#### Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0045
Estimated average burden hours per response.......38

Page 1 of	* 22		EXCHANGE COI STON, D.C. 2054 orm 19b-4	.9	File No.*	SR - 2013 - * 036 Amendments *)
Filing by Financial Industry Regulatory Authority						
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934						
Initial * ✓	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2	) * Section	on 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	Date Expires *		<ul><li>19b-4(f</li><li>19b-4(f</li></ul>	19b-4(f)(5)	
	of proposed change pursuant 806(e)(1)	to the Payment, Cleari Section 806(e)(2)	ng, and Settlemer	t Act of 2010	Security-Based Swap to the Securities Exch Section 3C(b)(2	-
Exhibit 2	· _	ixhibit 3 Sent As Paper Do	ocument			
Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).  Proposed Rule Change Relating to Wash Sale Transactions and FINRA Rule 5210 (Publication of Transactions and Quotations)  Contact Information						
Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.						
First Na	ame * Brant		Last Name * Bro	own		
Title *						
E-mail						
Telepho	one * (202) 728-6927	Fax (202) 728-8264	•			
Signature  Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,  has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.						
L	08/15/2013 Stephanie Dumont		Senior Vice Presi Policy	(Title *) dent and Direct	tor of Capital Markets	
(Name *)  NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.						

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website. The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a Form 19b-4 Information \* clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal Remove is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change \* in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Add Remove View Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) **Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule** The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such Transcripts, Other Communications documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G. Remove View Add Exhibit Sent As Paper Document П Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is Add Remove View referred to by the proposed rule change. Exhibit Sent As Paper Document The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit Add Remove View the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working. **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part Add View Remove of the proposed rule change. If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy **Partial Amendment** proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

#### 1. Text of Proposed Rule Change

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), <sup>1</sup> Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") a proposed rule change to add Supplementary Material .02 to FINRA Rule 5210 (Publication of Transactions and Quotations) to emphasize that wash sale transactions are generally nonbona fide transactions and that members have an obligation to have policies and procedures in place to review their trading activity for, and prevent, wash sale transactions.

Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is underlined; proposed deletions are in brackets.

\* \* \* \* \*

## 5000. SECURITIES OFFERING AND TRADING STANDARDS AND PRACTICES

\* \* \* \* \*

### **5200. QUOTATION AND TRADING OBLIGATIONS AND PRACTICES**

5210. Publication of Transactions and Quotations

No Change.

- • Supplementary Material: -----
- .01 Manipulative and Deceptive Quotations. No Change.
- .02 Wash Sales. Transactions in a security that involve no change in the beneficial ownership of the security, commonly known as "wash sales," generally are non-bona fide transactions for purposes of Rule 5210. Members must have policies and procedures in

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

place that are reasonably designed to review their trading activity for, and prevent, wash sale transactions. Transactions that originate from unrelated algorithms or separate and distinct trading strategies within the same firm would generally be considered bona fide transactions and would not be considered wash sales, even if the transactions did not result in a change of beneficial ownership, unless the transactions were undertaken for manipulative or other fraudulent purposes. Algorithms or trading strategies within the most discrete unit of an effective system of internal controls at a member firm are presumed to be related (e.g., within an aggregation unit, or individual trading desks within an aggregation unit separated by reasonable information barriers, as applicable). This Supplementary Material does not change members' existing obligations under NASD Rule 3010 and FINRA Rule 2010.

\* \* \* \* \*

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.

#### 2. <u>Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization</u>

At its meeting on December 6, 2012, the FINRA Board of Governors authorized the filing of the proposed rule change with the SEC. No other action by FINRA is necessary for the filing of the proposed rule change.

FINRA will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a <a href="Regulatory Notice">Regulatory Notice</a> to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be no later than 60 days following publication of the <a href="Regulatory">Regulatory</a> Notice announcing Commission approval. FINRA is providing firms with additional

implementation time to ensure they have appropriate policies and procedures consistent with the proposed rule change.

# 3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

#### (a) Purpose

FINRA is proposing to add supplementary material to FINRA Rule 5210 to address members' obligations with respect to certain securities transactions that involve no change in the beneficial ownership of those securities, commonly known as "wash sales."

