

### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)<sup>4</sup> of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4<sup>5</sup> thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by NYSE Amex.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-NYSEAmex-2009-25 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEAmex-2009-25. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in

the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the self-regulatory organization. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEAmex-2009-25 and should be submitted on or before July 15, 2009.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>6</sup>

**Florence E. Harmon,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E9-14723 Filed 6-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-60132; File No. SR-FINRA-2009-015]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to Expedited Administration of Promissory Note Cases

June 17, 2009.

On April 7, 2009, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") (f/k/a National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD")) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act" or "Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Industry Disputes ("Industry Code"). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on May 14, 2009.<sup>3</sup> The Commission received no comments on the proposed rule change.

#### I. Description of the Proposal

FINRA proposed to adopt Rule 13806 of the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Industry Disputes ("Industry Code"), to establish procedures to expedite the administration of arbitrations in which

a member's only claim is that an associated person failed to pay money owed on a promissory note; and to amend Rules 13214 and 13600 of the Industry Code to make conforming changes.

In order to proceed under proposed new Rule 13806, a claimant would not be permitted to include any additional allegations in the Statement of Claim. FINRA stated that, in the absence of additional allegations by members or associated persons, promissory note cases involve straightforward contracts with few documents being entered into evidence. The new procedures would streamline the process for promissory note cases and reduce expenses for the parties while maintaining the procedural safeguards in the Industry Code for the associated person against whom a member asserts a claim.

Specifically, under the proposed procedures:

- Parties would choose a single public arbitrator from the roster of arbitrators approved to hear statutory discrimination claims,<sup>4</sup> unless an associated person files a counterclaim or third party claim of more than \$100,000, exclusive of interest or expenses, or the counterclaim or third party claim is unspecified or does not request money damages.<sup>5</sup> In FINRA's view, the arbitrators on this roster would be especially suited to resolve these disputes because of the depth of their experience and their familiarity with employment law;

- If the associated person does not file an answer, simplified discovery procedures would apply<sup>6</sup> and, regardless of the amount in controversy, the single arbitrator would render an award based on the pleadings and other materials submitted by the parties. The arbitrator would be paid an honorarium

<sup>4</sup> See Rule 13802(c)(3). These specially qualified arbitrators are attorneys familiar with employment law who have at least ten years of legal experience. In addition, a chair or single arbitrator may not have represented primarily the views of employers or of employees within the last five years. Primarily means 50 percent or more of the arbitrator's business or professional activities within the last five years.

<sup>5</sup> The \$100,000 threshold was chosen because FINRA recently raised the threshold for a single chair-qualified arbitrator in all cases to \$100,000. Under the rule change, if the amount of a claim is more than \$100,000, exclusive of interest and expenses, or is unspecified, or if the claim does not request money damages, the panel will consist of three arbitrators, unless the parties agree in writing to one arbitrator. See Exchange Act Release No. 59340 (February 2, 2009), 74 FR 6335 (February 6, 2009) (SR-FINRA-2008-047).

<sup>6</sup> Rule 13800(d) (Simplified Arbitration—Discovery and Additional Evidence) provides for limited discovery in arbitrations involving \$25,000 or less, exclusive of interest and expenses.

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>6</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See Exchange Act Release No. 59885 (May 7, 2009); 74 FR 22788 (May 14, 2009).

