensation paid to employees or agents of the sponsor or issuer for performing services for the sponsor or issuer;
- d. transfer agents, escrow holders depositories, engineers and other experts, and
- e. registration and qualification of securities under federal and state law, including taxes and fees and NASD fees;
- (ii) underwriting compensation, which includes but is not limited to items of compensation listed in Rule 2710(c)(3) including payments:
  - a. to any [wholesaler] wholesaling or retailing firm that is engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or sales of the program or REIT securities [and any employee of the wholesaler involved in the solicitation, development, maintenance and monitoring of selling agreements and relationships with broker/dealers and accounts and account holders at broker/dealers];

b. to any [employee] registered representative of a member [and any dual employee of a member and the sponsor, issuer or other affiliate] who receives transaction-based compensation in connection with the offering [unless information has been provided to NASD, with regard to a program or REIT with fewer than ten people engaged in wholesaling, from which the Corporate Financing Department can readily conclude that the payments are made as consideration fro non-broker/dealer services provided to the sponsor, issuer or other affiliate]; [and]

c. to any registered representative who is
engaged in the solicitation, marketing, distribution or
sales of the program or REIT securities, other than one
whose functions in connection with the offering are
solely and exclusively clerical or ministerial; or

[c.]d. for training and education meetings, legal services provided to a member in connection with the offering, advertising and sales material generated by the member and contributions to conferences and meetings held by non-affiliated members for their registered representatives.[;]

(iii) due diligence expenses incurred when a member

affirmatively discharges its responsibilities to ensure that all material facts pertaining to a program or REIT are adequately and accurately disclosed in the offering document.

- (D) Notwithstanding subparagraphs (b)(4)(C)(ii)b. and c.

  above, information may be provided to NASD from which the

  Corporate Financing Department can readily determine that some

  portion of a registered representative's non-transaction based

  compensation should not be deemed to be underwriting compensation

  if the registered representative is either: a dual employee of a program

  or REIT with fewer than ten people engaged in wholesaling; or a dual

  employee who is one of the top ten highest paid executives based on

  non-transaction based compensation in any program or REIT.
  - (C) through (E) Relabelled as (E) through (G)

# (c) Non-Cash Compensation

(5) through (6) No Change.

(1) No Change.

# (2) Restriction on Non-Cash Compensation

In connection with the sale and distribution of direct participation program or REIT securities, no member or person associated with a member shall directly or indirectly accept or make payments or offers of payments of any non-cash compensation, except as provided in this provision. Non-cash compensation arrangements are limited to the following:

(A) through (B) No Change.

# Page 59 of 59

- (C) Payment or reimbursement by offerors in connection with meetings held by an offeror or by a member for the purpose of training or education of associated persons of a member, provided that:
  - (i) No Change.
  - (ii) the location is appropriate to the purpose of the meeting, which shall mean a United States[an] office of the offeror or the member holding the meeting, or a facility located in the vicinity of such office, or a United States regional location with respect to meetings of associated persons who work within that region or, with respect to [regional] meetings with direct participation programs or REITs, a United States location at which a significant or representative asset of the program or REIT is located;
    - (iii) through (iv) No Change.
  - (D) through (E) No Change.
- (d) No Change.

\* \* \* \* \*