With the recent increase in automated trading activity and the use of algorithms by firms to make trading decisions, FINRA has observed an increase in the number of transactions occurring where a single firm's proprietary trading account is on both sides of a trade, often as a result of a firm hitting its own bid or offer. Even if these transactions were not undertaken with fraudulent or manipulative intent, they can create a misimpression of the level of legitimate trading interest and activity in the security.

FINRA recognizes that, in many situations, what may seem to be wash sale activity occurs as a result of orders that originate from the same firm, but from separate or distinct underlying trading strategies (e.g., separate "desks," aggregation units, or algorithms) that have different—and sometimes competing—investment objectives and that deliberately do not interact with each other prior to generating orders to the market. Consequently, the proposed supplementary material does not seek to prevent all types of trading activity that happen to result from separate strategies operating within a single firm.

The proposed supplementary material is intended to address wash sales occurring due to orders sent by a single algorithm or the unintended, but in FINRA's view preventable, interaction of multiple, related algorithms operated by a single firm. In a number of instances, FINRA has found that these types of transactions can account for a material percentage (e.g., over 5%) of the consolidated trading volume in a security on a particular day, which can distort the market information that is publicly available for that security. Even if not purposeful, these transactions can create the misimpression of active trading in a security that could adversely impact the price discovery process. Furthermore, in these instances it appears that firms will continue to allow this type of trading to occur rather than incur the costs necessary to prevent it, even though the trading activity is resulting in instances where significant misinformation may be disseminated to the marketplace.

FINRA rules and the federal securities laws explicitly prohibit transactions in securities that do not result in a change of beneficial ownership in the securities when there is a fraudulent or manipulative purpose behind the trading activity.<sup>2</sup> In addition, FINRA Rule 5210 provides that no member may cause to be published or circulated any report of a securities transaction unless the member knows or has reason to believe that the transaction was a bona fide transaction. Supplementary Material .01 states that "[i]t shall be deemed inconsistent with Rules 2010 (Standards of Commercial Honor and Principles of Trade), 2020 (Use of Manipulative, Deceptive or Other Fraudulent Devices) and 5210 (Publication of Transactions and Quotations) for a member to publish or circulate or cause to be published or circulated, by any means whatsoever, any report of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, e.g., 15 U.S.C. 78i(a)(1); FINRA Rule 6140(b).

any securities transaction or of any purchase or sale of any security unless such member knows or has reason to believe that such transaction was a bona fide transaction, purchase or sale." Consequently, each member has an existing obligation to know, or have a basis to believe, that transactions in which it participates are bona fide. Because wash sales generally are not bona-fide transactions, a member must review its trading activity to determine whether it is engaging in these types of transactions and make changes to minimize their occurrence.

Because of the increase in wash sale transactions noted above, FINRA is proposing to add Supplementary Material .02 to Rule 5210 to address specifically members' obligations with respect to wash sales that are occurring and being disseminated to the public when there is no fraudulent or manipulative motivation for the trading activity at issue.<sup>3</sup> Specifically, proposed Supplementary Material .02 emphasizes that members have an obligation to have policies and procedures in place to review their trading activity for, and prevent, wash sale transactions. The proposed rule change, however, explicitly excludes those transactions that originated from unrelated algorithms or from separate and distinct trading strategies, provided these transactions are not undertaken for manipulative or other fraudulent purposes.<sup>4</sup> The exclusion acknowledges the fact that some firms run multiple, separate algorithms or have trading desks that are

Securities transactions that do not result in a change of beneficial ownership of the securities and that are undertaken for the purpose of creating or inducing a false or misleading appearance of activity in the securities are already prohibited by existing securities laws and FINRA rules. See supra note 2.

FINRA notes that transactions that originate from unrelated algorithms or from separate or distinct trading strategies, trading desks, or aggregation units that are frequent or numerous may raise a presumption that such transactions were undertaken with the intent that they cross and may, therefore, be intended as manipulative or fraudulent.

separated by information barriers that, as a result of different or competing investment strategies within the same firm, may result in transactions where a single firm is on both sides of the trade. FINRA does not view these types of transactions as wash sales for purposes of Rule 5210, provided the trades are not undertaken with fraudulent or manipulative intent.