of \$125 for each arbitration resolved in this manner;<sup>7</sup>

- If the associated person files an answer (but does not seek any additional relief or assert any counterclaims or third party claims), regular discovery procedures would apply<sup>8</sup> and, regardless of the amount in controversy, the single arbitrator would hold a hearing; and
- If the associated person files a counterclaim or third party claim, then regular discovery procedures would apply and panel composition would be based on the amount of the counterclaim or third party claim. If the counterclaim and/or third party claim is not more than \$100,000, exclusive of interest and expenses, the Director<sup>9</sup> would appoint a single public arbitrator from the roster of arbitrators approved to hear statutory discrimination claims. If the counterclaim and/or third party claim is more than \$100,000, exclusive of interest and expenses, then the Director would appoint a three-arbitrator panel. The Director would appoint one public arbitrator from the roster of arbitrators approved to hear statutory discrimination claims who would serve as chairperson, one arbitrator from the public roster, and one arbitrator from the non-public roster. If the counterclaim or third party claim is filed after a single arbitrator is appointed, and a three-arbitrator panel is required, the Director would retain the appointed arbitrator as chair and appoint two additional arbitrators (one public and one non-public arbitrator). Regardless of whether the panel is composed of one or three arbitrators, FINRA would pay the arbitrators the honoraria provided for in the Industry Code for arbitrations resolved by a hearing.

FINRA has proposed to amend Rule 13214 (Payment of Arbitrators) to reflect that the rule applies to arbitrator honoraria except as specified in new Rule 13806(f) or as specifically excluded in Rule 13214. Under the proposal, FINRA would pay an arbitrator an honorarium of \$125 for each arbitration in which the associated person does not file an answer and the award is based on the arbitrator's review of the

pleadings and other materials submitted by the parties. As these are expedited proceedings, FINRA would not pay an honorarium for resolving a discovery-related motion without a hearing session or for resolving a contested motion concerning issuance of a subpoena without a hearing session. In instances where full discovery would be conducted under the 13500 series of rules, FINRA would pay the honorarium prescribed in Rule 13214 for discovery-related motions without a hearing session and for contested motions concerning issuance of a subpoena without a hearing session.

FINRA, in addition, proposed to amend Rule 13600 (Required Hearings) to reflect that a hearing will be held unless new Rule 13806(e)(1) provides otherwise. Under the proposal, if the associated person does not file an answer, no initial prehearing conference or hearing would be held. Generally, in the absence of additional allegations by members or associated persons, promissory note cases involve straightforward contracts with few documents entered into evidence. FINRA believes that, in these situations, promissory note cases would be processed more quickly and efficiently and expenses would be reduced for the parties and the forum if the arbitrator were to render the award on the pleadings and other materials submitted by the parties.<sup>10</sup> In FINRA's view, the new procedures would not negatively impact its administration of other cases filed in the forum.

## II. Discussion

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities association.<sup>11</sup> In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,<sup>12</sup> in that it is designed, among other things, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices; to promote just and equitable principles of trade; to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system; and, in

general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Commission believes that the proposed rule change will protect the public interest by helping to ensure that promissory note cases are processed quickly and efficiently, and by helping to reduce expenses for the parties and the forum without adversely affecting the administration of other cases filed with the forum.

## III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities association.

*It is therefore ordered*, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>13</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR-FINRA-2007-015) be and hereby is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>14</sup>

**Florence E. Harmon,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E9-14726 Filed 6-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-60123; File No. SR-NYSEAmex-2009-28]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Amex LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Make Available Without Charge the NYSE Amex OpenBook™ Datafeeds

June 17, 2009.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")<sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on June 12, 2009, NYSE Amex LLC ("NYSE Amex" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

<sup>7</sup> In simplified arbitration proceedings administered under Rules 12800 and 13800 (Simplified Arbitration), the arbitrator honorarium is \$125. The honorarium under proposed Rule 13806 is intended to be consistent with these rules.

<sup>8</sup> The 13500 series of rules would provide for prehearing procedures and discovery in these cases.

<sup>9</sup> Rule 13100(k) defines the term "Director" to mean the "Director of FINRA Dispute Resolution. Unless the Code provides that the Director may not delegate a specific function, the term includes FINRA staff to whom the Director has delegated authority."

<sup>10</sup> The rationale for the proposed rule change was confirmed in a phone conversation with Margo Hassan and Ken Andrichik of FINRA, on May 6, 2009.

<sup>11</sup> In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the rule change's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>12</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(6).

<sup>13</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>14</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.