

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECURITIES DEALERS, INC.

In the Matter of the Arbitration Between

Roger Woo
Loretta Woo

Claimants

vs.

Drexel Burnham Lambert, Inc.
John Dudzik

Respondents

CASE NO. 88-03985

Heard before:

Martha K. Cunningham
Barbara A. Brooks
Andrew K. Leh

SUMMARY OF ISSUES

This case was filed on December 30, 1988. Claimants alleged that Respondents churned their account, bought unsuitable securities, engaged in unauthorized trading, misrepresented material facts regarding their account and that Respondent Drexel Burnham Lambert failed to supervise the account executive. Claimants further alleged that Respondents opened a margin account without their knowledge. Respondents maintained that Claimant Roger Woo authorized all transactions, and further maintained that there were no misrepresentations made, that Drexel Burnham Lambert properly supervised Respondent Dudzik and that all investments made in Claimants' account were suitable in light of Claimants' investment objectives and financial position.

DAMAGES AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Claimants requested damages of \$60,000.00 plus interest and costs. Respondents requested dismissal of all claims and an award of costs.

DAMAGES AND RELIEF AWARD

On October 12, 1989 in San Francisco, California, the undersigned arbitrators heard the controversy in two (2) sessions between the parties as set forth in submissions to arbitration signed by Claimants on January 3, 1989, and by Respondent Drexel Burnham Lambert, Inc. on April 20, 1989, and by Respondent John Dudzik on April 7, 1989. The arbitration panel, having considered the pleadings, the testimony, and the evidence presented at the hearing, has determined in full and final resolution of the issues submitted for determination as follows:

1. All claims brought by Claimants are dismissed.
2. The parties shall each bear their respective costs including attorneys' fees.
3. Pursuant to Section 43 of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") Code of Arbitration Procedure, the NASD shall retain the \$500.00 filing fee previously deposited by the Claimant.

DATE SERVED: 10/23/89