SEC Rule 200(f) provides that all traders within an "aggregation unit" must pursue only the particular trading objective or strategy of that aggregation unit and not coordinate that strategy with any other aggregation unit.<sup>5</sup> It also provides that, at the time of each sale, each aggregation unit determine its net position for every security that it trades. Supplementary Material .02 provides that algorithms or trading strategies within the most discrete unit of an effective system of internal controls at a member firm (e.g., an aggregation unit, or individual trading desks within an aggregation unit separated by reasonable information barriers, as applicable), are presumed to be related.

FINRA understands that not all wash sales, particularly those generated by trading algorithms, are avoidable. Consequently, only those firms that engage in a pattern or practice of effecting wash sale transactions that result in a material percentage of the trading volume in a particular security would generally violate Rule 5210, as well as Rule 2010. The proposed rule change requires reasonable policies and procedures and would not, therefore, apply to isolated wash sale transactions.<sup>6</sup>

FINRA staff discussed the proposed rule change with several of its industry advisory committees in developing the approach reflected in the proposed rule change.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>See</u> 17 CFR 242.200(f).

FINRA notes that the proposed rule change would not change member firms' existing obligations under NASD Rule 3010 and FINRA Rule 2010 with respect to wash sales.

Although these committees recognized the problem FINRA was seeking to address and were generally supportive of the proposal, they indicated the need for FINRA to recognize that not all wash sales can be prevented. The proposed rule change explicitly includes language to exclude transactions that originated from unrelated algorithms or from separate and distinct trading strategies, trading desks, or aggregation units from being considered wash sales, provided these transactions are not undertaken for manipulative or other fraudulent purposes. The committees also requested guidance on whether the proposed rule change would apply to all wash sales or a subset. As noted above, only those firms that engage in a pattern or practice of effecting wash sale transactions that result in a material percentage of the trading volume in a particular security would generally violate Rule 5210, as well as Rule 2010. The proposed rule change would not, therefore, apply to isolated wash sale transactions, provided the firm's policies and procedures were reasonable.

As noted in Item 2 of this filing, FINRA will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a <u>Regulatory Notice</u> to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be no later than 60 days following publication of the <u>Regulatory Notice</u> announcing Commission approval. FINRA is providing firms with additional implementation time to ensure they have appropriate policies and procedures consistent with the proposed rule change.

#### (b) Statutory Basis

FINRA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,<sup>7</sup> which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 780-3(b)(6).

must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. FINRA believes that the proposed rule change will reduce the number of wash sale transactions that, while not undertaken for manipulative or fraudulent purposes, nonetheless result in misinformation being disseminated to the marketplace and the public. FINRA believes that by requiring members to have reasonable policies and procedures in place to review for, and prevent, wash sales, the quality of market data will be enhanced, thus promoting just and equitable principles of trade and increasing the protection of investors and the public interest.

#### 4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

FINRA does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Although some firms may need to enhance their written policies and procedures and, potentially, implement changes to technological systems to ensure compliance with the proposed rule change, FINRA believes these changes are necessary to enhance the quality of market data and will not significantly burden competition as any firm running multiple algorithms or operating multiple trading strategies will be subject to the same standard.

### 5. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

### 6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

FINRA does not consent at this time to an extension of the time period for Commission action specified in Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.<sup>8</sup>

7. <u>Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for</u>
Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) or Section 19(b)(7)(D)

Not applicable.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory
Organization or of the Commission

Not applicable.

9. <u>Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act</u>

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

#### 11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1. Completed notice of proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

#### EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-FINRA-2013-036)

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Wash Sale Transactions and FINRA Rule 5210 (Publication of Transactions and Quotations)

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on , Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by FINRA. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

FINRA is proposing to add Supplementary Material .02 to FINRA Rule 5210 (Publication of Transactions and Quotations) to emphasize that wash sale transactions are generally non-bona fide transactions and that members have an obligation to have policies and procedures in place to review their trading activity for, and prevent, wash sale transactions.

Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is in italics; proposed deletions are in brackets.

\* \* \* \* \*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

## 5000. SECURITIES OFFERING AND TRADING STANDARDS AND PRACTICES

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 5200. QUOTATION AND TRADING OBLIGATIONS AND PRACTICES

**5210.** Publication of Transactions and Quotations

No Change.

- • Supplementary Material: -----
- .01 Manipulative and Deceptive Quotations. No Change.
- ownership of the security, commonly known as "wash sales," generally are non-bona fide transactions for purposes of Rule 5210. Members must have policies and procedures in place that are reasonably designed to review their trading activity for, and prevent, wash sale transactions. Transactions that originate from unrelated algorithms or separate and distinct trading strategies within the same firm would generally be considered bona fide transactions and would not be considered wash sales, even if the transactions did not result in a change of beneficial ownership, unless the transactions were undertaken for manipulative or other fraudulent purposes. Algorithms or trading strategies within the most discrete unit of an effective system of internal controls at a member firm are presumed to be related (e.g., within an aggregation unit, or individual trading desks within an aggregation unit separated by reasonable information barriers, as applicable). This Supplementary Material does not change members' existing obligations under NASD Rule 3010 and FINRA Rule 2010.

\* \* \* \* \*

# II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FINRA included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FINRA has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

- A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u>
  <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>
- 1. Purpose

FINRA is proposing to add supplementary material to FINRA Rule 5210 to address members' obligations with respect to certain securities transactions that involve no change in the beneficial ownership of those securities, commonly known as "wash sales."

With the recent increase in automated trading activity and the use of algorithms by firms to make trading decisions, FINRA has observed an increase in the number of transactions occurring where a single firm's proprietary trading account is on both sides of a trade, often as a result of a firm hitting its own bid or offer. Even if these transactions were not undertaken with fraudulent or manipulative intent, they can create a misimpression of the level of legitimate trading interest and activity in the security.

FINRA recognizes that, in many situations, what may seem to be wash sale activity occurs as a result of orders that originate from the same firm, but from separate or distinct underlying trading strategies (e.g., separate "desks," aggregation units, or algorithms) that have different—and sometimes competing—investment objectives and

that deliberately do not interact with each other prior to generating orders to the market.

Consequently, the proposed supplementary material does not seek to prevent all types of trading activity that happen to result from separate strategies operating within a single firm.

The proposed supplementary material is intended to address wash sales occurring due to orders sent by a single algorithm or the unintended, but in FINRA's view preventable, interaction of multiple, related algorithms operated by a single firm. In a number of instances, FINRA has found that these types of transactions can account for a material percentage (e.g., over 5%) of the consolidated trading volume in a security on a particular day, which can distort the market information that is publicly available for that security. Even if not purposeful, these transactions can create the misimpression of active trading in a security that could adversely impact the price discovery process. Furthermore, in these instances it appears that firms will continue to allow this type of trading to occur rather than incur the costs necessary to prevent it, even though the trading activity is resulting in instances where significant misinformation may be disseminated to the marketplace.

FINRA rules and the federal securities laws explicitly prohibit transactions in securities that do not result in a change of beneficial ownership in the securities when there is a fraudulent or manipulative purpose behind the trading activity.<sup>3</sup> In addition, FINRA Rule 5210 provides that no member may cause to be published or circulated any report of a securities transaction unless the member knows or has reason to believe that the transaction was a bona fide transaction. Supplementary Material .01 states that "[i]t

See, e.g., 15 U.S.C. 78i(a)(1); FINRA Rule 6140(b).

shall be deemed inconsistent with Rules 2010 (Standards of Commercial Honor and Principles of Trade), 2020 (Use of Manipulative, Deceptive or Other Fraudulent Devices) and 5210 (Publication of Transactions and Quotations) for a member to publish or circulate or cause to be published or circulated, by any means whatsoever, any report of any securities transaction or of any purchase or sale of any security unless such member knows or has reason to believe that such transaction was a bona fide transaction, purchase or sale." Consequently, each member has an existing obligation to know, or have a basis to believe, that transactions in which it participates are bona fide. Because wash sales generally are not bona-fide transactions, a member must review its trading activity to determine whether it is engaging in these types of transactions and make changes to minimize their occurrence.

Because of the increase in wash sale transactions noted above, FINRA is proposing to add Supplementary Material .02 to Rule 5210 to address specifically members' obligations with respect to wash sales that are occurring and being disseminated to the public when there is no fraudulent or manipulative motivation for the trading activity at issue. Specifically, proposed Supplementary Material .02 emphasizes that members have an obligation to have policies and procedures in place to review their trading activity for, and prevent, wash sale transactions. The proposed rule change, however, explicitly excludes those transactions that originated from unrelated algorithms or from separate and distinct trading strategies, provided these transactions are not

Securities transactions that do not result in a change of beneficial ownership of the securities and that are undertaken for the purpose of creating or inducing a false or misleading appearance of activity in the securities are already prohibited by existing securities laws and FINRA rules. See supra note 3.

undertaken for manipulative or other fraudulent purposes.<sup>5</sup> The exclusion acknowledges the fact that some firms run multiple, separate algorithms or have trading desks that are separated by information barriers that, as a result of different or competing investment strategies within the same firm, may result in transactions where a single firm is on both sides of the trade. FINRA does not view these types of transactions as wash sales for purposes of Rule 5210, provided the trades are not undertaken with fraudulent or manipulative intent.

SEC Rule 200(f) provides that all traders within an "aggregation unit" must pursue only the particular trading objective or strategy of that aggregation unit and not coordinate that strategy with any other aggregation unit.<sup>6</sup> It also provides that, at the time of each sale, each aggregation unit determine its net position for every security that it trades. Supplementary Material .02 provides that algorithms or trading strategies within the most discrete unit of an effective system of internal controls at a member firm (e.g., an aggregation unit, or individual trading desks within an aggregation unit separated by reasonable information barriers, as applicable), are presumed to be related.

FINRA understands that not all wash sales, particularly those generated by trading algorithms, are avoidable. Consequently, only those firms that engage in a pattern or practice of effecting wash sale transactions that result in a material percentage of the trading volume in a particular security would generally violate Rule 5210, as well as Rule

FINRA notes that transactions that originate from unrelated algorithms or from separate or distinct trading strategies, trading desks, or aggregation units that are frequent or numerous may raise a presumption that such transactions were undertaken with the intent that they cross and may, therefore, be intended as manipulative or fraudulent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See 17 CFR 242.200(f).

2010. The proposed rule change requires reasonable policies and procedures and would not, therefore, apply to isolated wash sale transactions.<sup>7</sup>

FINRA staff discussed the proposed rule change with several of its industry advisory committees in developing the approach reflected in the proposed rule change. Although these committees recognized the problem FINRA was seeking to address and were generally supportive of the proposal, they indicated the need for FINRA to recognize that not all wash sales can be prevented. The proposed rule change explicitly includes language to exclude transactions that originated from unrelated algorithms or from separate and distinct trading strategies, trading desks, or aggregation units from being considered wash sales, provided these transactions are not undertaken for manipulative or other fraudulent purposes. The committees also requested guidance on whether the proposed rule change would apply to all wash sales or a subset. As noted above, only those firms that engage in a pattern or practice of effecting wash sale transactions that result in a material percentage of the trading volume in a particular security would generally violate Rule 5210, as well as Rule 2010. The proposed rule change would not, therefore, apply to isolated wash sale transactions, provided the firm's policies and procedures were reasonable.

As noted in Item 2 of this filing, FINRA will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a <u>Regulatory Notice</u> to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be no later than 60 days following publication of the <u>Regulatory Notice</u> announcing Commission approval.

FINRA notes that the proposed rule change would not change member firms' existing obligations under NASD Rule 3010 and FINRA Rule 2010 with respect to wash sales.

FINRA is providing firms with additional implementation time to ensure they have appropriate policies and procedures consistent with the proposed rule change.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

FINRA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act, which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. FINRA believes that the proposed rule change will reduce the number of wash sale transactions that, while not undertaken for manipulative or fraudulent purposes, nonetheless result in misinformation being disseminated to the marketplace and the public. FINRA believes that by requiring members to have reasonable policies and procedures in place to review for, and prevent, wash sales, the quality of market data will be enhanced, thus promoting just and equitable principles of trade and increasing the protection of investors and the public interest.

#### B. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

FINRA does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Although some firms may need to enhance their written policies and procedures and, potentially, implement changes to technological systems to ensure compliance with the proposed rule change, FINRA believes these changes are necessary to enhance the quality of market data and will not significantly burden competition as any firm running

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 780-3(b)(6).

multiple algorithms or operating multiple trading strategies will be subject to the same standard.

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action</u>

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the <u>Federal Register</u> or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
- (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### **Electronic Comments:**

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<u>http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml</u>); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. Please include File Number
   SR-FINRA-2013-036 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments:

Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary,
 Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FINRA-2013-036. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of FINRA. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FINRA-2013-036 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^9$ 

Elizabeth M. Murphy

Secretary

<sup>9 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).